

Nat'l Health Law Program

Contact: Jina Dhillon
 UPDATED: May 10, 2013

<u>VENUE</u>	<u>HEALTH REFORM LITIGATION</u>
	Constitutional Challenges
<p>Western District of Virginia</p> <p>Held: Mandate Constitutional</p> <p>Appealed</p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 6:10-cv-00015 – Judge Normal K. Moon</p> <p>03/23/2010 – Complaint 07/30/2010 – First Amended Complaint 08/13/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Filed by Defendants 09/03/2010 – Opposition to Motion to Dismiss 09/22/2010 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 10/22/2010 – Oral argument on Motion to Dismiss (transcript available) 11/30/2010 – Mem. Opinion Granting Motion to Dismiss 12/01/2010 – Notice of Appeal 09/08/2011 – Opinion from 4th circuit 10/31/2011 – Mandate received 11/07/2011 – Order vacating 11/30 order and dismissing case for lack of jurisdiction 08/13/2012 – Appeal remark – motion to stay mandate denied</p>
<p>4th Cir. Ct. of App.</p> <p>Held: No subject matter jurisdiction (Anti-Injunc. Act)</p> <p>Pet. for Cert. granted, vacated and remanded</p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 10-2347</p> <p>12/03/2010 – Appeal docketed (from No. 6:10-cv-00015, see above) 01/26/2011 – Order consolidating oral argument in seriatim with Commonwealth of Va., above 01/18/2011 – Opening Brief filed by Liberty Univ. 1/21-25/2011 – Amici Briefs supporting Liberty Univ. from Mountain States Legal Found.; Revere America Found.; American Civil Liberties Union 02/18/2011 – Response Brief filed by Sec. Geithner 02/25/2011 – Amici Briefs supporting Sec. Geithner filed by American Ass’n of People with Disabilities etc.; Am. Nurses Ass’n etc.; Constit. Law Professors; AHA; Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr.; Sen. Reid etc.; ACLU; AFSCME; AAP etc.; 03/04/2011 – Reply Brief filed by Liberty Univ. 05/10/2011 – Oral argument (Judges Motz, Davis, Wynn) 05/23/2011 – Court order requesting supplemental briefing 05/31/2011 – Supp. briefs by Appellants and Appellees</p>

	<p>07/06/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee Geithner (Thomas More Law Ctr., 6th Cir.)</p> <p>08/08/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee (New Jersey Physicians, 3d Cir.)</p> <p>08/12/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellant (Fla. v. Sebelius, 11th Cir.)</p> <p>08/18/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee (Baldwin, 9th Cir.)</p> <p>09/08/2011 – Opinion dismissing case based on Anti-Injunction Act</p> <p>10/31/2011 – Mandate issued</p> <p>06/29/2012 – Supreme Court remark – Certiorari denied</p> <p>07/20/2012 – Motion by Liberty Univ. to stay mandate</p> <p>08/13/2012 – Order denying motion to stay</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Supreme Court remark – 6/29/12 order denying cert. vacated; petition for cert. granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded for consideration in light of <i>NFIB v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>12/28/2012 – Supreme Court remark – certified copy of judgment sent to 4th Cir.</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Appellant Supp. Brief</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus/Intervenor Brief by American Civil Rights Union</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Family Research Council</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Institute and Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Landmark Legal Foundation</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Project Liberty</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by David Boyle</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Members of Legatus, Catholic Medical Association</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Foundation for Moral Law</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Virginia Family Foundation, et al.</p> <p>04/04/2013 – Appellee Response Brief</p> <p>04/10/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. College of Obstetrics & Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>04/11/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>04/12/2013 – Appellant Supp. Reply Brief</p> <p>05/16/2013 – Oral Argument</p>
<p>United States Supreme Court</p> <p>Petition for Cert. granted, case vacated and</p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 11-438</p> <p>10/07/2011 – Liberty Univ. Pet. for Writ of Cert.</p> <p>10/18/2011 – Geithner Response</p> <p>10/28/2011 – Liberty Univ. Reply</p> <p>06/29/2012 – Petition denied</p>

<p>remanded in light of NFIB</p>	<p>07/23/2012 – Petition for rehearing filed 10/31/2012 – Br. of Geithner on petition for rehearing 11/26/2012 – Pet. for rehearing granted. Order of 6/29 vacated; Pet. for cert. granted; judgment vacated; case remanded for reconsid. in light of <i>NFIB v. Sebelius</i></p>
<p>Northern District of Ohio</p> <p>Held: Mandate constitutional</p> <p>Appealed</p>	<p><i>US Citizens Ass’n v. Sebelius</i>, No. 5:10-cv-1065 – Judge David Dowd</p> <p>05/12/2010 – Complaint 07/06/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Glick and Ellison filed by Glick and Ellison 07/13/2010 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Glick and Ellison 07/13/2010 – Case Management Conference Scheduling Order 08/12/2010 – Motion to Dismiss 09/01/2010 – First Amended Complaint 09/08/2010 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss as moot 09/08/2010 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Stay Summary Judgment Pending Motion to Dismiss ruling 09/16/2010 – Second Amended Complaint 10/08/2010 – Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint 10/28/2010 – Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss 11/02/2010 – Amicus brief in Support of Plaintiffs (Alliance for Natural Health US) 11/19/2010 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 11/22/2010 – Order denying in part, granting in part Defs.’ Motion to Dismiss 01/05/2011 – Defendant’s Answer to Second Amended Complaint filed 01/24/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs 01/24/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants 02/02/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Plaintiffs (Fla. dec.) 02/14/2011 – Opp. to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs 02/14/2011 – Opp. to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants 02/28/2011 – Judgment Order Dismissing Remaining Counts 03/07/2011 – Motion to Clarify or Reconsider filed by US Citizens Ass’n and request for ruling by 5/02/2011 03/14/2011 – Opposition to Motion to Clarify 03/14/2011 – Reply Supporting of Motion to Clarify 03/17/2011 – Order denying Plaintiffs’ request for ruling by 5/02/2011</p>

	<p>03/18/2011 – Notice of Appeal 04/27/2011 – Order deferring ruling pending decision by Sixth Circuit in Thomas Moore 07/01/2011 – Order that this Court is bound by the 6th Cir. Ruling in Thomas More 07/13/2011 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p>6th Cir. Ct. of App. Affirmed</p>	<p><i>US Citizens Ass’n v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 11-3327 (consolidated with 11-3798)</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Case docketed (from No. 5:10-cv-1065, above) 05/06/2011 – Opening Brief of Appellant U.S. Citizens Ass’n re: Count 2: Violation of freedom of expressive and intimate association, Count 3: Violation of 5th Amendment Due Process, Count 4: Right to Privacy) 07/06/2011 – Corrected response brief from Appellee 07/18/2011 – Reply Brief filed by Appellants 08/16/2011 – Supp. authority from Appellants (Fla. v. Sebelius, 11th Cir.) 09/23/2011 – Order consolidating cases and referred (Guy, Sutton and Griffin) 11/17/2011 – Notice of additional citation (SCOTUS review in Florida case) 03/12/2012 – Mot. of USA to hold oral argument in abeyance pending SCOTUS review 03/19/2012 – Stay granted 07/05/2012 – Motion to vacate stay 07/09/2012 – Order granting motion to vacate stay 09/19/2012 – Case submitted (Suhrheinrich, Stranch and Donald) 10/30/2012 – Letter stating case will be submitted on briefs without oral argument 02/01/2013 – Opinion and Judgment Affirming Motion to Dismiss and Summary Judgment</p>
<p>Western District of Oklahoma Stayed</p>	<p><i>Calvey v. Obama</i>, No. 5:10-cv-00353 – Judge David Russell</p> <p>04/07/2010 – Complaint 08/06/2010 – Amended Complaint 02/15/2011 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants 03/09/2011 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Mot. to Dismiss 03/22/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint filed by Calvey 03/22, 23, & 25/2011 – Response to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss filed by Calvey</p>

