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The Affordable Care Act and Medicaid Expansion may help states decrease waiting lists for home and community-based services (HCBS).

- States expanding Medicaid have cost savings and increased revenue that could be used to expand HCBS programs.
- 2021 data shows that about 70% of people on HCBS waiting lists live in non-expansion states.
- 13 of 14 states reporting no HCBS waiting lists implemented expanded Medicaid expansion, and the fourteenth (South Dakota) voted to expand Medicaid in 2022.

The ACA helps expand HCBS.

- The ACA includes important provisions to increase, improve, and extend options through which states may provide HCBS.

Medicaid expansion helps provide services for people on waiting lists.

- Expanded eligibility for Medicaid means that some of people on waiting lists who could not qualify for Medicaid under the traditional disability categories can now access Medicaid services, which includes some community-based services.

Medicaid cuts will likely increase HCBS waiting lists.

- Proposals to cut Medicaid will drastically decrease federal funding to states without significantly changing state responsibilities to care for people with disabilities and other populations served by HCBS.
- HCBS services are cost-efficient relative to institutions, but are still costly and thus unlikely to be expanded under decreased federal funding.
- Many states anticipate a growing demand for HCBS services while facing serious workforce shortages and related rising costs.
Introduction

Expanded services and coverage under the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and its Medicaid expansion provide states opportunities to decrease waiting lists for Medicaid home and community-based services (HCBS) and generally increase access to services for people with disabilities. HCBS programs offer states a great degree of flexibility to design a Medicaid-funded program that targets a special set of Medicaid services only to a limited population, both in size and type of service needs. Demand for HCBS programs has increased with the growth in the population of people with disabilities and as states move away from institutional settings. The demand for Medicaid HCBS outpaced available slots soon after the programs began. The ACA responded to the increasing demand for HCBS by increasing opportunities and incentives for states to expand and innovate their HCBS programs. Those HCBS opportunities provide states with more flexibility in designing programs and create broader availability of HCBS, thus putting less pressure on traditional HCBS programs with waitlists. The ACA also created Medicaid expansion, which covers many adults with disabilities, including some on HCBS waiting lists, who previously fell into coverage gaps and could not access non-HCBS Medicaid services. The ACA has had a positive impact on those needing HCBS.

Medicaid Expansion does not increase waiting lists

HCBS waivers are designed to allow waitlists as part of state flexibility

In general, Medicaid programs cannot have waiting lists for services—any services a person qualifies for must be made available. But Medicaid waiver programs can cap enrollment and maintain a waitlist if demand is greater than the enrollment cap. Since 1981, states have been able to use HCBS waivers to help provide long-term services and supports outside of institutions. These waiver programs allow states to waive certain Medicaid requirements and allow them to craft a program of eligibility and services, such as respite for family caregivers, that are not available to the broader population of Medicaid enrollees and applicants. States have a great deal of flexibility in HCBS programs and may ask to increase the enrollment cap or otherwise amend their programs as needs of the HCBS population changes. For example, many states have HCBS programs that allow a person to have greater income than traditional Medicaid eligibility limits or the state may treat children as their own household so parental income will not count in an eligibility determination.

Waiting lists for HCBS are not new

Waiting lists for HCBS are part of the design of many HCBS programs offered through Medicaid 1915(c) or 1115 waivers, which allow states to create waiting lists that otherwise...
HCBS programs are generally a “win-win” for both state budgets and people with disabilities. People with disabilities and their families generally prefer HCBS over institutional alternatives, while HCBS are also more cost-efficient and help states meet legal obligations regarding the rights of people with disabilities. Although HCBS programs have become increasingly more cost-efficient, state HCBS expenditures and waitlists have continued to grow. Although there is variation among states and HCBS programs, between 2016 and 2021, the number of people on waiting lists fluctuated, from 656,000 in 2016 to 820,000 in 2018, back to 656,000 in 2021.

However, waitlists remain an incomplete picture of need for these services. For example, the lists likely represent fewer people than it may appear, as many people are on waiting lists for multiple HCBS programs, but will ultimately only use one. States have expanded HCBS enrollment at a rate of about 6 percent each year, but this expansion has not kept up with the demand for HCBS. In fact, many states report a growing need for HCBS waiver services, while also expressing serious concern about workforce shortages that have been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic.

The size of waiting lists depends on the HCBS program and the state. People with intellectual and/or development disabilities (ID/DD) represent most of the waiting list population, even though physical/ambulatory disability is the most prevalent disability in the United States. Although people with ID/DD are the most prevalent waitlist population, many states report concern about a growing need for HCBS services, particularly for older adults and children with disabilities.