	<p>03/23 & 25/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint filed by Calvey</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Reply supporting Motion to Dismiss filed by Obama</p> <p>04/26/2011 – Order granting in part and denying in part Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction; granting Motion to Amend amended complaint.</p> <p>05/10/2011– Answer filed by Defendant</p> <p>11/08/2011– Order Staying Case pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p>
<p>Eastern District Oklahoma</p>	<p><i>Pruitt v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 6:11-cv-00030 – Judge Ronald A. White</p> <p>01/21/2011 – Complaint</p> <p>02/04/2011 – Summons returned executed</p> <p>03/28/2011 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants</p> <p>04/08/2011 – Response in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiff</p> <p>04/19/2011– Motion for Extension of Time to Reply to Motion Response</p> <p>04/20/2011– Minute Order granting Defendant’s Motion for Extension of Time</p> <p>04/26/2011– Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Defendant</p> <p>09/22/2011– Def. Notice of Supp. Auth. (4th circuit decision)</p> <p>11/16/2011– Order to show cause why case should not be stayed pending SCOTUS review of Florida case</p> <p>11/17/2011– Response agreeing case should be stayed</p> <p>11/23/2011– Order Staying Case pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Motion to lift stay</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Order granting motion to lift stay</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Amended Complaint (no preemption; final exchange rule violates APA)</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Order finding Defendant’s motion to dismiss original compl. Moot</p> <p>12/03/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>12/06/2012 – Mot. to Intervene by GC Restaurants, Old English Lion and Rose, challenging § 36B premium assistance regulations as invalid under APA, with Complaint in Intervention</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Defendant’s Opp. to Intervention</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Plaintiffs’ Response in Support of Intervention</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Response in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Reply in Support of Intervention</p>

	02/08/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss
<p>Southern District of Mississippi</p> <p>Stayed, except privacy claims</p> <p>Def. Mot. to Dismiss privacy claims granted</p> <p>Appealed to 5th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Bryant and Walters v. Holder</i>, No. 2:10-cv-76 – Judge Keith Starrett</p> <p>04/02/2010 – Petition filed</p> <p>04/09/2010 – First Amended Petition, adding Plaintiff Lt. Gov. Phil Bryant</p> <p>08/01/2010 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>11/15/2010 – Opposition to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/22/2010 – Memorandum in Opposition filed separately by Phil Bryant</p> <p>01/21/2011 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/03/2011 – Order dismissing First Amended Petition (with leave to refile w/i 30days); Order granting in part and denying in part Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>03/04/2011 – Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>03/21/2011 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer filed by Defendants</p> <p>03/21/2011 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Extend time to Answer</p> <p>04/12/2011 – Motion to Dismiss and for Jurisdictional Discovery filed by Defendants</p> <p>05/20/2011 – Opposition of Motion to Dismiss and Jurisdictional Discovery</p> <p>05/31/2011 – Order granting Defendant extension of time to reply to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/05/2011 – Defendants’ reply (rebuttal) to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/16/2011 – Supp. authority from Defendant (New Jersey Physicians, 3d Cir.)</p> <p>08/17/2011 – Response to supp. authority and supp. authority from Plf. (Fla. ,11th Cir.)</p> <p>08/18/2011 – Response to supp. authority from Def.</p> <p>08/29/2011 – Order granting in part & denying in part Defendants’ motion to dismiss (and allowing plfs. to proceed with their individual mandate challenge)</p> <p>09/12/2011 – Answer</p> <p>11/16/2011 – Joint Mot. to Stay Proceedings pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>11/23/2011 – Stay pending SCOTUS review in Fla. case, EXCEPT privacy claims</p> <p>02/01/2012 – Order extending time to complete discovery</p> <p>04/11/2012 – Order granting in part and denying in part a motion by Def. to compel discovery</p> <p>05/01/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Plfs. not responding to discovery</p> <p>05/01/2012 – Motion and Mem. for Summ. J. filed by Def.</p>

	<p>05/01/2012 – Mem. in Support of Summ. J. filed by Bryant 07/06/2012 – Opp. To Bryant’s Mot. for Summ J. filed by Def. 07/06/2012 – Opp. to Geithner’s Mot. for Summ. J. filed by Bryant 07/20/2012 – Resp. on Summ. J. filed by Def. 07/20/2012 – Resp. on Summ. J. filed by Bryant 08/13/2012 – Order granting Defs.’ Motion to Dismiss Plfs. 08/23/2012 – Order granting Defs’ Mot. for Summ. J. re: privacy claims 09/05/2012 – Judgment entered 10/23/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p>5th Cir. Ct. of App. Case dismissed</p>	<p><i>Walters v. Holder</i>, No. 12-60844, on appeal from No. 2:10-cv-00076</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Notice of Appeal 12/12/2012 – Notice Appellant’s Br. due 12/19/2012 – Order dismissing appeal for failing to file brief & record</p>
<p>District of Columbia District Court Case dismissed Appealed to D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Ass’n of Am. Physicians & Surgeons v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:10-cv-499 – Judge Amy Berman Jackson</p> <p>03/26/2010 – Complaint 05/12/2010 – Response to Notice of Related Case 05/24/2010 – Reply to Response to Notice of Related Case filed by Plaintiffs 06/07/2010 – Defendants’ Reply re: Opposition to Related-Case Designation 06/11/2010 – Order - Case Reassigned to Judge Richard J. Leon 07/29/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Complaint Filed by Defendants 09/08/2010 – Consent Motion to File Second Amended Complaint 09/13/2010 – Second Amended Complaint Filed 11/12/2010 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants 11/22/2010 – Motion to Stay Summary Judgment and Discovery filed by Defendants 12/09/2010 – Opposition to Stay filed by Plaintiffs 12/20/2010 – Reply to Memo opposing Motion to Stay filed by Defendants 12/24/2010 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Stay Summary Judgment and Discovery 01/10/2011 – Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiffs 01/13/2011 – Order denying Motion to Dismiss as moot 02/14/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint 03/30/2011 – Case reassigned to U.S. District Judge Amy Berman Jackson. Judge Richard J. Leon no longer assigned to</p>

	<p>the case.</p> <p>04/8/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Defendants (Hall decision)</p> <p>10/07/2011 – Geithner Motion to Stay pending decisions in SCOTUS & DC Cir cases</p> <p>10/24/2011 – Opp. to Mot. to Stay</p> <p>11/08/2011 – Order granting Stay</p> <p>07/13/2012 – Order lifting stay</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Supplemental Mem. from U.S.</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Supplemental Mem. from Plfs.</p> <p>10/03/2012 – Order for Def. to address whether individual mandate constitutes unconstitutional taking in violation of 5th Am.</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Def. Resp. to 10/3/12 Order</p> <p>10/19/2012 – AAPS Resp. to 10/3/12 Order</p> <p>10/31/2012 – Order and Opinion granting Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p>D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Ass’n of Amer. Physicians & Surgeons v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5003</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 10-cv-00499)</p> <p>03/13/2013 – Emergency Motion for Injunction as to Count IV by Appellant</p> <p>03/28/2013 – Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction</p> <p>04/08/2013 – Reply in Supp. of Emergency Motion</p> <p>04/17/2013 – Order Denying Emergency Motion for Injunction</p>
<p>District of Columbia District Court</p>	<p><i>Sissel v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.</i>, No. 1:10-cv-01263 – Judge Beryl A. Howell</p> <p>07/26/2010 – Complaint</p> <p>07/26/2010 – Notice of Related Case by Plaintiff Sissel</p> <p>10/25/2010 – Opp. to Notice of Related Case filed by Defendants</p> <p>11/03/2010 – Response in Support of Notice of Related Case</p> <p>11/12/2010 – Reply in Opposition to Plaintiff’s Response re Notice of Related Case</p> <p>11/15/2010 – Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/16/2010 – Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/05/2011 – Order staying discovery pending resolution of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/18/2011 – Reply to Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>02/28/2011 – Notice of Supp. Authority by Defendants (Mead/Seven Sky, D.C.D.C.)</p>

	<p>08/09/2011 – Case stayed pending D.C. Circuit decision in Mead/Seven Sky</p> <p>11/30/2011 – Joint Motion to Stay pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>12/01/2011 – Order granting Stay</p> <p>09/11/2012 – Mot. for leave to file amended complaint</p> <p>10/11/2012 – Order granting leave to file amended complaint</p> <p>10/11/2012 – Amended Complaint (Origination Clause)</p> <p>11/08/2012 – Def. Mot. to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>12/03/2012 – Plf. Opposition to Mot. to Dismiss</p> <p>12/04/2012 – Ctr. for Const. Jurisprudence Amicus Br (Origination Clause)</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Mot. to Dismiss</p>
<p>Middle District of Pennsylvania</p> <p>Held: Mandate unconstitutional</p> <p>Vacated</p>	<p><i>Goudy-Bachman v. U.S. Dep’t Health & Human Servs.</i>, No. 1:10-cv-00763 – Judge Christopher Conner</p> <p>04/12/2010 – Complaint</p> <p>06/14/2010 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/13/2010 – Order Holding Issuance of Pretrial/Trial Schedule in Abeyance, Pending Resolution of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/26/2010 – Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/03/2010 – Plaintiffs’ Notice of Supplemental Authority (Va. v. Sebelius)</p> <p>08/13/2010 – Plaintiffs Supplemental Brief in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/18/2010 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/07/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Baldwin)</p> <p>10/21/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Thomas More)</p> <p>12/06/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Geithner, Sebelius, U.S. Dep’t of Health and Human Services, U.S. Dep’t of Treasury)</p> <p>01/24/2011 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/23/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Defendants (DC dec.)</p> <p>06/10/2011 – Joint Stipulation for filing cross motions for summ. j. approved by court</p> <p>06/21/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment in the Alternative filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/06/2011 - Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>07/07/2011 – Brief in Support of Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs</p>

	<p>07/18/2011 – Brief in Opposition of Motion for Summary Judgment 07/21/2011 – Oral argument held before Judge Conner 08/01/2011 – Reply Brief responding to Opposition of Motion for Summary Judgment 08/19/2011 – Supp. Brief from Plaintiffs re: 11th Cir. decision 08/30/2011 – Reply from Defendant re: 11th Cir. Decision 09/08/2011 – Notice of subsequent authority from Geithner (4th Circuit opinions) 09/13/2011 – Mem. Opin. granting Summ J. to Plf. & finding minimum coverage provision unconstitutional 11/10/2011 – Notice of Appeal 12/03/2012 – Mandate of Court of Appeals 12/04/2012 – Order vacating judgment and closing case</p>
<p>3d Cir. Ct. of App. Aff'd Complaint dismissed for failure to state valid claim</p>	<p><i>Goudy-Bachman v. U.S. Dep't Health & Human Servs</i> , No. 11-4160</p> <p>11/17/2011 – Case docketed (appeal from, No. 1:10-cv-00763 above) 11/18/2011 – Joint Mot. to Stay Proceedings pending SCOTUS review of Florida case 11/30/2011 – Order granting Motion to Stay (status reports being filed) 08/09/2012 – Stay lifted 09/17/2012 – Mot. by Goudy-Bachman to summarily affirm 09/12/2012 – Resp. by U.S. to Mot. 10/11/2012 – Mot for Summary Affirmance denied; D. Ct. judgment vacated (NFIB) with order to dismiss complaint (Judges Sloviter, Fisher, Weis) 12/03/2012 – Mandate Issued</p>
<p>District of Arizona Held: Motion to Dismiss Granted Appealed to 9th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Coons v. Geithner et al</i>, No. 2:10-cv-01714 – Judge G. Murray Snow</p> <p>08/12/2010 – Complaint 11/15/2010 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiffs 11/16/2010 – Amended Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Plaintiffs 12/13/2010 – Opposition to Amended Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Defendants 01/11/2011 – Reply to Response to Motion for Prelim. Inj. 01/24/2011 – Defendants' Notice re: House Vote to Repeal ACA, including Plfs.' Votes 01/28/2011 – Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defs.' Notice re: House Vote 02/02/2011 – Defendants' Response to Motion to Strike 03/08/2011 – Motion to Withdraw Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Plaintiffs</p>

	<p>03/10/2011 – Order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion to Withdraw Motion for Prelim. Inj.</p> <p>03/11/2011 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/04/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Amended Complaint by Plaintiffs</p> <p>05/09/2011 – Plaintiffs granted leave to file Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/10/2011 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/31/2011 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>06/20/2011 – Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and to treat Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss as a Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>06/23/2011 – Amicus Br. Supporting Plaintiffs from Pacific Legal Found.</p> <p>06/23/2011 – Defendants’ Motion to Stay Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summ. J.</p> <p>07/05/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/07/2011 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion to Stay</p> <p>07/14/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Stay</p> <p>07/25/2011 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Stay</p> <p>08/10/2011 – Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>08/29/2011 – Plaintiffs’ Reply in Supp. of Summ. J. and against Def. Mot. for Summ. J.</p> <p>09/12/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Summ. J.</p> <p>09/16/2011 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to File Sur-Reply</p> <p>09/19/2011 – Defendants’ Opp. To Plaintiffs’ Motion to File Sur-Reply</p> <p>11/29/2011 – Defendants’ Motion to Stay pending SCOTUS review of Florida case</p> <p>12/13/2011 – Plaintiffs’ Response Opposing Stay</p> <p>12/20/2011 – Defendants’ Reply supporting Stay</p> <p>01/17/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay pending NFIB</p> <p>07/16/2012 – Status Report from U.S.</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Order granting in part and denying in part Def. Mot. to Dismiss (NFIB)</p> <p>09/13/2012 – Coons Supplemental. Br.</p> <p>09/27/2012 – U.S. Supp. Br.</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Order Granting Def. Mot. to Dismiss remaining claims re: 5th, 9th Am. re:& medical autonomy; 4th, 5th, 9th re: privacy; and preempts AZ state legislation</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p>9th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Coons v. Geithner</i>, No. 13-15324</p> <p>02/20/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 2:10-cv-01714)</p> <p>05/20/2013 – Appellants Opening Brief Due</p>

	07/01/2013 – Appellees Reply Brief Due
	Challenges to Contraceptive Coverage Mandate
<p>Northern District of Alabama</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice</p>	<p><i>Eternal World Television Network Inc. v. Sebelius</i> No. 2:12-cv-00501, Judge Sharon Lovelace Blackburn</p> <p>02/09/2012 – Complaint 03/21/2012 – Amended Complaint 03/22/2012 – Motion to Intervene by State of Alabama 03/22/2012 – Order re: Motion to Intervene filed by State of Alabama 04/23/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Intervene filed by Defendants 05/04/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants 05/09/2012 – Order re: Motion to Dismiss by Defendants 05/23/2012 – State of Alabama Reply in Support of Motion to Intervene 05/23/2012 – Reply to Motion to Intervene filed by Plaintiff 06/01/2012 – Response in Opp. re: Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff 06/25/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants 08/07/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Discovery 08/15/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Discovery 02/05/2013 – Intervenor State of Alabama Notice of Recent Factual and Legal Developments in Alabama 03/01/2013 – Defendant Response to Intervenor’s Notice of Recent Factual and Legal Developments in Alabama 03/25/2013 – Mem. Opinion and Order granting Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p>District of Columbia District Court</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00815, Judge Amy B. Jackson</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint 08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss and Mem. in Support by Defendants 08/27/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss 08/28/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law & Policy and 79 Members of Congress 09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 11/02/2012 – Minute Entry Granting Defendant’s Motion to Stay case pending decision in consolidated appeals of <i>Wheaton College v. Sebelius</i> (No. 12-</p>

	<p>5273) and Belmont Abbey College v. Sebelius (No. 12-5291).</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Minute Order requesting one pleading per party addressing whether court should dismiss in light of order issued by D.C. District Court, due on 01/16/2013</p> <p>01/16/2013 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Plaintiff</p> <p>01/16/2013 – Supplemental Brief re: Supplemental Authority by Defendants</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Mem. Opinion</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5091</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00815)</p> <p>04/04/2013 – Motion for summary reversal of District Court decision by Appellant</p> <p>04/17/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion for summary reversal by Appellee</p> <p>04/24/2013 – Reply in Supp. of Motion for summary reversal</p>
<p>District of Columbia District Court</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</p> <p>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Gilardi v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.</i> (“Freshway Foods”), No. 13-cv-00104, Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>01/24/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>02/08/2013 – Motion for Preliminary injunction</p> <p>02/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by State of Ohio</p> <p>02/25/2013 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/28/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>03/03/2013 – Mem. Opinion Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>03/04/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Joint Motion to stay proceedings pending appeal</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Minute Order granting consent motion to stay pending appeal</p>
D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.	<p><i>Gilardi v. HHS</i> (“Freshway Foods”), No. 13-5069</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 13-cv-00104)</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Appellants’ Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p>