**Medicaid expansion may help states shorten HCBS waiting lists.**

Overall studies show that Medicaid expansion is good for state budgets. Many states that expanded Medicaid have generated savings and revenue that not only offset the cost of expansion, but also create a surplus that could be used to expand HCBS. Research shows that Medicaid expansion generally has a positive impact on state budgets. For example, a case study on Medicaid expansion in Michigan estimated that expansion would lead to a $43 million increase in net revenue for SFY 2021. Similarly, Virginia realized significant cost savings from expanding Medicaid in 2019. The state initially estimated it would save $270 million in SFY 2020, but after one year of expansion, savings were larger than expected. The governor’s amended budget for FY 2020 included additional savings of nearly $211.7 million for a total of over $481 million. In 2021, the American Rescue Plan (ARPA) added a financial incentive for Medicaid expansion by increasing a state’s base Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) by 5% for two years in states newly expanding Medicaid. This increase is in addition to the ACA’s current enhanced FMAP of 90% for Medicaid expansion states. Although states that...
expand Medicaid may not generate enough savings to completely clear waitlists, the savings could make a significant impact on waitlists by providing funding to expand those services.\textsuperscript{19}

Some opponents have argued that Medicaid expansion diverts funding from older adults and people with disabilities and exacerbates waiting lists. Yet, studies indicate this is untrue. Medicaid Expansion does not increase HCBS waiting lists and actually provides services to people with disabilities that were otherwise without them, including people on waitlists.\textsuperscript{20} As of 2021, fourteen states reported having no wait lists for Medicaid HCBS. Thirteen of those fourteen states had expanded Medicaid and the 14\textsuperscript{th} state (South Dakota) voted to expand Medicaid in 2022.\textsuperscript{21} In comparison, data from that same year shows that over nearly 70\% of people on Medicaid waiting lists lived in non-expansion states. In addition, as explained below, expanding Medicaid provides services some individuals who are awaiting HCBS.\textsuperscript{22}

**Medicaid expansion helps provide services for people on waiting lists**

The ACA does not shift resources from people with disabilities on waitlists, but brings other people with disabilities into Medicaid who previously had no or very limited access to needed health care. In states that expanded Medicaid, many adults who have disabilities who do not meet the “disabled” standard for Medicaid or whose income exceeds some of the limited Medicaid eligibility categories, such as for parent-caretakers, have gained access to necessary Medicaid services, including HCBS. This includes individuals with disabilities, such as adults with behavioral health conditions or other chronic health conditions.\textsuperscript{23} In these states, such individuals may now join the large majority of people on waiting lists who receive non-HCBS Medicaid services, such as medical care and some in-home services such as personal care services in some states.\textsuperscript{24} The ACA did not split the piece of the “pie” representing services for people on the waiting lists among more people but instead the ACA grew the pie such that more people with disabilities actually receive some pie.

**Medicaid cuts will make HCBS waiting lists longer and slower**

Proposals to change the Medicaid program by cutting federal spending will likely make HCBS waiting lists much worse. However, this cut in federal spending simply shifts costs to the states. States will not have substantially different responsibilities and will have less federal funding, yet their residents will still have the same needs. While HCBS is more cost-efficient than institutional care, it is still a significant part of state budgets.\textsuperscript{25} Given that HCBS participants are often some of the most costly when looking at Medicaid per person expenditure averages by group, it is likely that when looking to save money, states look at trimming, not expanding, HCBS programs.\textsuperscript{26}
The responsibility on states to meet the needs of people with disabilities, which has historically focused on institutional care, does not go away under decreased funding, but will only rise as our population ages and the prevalence of disability continues to increase. State funded institutions and services for people with disabilities pre-date Medicaid by more than 100 years. There is no indication that Medicaid cuts or administrative red tape will do anything to eliminate this duty, but overwhelming evidence indicates they make it harder for states to provide these costly and critical HCBS services. Promises of increased flexibility are not likely to give the states more money to work with such that they could really expand HCBS. Instead states indicate that they desperately need increased funding to address challenges.

**Conclusion**

Overall, expanded Medicaid coverage and services even if not specific to people with disabilities who need HCBS has helped those individuals and not taken services away. The need for HCBS is rising in states as are costs due to workforce issues. Medicaid cuts are more likely to harm access to HCBS than help people with disabilities receiving Medicaid.
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