	<p>03/11/2013 – Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>03/12/2013 – Reply Brief in Support of Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal before April 1, 2013 and to Expedite Appeal</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Order Denying Emergency Motion for Injunction and setting briefing schedule</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Emergency Petition by Appellant for rehearing en banc of denial of Emergency Motion for Injunction</p> <p>03/29/2013 – Order Granting Emergency Motion for Injunction pending Appeal on rehearing; briefing schedule remains in effect</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Appellant Brief</p> <p>05/30/2013 – Appellee Brief due</p>
<p>District of Columbia District Court</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:11-cv-01989 – Judge James E. Boasberg</p> <p>11/10/2011 – Complaint</p> <p>03/20/2012 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>04/05/2012 – Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>04/23/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>05/03/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>06/22/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Defendants</p> <p>06/26/2012 – Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>07/18/2012 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss and Dismissing Case without Prejudice</p> <p>07/18/2012 – Opinion granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/23/2012 – Motion for Reconsideration filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>08/07/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>08/09/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</p> <p>08/16/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Defendant</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>09/05/2012 – Opinion and Order denying Motion for Reconsideration</p> <p>09/14/2012 – Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff</p>
<p>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-5291 (<i>Wheaton College</i>, No. 12-5273)</p> <p>09/14/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:11-cv-01989 above)</p>

	<p>10/05/2012 – Appellant Opening Brief filed by Wheaton College and Belmont Abbey College</p> <p>10/10/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law and Justice and Regent Univ.</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Women Speak for Themselves</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Civil Rights Union, Cato Institute and Ctr. for Constitutional Jurisprudence</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, Ass’n of Am. Physicians & Surgeons, Inc., Catholic Medical Ass’n, Nat’l Ass’n of Pro Life Nurses, Nat’l Catholic Bioethics Ctr. and Physicians for Life</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Christian Legal Soc’y</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by State of Texas</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief by Wyoming Catholic Coll., et al.</p> <p>11/05/2012 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>11/16/2012 – Appellant Reply Brief</p> <p>12/14/2012 – Oral Argument (Judges Garland, Griffith, and Randolph)</p> <p>12/18/2012 – Order holding cases in abeyance and directing Appellee to file status reports every 60 days from date of Order.</p> <p>02/11/2013 – Status Report by Appellees notifying Court of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published in Federal Register. <i>See</i> 78 Fed. Reg. 8456 (Feb. 6, 2013)</p> <p>04/16/2013 – Status Report by Appellees notifying Court that comment period on Proposed Rule has closed and comments are currently being reviewed. New rules to be issued by August 2013.</p>
<p>District of Columbia District Court</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</p> <p>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of</p>	<p><i>Tyndale House Publishers, Inc., v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01635-RBW, Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>10/02/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>10/08/2012 – Mot. and Mem. for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/22/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>10/25/2012 – Supplemental Mem. in Support of Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/07/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU of the National Capital Area</p>

<p>App.</p>	<p>11/09/2012 – Minute Entry and Motion Hearing 11/12/2012 – Response to Amicus Brief filed by Plaintiffs 11/16/2012 – Order granting Preliminary Injunction 11/16/2012 – Mem. Opinion 01/15/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants 01/28/2013 – Motion to Stay by Plaintiffs 01/30/2013 – Minute Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p>
<p>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</p> <p>Held: Case Dismissed Voluntarily</p>	<p><i>Tyndale House Publishers v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5018</p> <p>01/18/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-01635) 04/25/2013 – Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss filed by Appellant 04/25/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss 04/26/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 05/03/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Voluntary Dismissal</p>
<p>District of Columbia District Court</p>	<p><i>Johnson Welded Products, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00609, Judge Ellen S. Huvelle</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Complaint</p>
<p>District of Colorado</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Colorado Christian Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:11-cv-03350, Judge Christine M. Arguello</p> <p>12/22/2011 – Complaint 12/27/2011 – Order referring case to Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland 01/06/2012 – Scheduling for Order 02/06/2012 – Motion to Postpone scheduling conference filed by Defendants 02/07/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Postpone 02/07/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion to Postpone 02/09/2012 – Order denying Motion to Postpone conference 03/13/2012 – Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge on Decision postponement to District Court 03/22/2012 – Amended Complaint 03/26/2012 – Response to Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision filed by Plaintiff 04/09/2012 – Reply to Response to Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision filed by Defendants 04/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Mem. of Support 04/30/2012 – Order denying Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision</p>

	<p>05/03/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/18/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>08/02/2012 – Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants</p> <p>08/03/2012 – Mem. re: Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Order denying Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants</p> <p>08/07/2012 – Mem. re: Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Order denying Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion to Compel and Mem. in Support by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Compel</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Order granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Final Judgment Order</p>
<p>District of Colorado</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Stay of Proceedings Pending Appeal</p> <p>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Newland v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-01123, Judge John L. Kane</p> <p>04/30/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>04/30/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</p> <p>06/08/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>06/26/2012 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>07/13/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>07/13/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>07/17/2012 – Reply in Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>08/30/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Notice of Appeal as to Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>10/03/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Dismiss Complaint</p> <p>10/11/2012 – Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/18/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/26/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay Proceedings</p>
<p>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Newland v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-1380</p> <p>09/26/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:12-cv-001123)</p>

	<p>10/18/2012 – Motion filed by Appellants to Extend Time to file Appellant/Petitioner’s Brief</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Order granting Appellant’s Motion for Extension of Time.</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Motion by Appellants to consolidate appeals (<i>Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6294)</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate appeals</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to consolidate appeals</p> <p>01/18/2013 – Appellant Brief</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, American Civil Liberties Union of Colorado, et al.</p> <p>02/22/2013 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>02/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Center for Law and Justice</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Assoc. of American Physicians and Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Archdiocese of Colorado Springs, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education and Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by AAPLOG, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Democrats for Life of America and Bart Stupak</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Assoc. of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Appellant Reply Brief</p> <p>03/29/2013 – Order denying Motion to consolidate appeals</p>
<p>District of Colorado</p>	<p><i>Briscoe v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00285, Judge Boyd N. Boland</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and Brief in Support</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</p> <p>02/21/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion for TRO by Defendants</p> <p>02/22/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for TRO</p> <p>02/22/2013 – Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p>

	<p>and TRO by Amicus American Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Order Denying Plaintiff’s Motion for TRO</p>
<p>District of Colorado</p>	<p><i>Armstrong v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00563, Judge R. Brooke Jackson</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>03/18/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and Brief in Support</p> <p>04/10/2013 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>04/24/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>04/24/2013 – Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Amicus American Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>05/01/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Liberty, Life & Law Found.</p> <p>05/02/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Attorney General, State of Colorado</p>
<p>Middle District of Florida</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Ave Maria Univ. v. Sebelius</i> No. 2:12-cv-00088, referred to Magistrate Judge Sheri Polster Chappell</p> <p>02/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>02/22/2012 – Order of Recusal by Judge John E. Steele.</p> <p>02/23/2012 – Case reassigned</p> <p>05/04/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction and Mem. of Support</p> <p>05/16/2012 – Notice of related cases by Defendants</p> <p>05/18/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>06/08/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/24/2012 – Response in Opp to Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>07/31/2012 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/03/2012 – Motion to review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>08/16/2012 – Response to Motion to Review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>09/04/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Order overturning Magistrate Judge Order, Granting Defendant’s Motion to Stay Discovery</p>

	03/29/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss
Middle District of Florida	<i>Beckwith Electric Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i> , No. 13-cv-00648, Judge Mark A. Pizzo 03/12/2013 – Complaint
Southern District of Florida Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction	<i>The Most Reverend Thomas G. Wenski v. Sebelius</i> , No. 1:12-cv-23820-DLG, Judge Donald L. Graham 10/19/2012 – Complaint 12/21/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction 01/16/2013 – Motion and Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss 01/23/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 03/04/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss
Northern District of Georgia	<i>The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Atlanta v. Sebelius</i> , No. 1:12-cv-03489-WSD, Judge William S. Duffey, Jr. 10/05/2012 – Complaint 12/10/2012 – Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support by Defendants 12/31/2012 – Amended Complaint 01/14/2013 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Mem. in Support 01/31/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss 02/14/2013 – Reply Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint
Northern District of Illinois Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction	<i>Conlon v. Sebelius</i> , No. 1:12-cv-03932, Judge John W. Darrah 05/21/2012 – Complaint 08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss 08/08/2012 – Amended Complaint 08/21/2012 – Motion to Dismiss and Mem. in Support 09/11/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss 10/02/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 02/08/2013 – Opinion and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss

<p>Northern District of Illinois</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; proceedings Stayed</p>	<p><i>Lindsay v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-01210, Judge Ronald A. Guzman</p> <p>02/14/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>02/28/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and Mem. in Support</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction (notice of non-opposition)</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Motion to Stay proceedings by Defendants</p> <p>03/20/2013 – Order Granting Agreed Preliminary Injunction; staying proceedings until thirty days after mandate issued from consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i>.</p>
<p>Northern District of Illinois</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; proceedings Stayed</p>	<p><i>Hartenbower v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-02253, Judge Ruben Castillo</p> <p>03/26/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>04/16/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>04/18/2013 – Order Granting Preliminary Injunction and Staying proceedings until thirty days after mandate issued from consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i>.</p>
<p>Central District of Illinois</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Catholic Diocese of Peoria v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01276-JES-BGC, assigned to Chief Judge James E. Shadid, referred to Magistrate Judge Byron G. Cudmore</p> <p>08/09/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>10/19/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>10/19/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>11/02/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>11/13/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/03/2013 – Order and Opinion granting Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Judgment Entered</p>
<p>Northern District of Illinois</p> <p>Held: Preliminary</p>	<p><i>Triune Health Group, Inc. v. Dep’t of HHS</i>, , No. 1:12-cv-06756, Judge Amy J. St. Eve</p> <p>08/22/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>10/15/2012 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim and Mem. of Law in Support</p>

<p>Injunction Granted</p> <p>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p>11/15/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU Illinois in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Mem. of Law in Support by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/29/2012 – Amended Motion for Preliminary Injunction (technical amendments)</p> <p>12/12/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>12/19/2012 – Reply by Plaintiff in Support of Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/03/2013 – Order Granting Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>03/04/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Motion to Stay Appeal pending Court’s decision in related Appeal (<i>Korte v. Sebelius</i>)</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Appeal</p> <p>04/02/2013 – Minute Order Granting Motion to Stay pending decision in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>04/02/2013 – Minute Order Denying Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Triune Health Group, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1478</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-06756)</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Motion by Appellant to stay case</p> <p>03/14/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Appeal Pending resolution in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i>. Parties directed to file status report within 14 days of issuance of decision in that case.</p>
<p>Southern District of Illinois</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</p> <p>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Korte v. Dep’t of HHS</i>, No. 3:12-cv-01072-MJR-PMF, assigned to Judge Michael J. Reagan, referred to Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier</p> <p>10/09/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>10/10/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction <i>on Count I (RFRA) and Count II (Free Exercise Clause)</i> filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/10/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion</p> <p>11/06/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by ACLU</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Amicus Brief in in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Reply to Amicus Brief of ACLU</p>

	<p>11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>12/14/2012 – Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/17/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/17/2012 – Joint Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal (text entry only)</p>
<p>7th Circuit Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Korte v. HHS</i>, No. 12-3841</p> <p>12/18/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 3:12-cv-01072)</p> <p>12/18/2012 – Emergency Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/21/2012 – Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/21/2012 – Reply by Appellants in Support of Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Order granting Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Appellant Brief</p> <p>01/30/2013 – Order granting Injunction Pending Appeal and consolidating <i>William Grote, III v. Kathleen Sebelius</i> (No. 13-1077) with this case. All subsequent docket entries represent consolidated case activity.</p> <p>02/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life, & Law Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Drury Development Corp., et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Online, et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue missions, et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Vote</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Appellant Brief by Appellant Grote</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Indianapolis, Inc., et al.</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Indiana Catholic Conference, et al.</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Wisconsin Family Action</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue Missions</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by Center for Reproductive Rights, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Women’s Law Center</p>

	<p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>03/15/2013 – Appellant Brief by Appellant Korte</p> <p>03/18/2013 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health, et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – Appellant Reply Brief by Appellant Grote</p>
<p>Northern District of Indiana</p> <p>Held: Permanent Injunction Denied; Case Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Notre Dame Univ. of v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00253-JD-CAN, assigned to Judge Jon E DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Christopher A. Nuechterlein</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/21/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice and 79 Members of Congress in Support of Plaintiff</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>10/17/2012 – Order Reassigning Case to Judge Jon E. DeGuilio</p> <p>10/24/2012 – Motion for Permanent and Preliminary Injunction, filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>10/24/2012 – Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearings by Plaintiff</p> <p>10/24/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Consolidate Trial and Injunction Hearing</p> <p>11/07/2012 – Response to Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearing</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearing</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Order of Recusal. Case reassigned to Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.</p> <p>12/31/2012 – Opinion and Order denying Motion for Permanent Injunction as moot, granting Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Judgment Entered</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>

<p>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1479</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00253) 03/27/2013 – Order suspending briefing pending prehearing conference</p>
<p>Northern District of Indiana</p> <p>Held: Case Stayed</p>	<p><i>Diocese of Fort Wayne–South Bend, Inc. v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-00159–JD–RBC, assigned to Judge Jon E DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Roger B Cosby</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint 08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction by Defendants 08/06/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss 08/27/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss 09/07/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs by Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice, et al. 09/24/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss 10/02/2012 – Motion to Consolidate Cases by Plaintiffs 10/02/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Consolidate Cases 10/10/2012 – Response to Motion to Consolidate 10/19/2012 – Order Reassigning Case to Judge Jon E DeGuilio 03/14/2013 – Order staying case for up to 45 days, requesting parties to file Joint Report by 4/12/2013. Court may extend the Order after consideration of the Joint Report. 04/01/2013 – Order Denying Motion to Consolidate Cases 04/12/2013 – Joint Report concerning Stay of the Case; parties unable to reach agreement on whether case should be stayed pending resolution of appeal in <i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Sebelius</i> (No. 13-1479).</p>
<p>Northern District of Indiana</p>	<p><i>Tonn & Blank Construction LLC v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00325, Judge Roger B. Cosby</p> <p>09/20/2012 – Complaint 09/20/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff 09/20/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction 12/31/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants 12/31/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction 12/31/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss 01/22/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p>

	<p>01/25/2013 – Reply in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss and in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/11/2013 – Motion to Stay proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/25/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay proceedings</p> <p>03/04/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay proceedings</p> <p>04/01/2013 – Order Granting Preliminary Injunction</p>
<p>Northern District of Indiana</p>	<p><i>Grace Schools v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00459-JD-CAN, assigned to Judge Jon E. DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Christopher A. Nuechterlein</p> <p>08/23/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/07/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/14/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs by Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice, et al.</p>
<p>Southern District of Indiana</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</p> <p>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Grote Industries, LLC v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00134-SEB-DML, assigned to Judge Sarah Evans Barker, referred to Magistrate Judge Debra McVicker Lynch</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>10/30/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>10/30/2012 – Motion for Emergency Hearing regarding Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>11/27/2012 – Brief and Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/06/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/13/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/27/2012 – Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/31/2012 – Motion for Reconsideration of Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/31/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</p>

	<p>01/03/2012 – Order denying Motion for Reconsideration 01/09/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p>7th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</p>	<p><i>William Grote, III v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1077</p> <p>01/09/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 4:12-cv-00134) 01/11/2013 – Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal 01/17/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal 01/24/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal 01/30/2013 – Order Granting Injunction Pending Appeal and consolidating this case with <i>Korte v. HHS</i> (No. 12-3841). See <i>Korte</i> docket (above) for consolidated docket entries following 01/30/2013.</p>
<p>Western District of Louisiana</p> <p>Held: Case Stayed Until 08/15/2013 Pending Status of Regulations and Currently Pending Litigation</p>	<p><i>Louisiana Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-00463, Judge Dee D. Drell</p> <p>02/18/2012 – Complaint 05/11/2012 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants 06/11/2012 – Amended Complaint 06/11/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss 07/06/2012 – Report and Recommendation that Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss be Denied as Moot, Recommending Defendant file a Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint 07/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Mem. of Law in Support of such Motion 07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Resolution of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants 08/07/2012 – Notice by Defendants of pending challenges to preventive services coverage regulations 08/13/2012 – Mem. in Opp to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss 08/13/2012 – Mem. in Opp to Defendant’s Motion to Stay Discovery 08/24/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Dismiss 08/24/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Stay Discovery 08/31/2012 – Order granting Motion for Leave to File Sur-Reply 09/05/2012 – Sur-Reply in Opp. regarding Motion to Stay Discovery and Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff 01/04/2013 – Order Staying Case until 8/15/2013 at which time Government is Ordered to provide court with a status report as to regulations and other relevant pending litigation</p>

<p>Eastern District of Michigan</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</p>	<p><i>Domino's Farms Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-15488, Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p>12/14/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>12/21/2012 – Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/25/2012 – Response to Emergency Motion for TRO by Defendants</p> <p>12/30/2012 – Opinion and Order Granting Motion for TRO</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</p> <p>02/01/2013 – Motion Hearing held on 01/31/2013. Court requested additional briefing and gives both parties two weeks to respond to Amicus Brief of Attorney General Bill Schuette</p> <p>02/14/2013 – Supp. Brief by Plaintiffs in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/14/2013 – Supp. Brief by Defendants in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/14/2013 – Order and Opinion Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p>
<p>Eastern District of Michigan</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted for Plaintiff Weingartz, Denied without Prejudice for Plaintiff Legatus</p> <p>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Weingartz Supply Co. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-12061, Judge Robert H. Cleland, referred to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p>05/07/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>07/25/2012 – Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/07/2012 – Order Directing Plaintiffs to File Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>08/15/2012 – Motion and Brief for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/29/2012 – Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>09/06/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>09/17/2012 – Order terminating Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for TRO</p> <p>09/17/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Fund, Life Legal Defense Foundation</p>

	<p>09/28/2012 – Amicus Brief filed by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</p> <p>09/28/2012 – Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>10/31/2012 – Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction for Plaintiff Weingartz, and denying without prejudice Plaintiff Legatus’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/13/2012 – Preliminary Injunction Order</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Plaintiff Legatus</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Motion to Stay proceedings pending Appeal</p> <p>01/31/2013 – Motion Hearing held on 01/31/2013, Granting Motion to Stay pending Appeal</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Notice by Defendants regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>03/04/2013 – Notice by Defendants regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>04/01/2013 – Notice by Defendants regarding status of rulemaking process</p>
<p>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Legatus v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, Nos. 13-1092, 13-1093</p> <p>01/24/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-12061)</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Motion by Defendants to hold case in abeyance</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to hold case in abeyance</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to hold case in abeyance</p> <p>03/20/2013 – Appellants’ Opening Brief</p> <p>03/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Coll. of Obstetrics & Gynecology, et al.</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Planned Parenthood of Middle and East Tennessee, et al.</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Hindu American Foundation</p> <p>04/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life & Law Found.</p> <p>04/29/2013 – Appellees’ Brief</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Am. Physicians & Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Institute, et al.</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Nicanor Pier Giorgio Austriaco, et al.</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bart Stupak & Democrats for Life</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Mr. Bernard Eric Restuccia for</p>

	<p style="text-align: center;">MI</p> <p style="text-align: center;">04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Christian Legal Society, et al. 04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Michigan Catholic Conference 04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Mr. Robert Anthony Destro 04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Educ. & Legal Def. Fund</p>
Eastern District of Michigan	<p><i>Nagle v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-12036, Judge David R. Grand</p> <p style="text-align: center;">05/08/13 – Complaint</p>
Eastern District of Michigan	<p><i>Eden Foods, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-11229, Judge Mark A. Randon</p> <p style="text-align: center;">03/20/2013 – Complaint 03/22/2013 – Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs 03/22/2013 – Order Denying Emergency Motion for TRO 04/19/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants 05/01/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p>
Eastern District of Michigan	<p><i>Mersino Management Company v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-11296, Judge R. Steven Whalen</p> <p style="text-align: center;">03/22/2013 – Complaint 05/09/2013 – Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p>
Eastern District of Michigan	<p><i>MK Chambers Company v. DHHS</i>, 13-cv-11379, Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">03/28/2013 – Complaint 04/02/2013 – Motion for Emergency Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs 04/03/2013 – Order Denying Emergency Motion for TRO 04/26/2013 – Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p>
Western District of Michigan Held: Preliminary	<p><i>Autocam Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01096, Judge Robert J. Jonker</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10/08/2012 – Complaint 10/10/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs 10/10/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary</p>

<p>Injunction Denied</p> <p>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p>Injunction</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Order denying expedited consideration of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/08/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU and ACLU Fund of Michigan</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Notice of Motion Hearing for 12/17/2012</p> <p>11/15/2012 – Order setting Rule 16 scheduling conference for 12/17/2012</p> <p>12/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</p> <p>12/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Bioethics Defense Fund and Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>12/17/2012 – Plaintiff's Supplemental Brief on Anti-Injunction Act in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/21/2012 – Plaintiff's Supplemental Brief on harm Supporting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/21/2012 – Defendant's Supplemental Brief Opposing Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/24/2012 – Opinion and Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/26/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/03/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/16/2013 – Order denying Motion to Stay. Court will instead extend deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' complaint to 02/15/2013.</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants and Brief in Support</p> <p>03/18/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>04/01/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p>6th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</p>	<p><i>Autocam Corp. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-2673</p> <p>12/26/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 1:12-cv-01096)</p> <p>12/26/2012 – Emergency Motion by Appellant for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/26/2012 – Motion by Appellant to expedite case</p> <p>12/27/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Injunctive Relief</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Order denying Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal, granting Motion to expedite case</p> <p>12/31/2012 – Motion by Appellant to Reconsider Order denying Injunction Pending Appeal</p>

	<p>12/31/2012 – Order denying Motion to Reconsider previous Injunction Order</p> <p>02/11/2013 – Appellant Opening Brief</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Ctr. for Law & Justice</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life & Law Foundation</p> <p>02/18/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Amer. Ass’n. of Pro Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Joint Amicus Brief by States of Michigan & Ohio</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Diocese of Grand Rapids</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Family Trust Found. of Kentucky.</p> <p>03/14/2013 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Pub. Health Ass’n., et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Coll. of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Planned Parenthood of Middle & East Tennessee, et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church & State, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>03/29/2013 – Substituted Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church & State, et al.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – Appellant Reply Brief</p> <p>04/16/2013 – Oral argument set for Tues., June 11, 2013 at 1 pm</p> <p>05/17/2013 – Supplemental briefs due</p>
Western District of Michigan	<p><i>Infrastructure Alternatives, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00031, Judge Robert J. Jonker</p> <p>01/10/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>03/18/2013 – Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support by Defendants</p> <p>04/15/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</p> <p>04/29/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p>
District of Minnesota Held: Preliminary Injunction	<p><i>Annex Medical v. Sebelius</i>, No. 0:12-cv-02804, assigned to Senior Judge David S. Doty, referred to Magistrate Judge Steven E. Rau</p> <p>11/02/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>11/21/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/21/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Denied</p> <p>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Injunction</u></p> <p>12/12/2012 – <u>Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</u></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <u>Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</u></p> <p>01/08/2013 – <u>Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</u></p> <p>01/08/2013 – Judgment Entered</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <u>Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></p> <p>01/14/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal, corrected (originally filed on 01/11/2013)</p> <p>01/15/2013 – <u>Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></p> <p>01/16/2013 – <u>Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></p> <p>01/17/2013 – <u>Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></p> <p>01/24/2013 – <u>Joint Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal</u></p> <p>01/25/2013 – <u>Order Granting Motion to Stay</u></p>
<p style="text-align: center;">8th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Annex Medical v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1118</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-02804)</p> <p>01/18/2013 – <u>Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellants</u></p> <p>01/25/2013 – <u>Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></p> <p>01/29/2013 – <u>Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction pending Appeal</u></p> <p>02/01/2013 – <u>Order Granting Motion for Emergency Preliminary Injunction pending Appeal</u></p> <p>02/14/2013 – <u>Motion to consolidate case with 12-3357 (O'Brien v. HHS) by Appellees</u></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <u>Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate</u></p> <p>03/04/2013 – <u>Order Denying Motion to consolidate</u></p> <p>03/07/2013 – <u>Appellant Opening Brief</u></p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass'n of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life & Law Found.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Online, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Missouri Roundtable for Life and the Right Reverend W. Thomas Frerking</p>

	<p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Minnesota Catholic Conference</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education and Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Charles N. Sharpe and Sharpe Holdings</p> <p>04/10/2013 – Appellee Brief</p>
<p>District of Minnesota</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; proceedings Stayed</p>	<p><i>Hall v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00295, Judge Leo I. Brisbois</p> <p>02/05/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Notice of Non-Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Proceedings by Defendants</p> <p>04/02/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Staying case pending resolution of appeal in either <i>O’Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i>.</p>
<p>Northern District of Mississippi</p>	<p><i>Am. Family Ass’n, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00032, Judge Sharion Aycock</p> <p>02/20/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and Mem. in Support</p> <p>04/19/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>04/19/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants and Mem. in Support</p> <p>05/03/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>05/03/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</p>
<p>Southern District of Mississippi</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Biloxi, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-00158, assigned to Judge Halil S. Ozerden, referred to Magistrate Judge Robert H. Walker</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p>

	<p>10/11/2012 – Motion for Oral Argument regarding Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Amicus Curiae the American Center for Law and Justice, et al.</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Mem. Opinion and Order granting Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Final Judgment dismissing action without prejudice</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Motion to Alter Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Mem. in Support re: Motion to Alter Judgment</p> <p>01/22/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Alter Judgment</p> <p>01/22/2013 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Alter Judgment</p> <p>01/29/2013 – Rebuttal Mem. in Support of Motion to Alter Judgment</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter Judgment</p>
<p>Eastern District of Missouri</p>	<p><i>Sharpe Holdings, Inc. v. US DHHS</i>, No. 12-cv-00092, Judge David D. Noce</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Mem. in Support re: Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/27/2012 – Supplemental Mem. in Support of Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/30/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/31/2012 – Mem. and Order Granting TRO</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by ACLU and ACLU of Eastern Missouri in Opp. to Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Supp. Brief re: Exemptions to Contraceptive Coverage Requirement by Plaintiff</p> <p>01/12/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for TRO and preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Order continuing TRO pending determination on injunctive relief</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Motion to Stay Proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>03/15/2013 – Order setting telephonic hearing on Defendant's Motion to Stay for Thursday, March 21 at 2 pm.</p>

	<p>03/18/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Proceedings and requesting approval of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p>
<p>Eastern District of Missouri</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Archdiocese of St. Louis v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00924, Judge John A. Ross</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/22/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice, et al.</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/29/2013 – Mem. and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p>Eastern District of Missouri</p> <p>Held: Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</p>	<p><i>O’Brien v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs.</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00476, Judge Carol E. Jackson</p> <p>03/15/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>06/11/2012 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>07/16/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>07/16/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Plaintiff’s Mem. in Opp. to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>08/15/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU of Eastern Missouri in Support of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>08/23/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/23/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>08/24/2012 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/14/2012 – Defendants’ Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>09/28/2012 – Mem. and Order Dismissing for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>10/01/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>

<p>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Frank O'Brien Jr., v. Dep't of HHS</i>, No. 12-3357, Judge Carol E. Jackson</p> <p>10/04/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00476) 10/23/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Appellants 11/01/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction 11/13/2012 – Appellant Opening Brief 11/13/2012 – Addendum of Appellant Brief 11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation 11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of American Ass'n of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, et al. 11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of Catholic Online, et al. 11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief of Archdiocese of St. Louis 11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief by Ass'n of Christian Schools Int'l, et al. 11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief of Bioethics Defense Fund, et al. 11/28/2012 – Order entering Stay pending Appeal 12/27/2012 – Appellee Brief 01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of National Health Law Program 01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of American Public Health Ass'n, et al. 01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al. 01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of American Civil Liberties Union, et al. 01/16/2013 – Amicus Brief of National Women's Law Center 01/18/2013 – Appellant's Reply Brief 02/14/2013 – Motion to consolidate case with 13-1118 (Annex Medical v. Kathleen Sebelius) by Appellees 02/22/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate 03/04/2013 – Order Denying Motion to consolidate</p>
<p>Eastern District of Missouri</p> <p>Held: Case Stayed</p>	<p><i>Bick Holdings Inc. v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-00462, Judge Audrey G. Fleissig</p> <p>03/13/2013 – Complaint 03/25/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and Mem. in Support 03/28/2013 – Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants (notice of non-opposition) 03/28/2013 – Consent Motion to Stay proceedings 04/01/2013 – Order Staying case pending resolution of appeal in <i>O'Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i>.</p>

<p>Western District of Missouri</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</p>	<p><i>Sioux Chief MFG. Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:13-cv-00036, Judge Ortrie D. Smith</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and Suggestions in Support</p> <p>02/28/2013 – Defendant’s Motion to Stay and Non-opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/28/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Granting Motion to Stay</p>
<p>Western District of Missouri</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</p> <p>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>American Pulverizer Co. v. HHS</i>, No. 12-cv-03459, Judge Richard E. Dorr</p> <p>10/19/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Suggestions in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/04/2012 – Suggestions in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>12/10/2012 – Reply Suggestions in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by ACLU</p> <p>12/17/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay proceedings pending Appeal</p> <p>02/20/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay</p>
<p>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</p> <p>Held: Stayed</p>	<p><i>American Pulverizer Co. v. HHS</i>, No. 13-1395</p> <p>02/25/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-03459)</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Motion to hold case in abeyance pending Court’s decision in related appeal</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Order Granting Motion to hold case in abeyance pending decision in O’Brien</p>
<p>Western District of Missouri</p> <p>Held: Complaint Dismissed Without Prejudice</p>	<p><i>College of the Ozarks v. US DHHS</i>, No. 12-cv-03428, Judge Brian C. Wimes</p> <p>09/17/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/16/2013 – Order of Dismissal without Prejudice</p>

<p>District of Nebraska</p> <p>Held: Complaint Dismissed Without Prejudice</p> <p>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>State of Nebraska v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.</i>, No. 4:12-cv-03035, Judge Warren K. Urbom</p> <p>02/23/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>04/30/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>04/30/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>05/24/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>06/13/2012 – Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/17/2012 – Mem. and Order granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/17/2012 – Judgment entered against Plaintiffs, Complaint dismissed without prejudice</p> <p>09/14/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Scheduling Letter as to Notice of Appeal</p>
<p>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>State of Nebraska v. U.S. Dep't of HHS</i>, No. 12-3238</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 4:12-cv-03035)</p> <p>11/05/2012 – Appellant Opening Brief</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass'n of Pro-Life Obst. & Gyn., et al.</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education & Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>01/30/2013 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>02/08/2013 – Motion to Stay Proceedings by Appellants</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Response with No Opp. to Stay</p>

<p>Eastern District of New York</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Priests for Life v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-00753, Judge Frederic Block</p> <p>02/05/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>04/23/2012 – Motion for pre-motion conference by Defendants</p> <p>05/14/2012 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/15/2012 – Order granting and scheduling pre-motion conference</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)/Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Mem. in Support of TRO/Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to TRO/Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Reply in Support of TRO/Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/18/2012 – Notice of Filing of Supplemental Declaration of Danielle Jones for Plaintiff (re: Accommodation)</p> <p>12/19/2012 – Notice by Defendant re: Supplemental Declaration</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Scheduling Order</p> <p>12/21/2012 – Stipulation of the Parties as to Injunctive Relief (stipulating that Plaintiff qualifies for temporary enforcement safe harbor)</p> <p>12/21/2012 – Order electronically endorsing Stipulation by the parties as to Injunctive Relief</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Electronic Order declaring motion for TRO as moot based on stipulation by the parties</p> <p>04/12/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p>Eastern District of New York</p> <p>Held: Motion to Dismiss Granted in Part, Denied in Part</p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archdiocese of New York v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-02542, Judge Brian M. Cogan</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/05/2012 – Mem. and Order granting Defendants Motion to Dismiss in part and denying in part. Claims of Diocese and Catholic Charities dismissed for lack of standing. Defendants’ motion otherwise denied.</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Minute Entry for Status Conference held on 01/07/2013. Defendants shall produce documents on a rolling basis, and depositions may be taken between Mar. 25, 2013 and Aug. 9, 2013. Motions</p>

	<p>for Summary Judgment must be filed by Aug. 16, 2013.</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Motion and Mem. in Support for Reconsideration of Order on Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>01/23/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Reconsideration of Order on Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff’s respond due by 02/01/2013.</p> <p>02/01/2013 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration by Plaintiffs</p> <p>02/08/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</p> <p>03/15/2013 – Answer to Complaint by Defendants</p> <p>04/24/2013 – Emergency Motion to Halt Proceedings and Dismiss case by Defendants</p> <p>04/24/2013 – Order Granting Emergency Motion in part--all proceedings and discovery stayed pending completion of Defendant agency’s current rulemaking proceedings. Defendant shall provide status updates on rulemaking every 60 days.</p> <p>04/25/2013 – Letter in response to Emergency Motion by Plaintiffs</p>
<p>Southern District of Ohio</p> <p>Held: Case Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Franciscan Univ. of Steubenville v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12–cv–00440, assigned to Judge Algenon L. Marbley, referred to Magistrate Judge Mark R. Abel</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint with Jury Demand</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants and Mem. in Support</p> <p>08/17/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/07/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>10/08/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice Supporting Plaintiff</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Motion and Mem. of Support for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Motion to Consolidate Pending Motions</p> <p>10/16/2012 – Order of Recusal signed by Judge George C Smith</p> <p>11/02/2012 – Response Opposing Consolidation and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction filed by Defendants</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Order of Recusal by Judge Edmund A Sargus. Case reassigned to Judge Algenon L. Marbley</p>

	<p>11/16/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction by Plaintiff</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p>Western District of Oklahoma</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</p> <p>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Hobby Lobby Stores Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 5:12-cv-01000, Judge Joe Heaton</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Opening Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/11/2012 – Unopposed Motion to File Amicus Brief by Dan Burton, Daniel R Coats, Thad Cochran, Mike Crapo, Charles Grassley, Orrin G Hatch, Wally Herger, James M Inhofe, Donald Manzullo, Mitch McConnell, John Mica, Pat Roberts, Richard Shelby, Lamar Smith</p> <p>10/15/2012 – Order denying various currently serving Members of Congress' Motion for leave to file an amicus brief</p> <p>10/22/2012 – Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/01/2012 – Minute Entry hearing held on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Order denying Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/10/2012 – Joint Motion to Stay case Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/12/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p>
<p>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Hobby Lobby Stores v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6294</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 5:12-cv-01000)</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>11/30/2012 – Response to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/03/2012 – Reply to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Order denying Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Motion by Appellees to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>01/10/2013 – Response to Motion to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>02/11/2013 – Appellant Opening Brief</p>

	<p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life & Law Foundation 02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Ctr. for Law & Justice 02/18/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al. 02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by State of Oklahoma 02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Archdiocese of Oklahoma City 02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Legal Foundation, et al. 02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists, et al. 02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by W. Thomas Frerking & Missouri Roundtable for Life 02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum, et al. 02/20/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation 02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Sen. Orrin G. Hatch, et al. 02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al. 03/07/2013 – Motion by Appellants to expedite case 03/15/2013 – Appellee Brief 03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Medical Women’s Ass’n, et al. 03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program, et al. 03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al. 03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Univ. Women, et al. 03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ams. United for Separation of Church & State, et al. 03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Public Health Ass’n, et al. 03/29/2013 – Appellant’s Reply Brief 04/22/2013 – Supplemental Brief by Appellees 04/22/2013 – Supplemental Brief by Appellants</p>
<p>Eastern District of Pennsylvania</p> <p>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</p> <p>Appealed to 3d Cir. Ct. of App</p>	<p><i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-06744, Judge Mitchell S. Goldberg</p> <p>12/04/2012 – Complaint 12/07/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff 12/08/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction 12/19/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants 12/28/2012 – Order temporarily restraining from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiff for two weeks. Evidentiary hearing and oral argument on Motion for Preliminary Injunction set for 01/04/2013. 01/02/2013 – Amicus Brief of ACLU and ACLU of Pennsylvania in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p>

	<p>01/11/2013 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/15/2013 – Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/16/2013 – Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p>
<p>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sec'y of DHHS</i>, No. 13-1144</p> <p>01/17/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-06744)</p> <p>01/22/2013 – Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellant</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Response in Opp. to Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/29/2013 – Reply in Support of Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>02/07/2013 – Order Denying Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Motion to Expedite Consideration</p> <p>02/20/2013 – Amended Motion to Expedite Consideration</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Order Granting Unopposed motion for Expedited Briefing Schedule</p> <p>03/15/2013 – Appellant Opening Brief</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life & Law Found.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Daniel R. Coats, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by U.S. Justice Found.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Physicians & Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by New Jersey Family Policy Council</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Inst.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bart Stupak & Democrats for Life of America</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of Ass'n of Christian Schools, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of Bradley P. Jacob, et al.</p> <p>04/15/2013 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ctr. for Reproductive Rights, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of National Women's Law Center, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief for Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p>

	<p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ams. United for Separation of Church & State</p> <p>04/23/2013 – Amicus Brief by Lambda Legal Def. & Educ. Fund</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Appellants’ Reply Brief</p>
<p>Western District of Pennsylvania</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Persico v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00123, Judge Sean J. McLaughlin</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/24/2012 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice, et. al.</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Ass’n of Pittsburgh Priests, et al.</p> <p>09/04/2012 – Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/04/2012 – Brief in Support of Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>10/16/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunction</p> <p>11/02/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions</p> <p>01/22/2013 – Order and Opinion Granting Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p>Western District of Pennsylvania</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>Appealed to 3d Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>Most Reverend David A. Zubik, Bishop of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Pittsburgh v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-00676, Judge Terrence F. McVerry</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/30/2012 – Amicus Brief of American Center for Law & Justice in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Ass’n of Pittsburgh Priests, et al.</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunction</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Motion for Rule 65(a)(2) Consolidated Trial and Preliminary Injunction Hearing</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motions for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion for Scheduling Order or</p>

	<p>Trial Setting Conference filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Reply Brief to Motion for Scheduling Order or Trial Setting Conference filed by Catholic Charities et al.</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion for Scheduling Order or Trial Setting Conference filed by Catholic Charities et al.</p> <p>10/01/2012 – Sur-Reply Brief to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>10/15/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</p> <p>10/25/2012 – Reply Brief to Motions for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</p> <p>11/27/2012 – Order and Mem. Opinion granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>01/23/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
3d Cir. Ct. of App.	<p><i>David Zubik v. HHS</i>, No. 13-1228</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-00676)</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Clerk Order advising of jurisdictional defect and possible dismissal and requesting party briefing</p> <p>02/05/2013 – Appellee Response to jurisdictional question</p> <p>02/08/2013 – Appellant Response to jurisdictional question</p>
<p>Middle District of Tennessee</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00934, assigned to Judge Todd J. Campbell, referred to Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion For Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Hearing filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Response in Opp. regarding Emergency Motion</p> <p>10/03/2012 – Order setting hearing to consider Motion for Preliminary Injunction on 11/15/2012</p> <p>10/04/2012 – Motion for Review regarding Order filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/09/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>10/22/2012 – Opp. to Motion for Review</p> <p>10/23/2012 – Order granting Motion for Review</p>

	<p>10/23/2012 – Order setting bench trial for 6/25/2013 10/26/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss 11/05/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss 11/15/2012 – Minute Entry and Transcript of Motion Hearing 11/21/2012 – Order granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss 11/21/2012 – Judgment Entered 12/19/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</p> <p>Held: Voluntarily Dismissed</p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6590</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 3:12-cv-00934) 02/28/2013 – Motion by Appellant to Voluntarily Dismiss 02/28/2013 – Letter Granting Voluntary Dismissal</p>
<p>Northern District of Texas</p> <p>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Diocese of Dallas v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-01589-B, 3:12-cv-01589, Judge Jane J. Boyle</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint with Jury Demand 08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction and Brief in Support by Defendants 08/20/2012 – Motion to File Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiff on Behalf of the Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice and 79 Members of Congress 08/27/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss 09/24/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss 09/28/2012 – Order denying without prejudice Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice Motion to Appear as Amicus Curiae 01/28/2013 – Order directing the Parties to submit additional Briefing by 02/08/2013. 02/08/2013 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss 02/08/2013 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss 02/26/2013 – Mem. Opinion and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss; Case Dismissed in its entirety.</p>
<p>Northern District of Texas</p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Diocese of Fort Worth v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00314-Y, Judge Terry R. Means</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint with Jury Demand 08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants 08/27/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss 09/24/2012 – Reply filed regarding Motion to Dismiss 09/26/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice, et al.</p>

	<p>in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/31/2013 – Order Denying Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/28/2013 – Defendant’s Motion for Reconsideration or alternatively, for Permission to Appeal Immediately</p> <p>02/28/2013 – Answer to Complaint</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</p> <p>04/04/2013 – Reply by Defendants in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</p>
<p>Southern District of Texas</p> <p>Held: Case stayed</p>	<p><i>East Texas Baptist Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-03009, Judge Lee H. Rosenthal</p> <p>10/09/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Minute Entry for proceedings held on 12/20/2012. Case is stayed and parties must submit status reports every 60 days. Status conference set for 04/01/2013.</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Plaintiffs’ Status Report</p> <p>02/18/2013 – Defendants’ Status Report</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Opposed Motion to Intervene as Plaintiff by Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>03/28/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Intervene by Defendants</p> <p>04/15/2013 – Plaintiffs’ Status Report</p> <p>04/19/2013 – Defendants’ Status Report</p>
<p>Northern District of Texas</p> <p>Held: Case Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</p>	<p><i>The Criswell Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-04409, Judge David C. Godbey</p> <p>11/01/2012 – Complaint with jury demand</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Motion to Stay and Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/22/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay</p> <p>04/09/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</p>