

Nat'l Health Law Program

Contact: Jina Dhillon  
 UPDATED: May 10, 2013

<u>VENUE</u>	<u>HEALTH REFORM LITIGATION</u>
	<b>Constitutional Challenges</b>
<p><b>Western District of Virginia</b></p> <p><b>Held: Mandate Constitutional</b></p> <p><b>Appealed</b></p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 6:10-cv-00015 – Judge Normal K. Moon</p> <p>03/23/2010 – Complaint                      07/30/2010 – <a href="#">First Amended Complaint</a>                      08/13/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Filed by Defendants                      09/03/2010 – Opposition to Motion to Dismiss                      09/22/2010 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss                      10/22/2010 – Oral argument on Motion to Dismiss (transcript available)                      11/30/2010 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion Granting Motion to Dismiss</a>                      12/01/2010 – Notice of Appeal                      09/08/2011 – Opinion from 4<sup>th</sup> circuit                      10/31/2011 – Mandate received                      11/07/2011 – Order vacating 11/30 order and dismissing case for lack of jurisdiction                      08/13/2012 – Appeal remark – motion to stay mandate denied</p>
<p><b>4th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: No subject matter jurisdiction (Anti-Injunc. Act)</b></p> <p><b>Pet. for Cert. granted, vacated and remanded</b></p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 10-2347</p> <p>12/03/2010 – Appeal docketed (from No. 6:10-cv-00015, see above)                      01/26/2011 – Order consolidating oral argument in seriatim with Commonwealth of Va., above                      01/18/2011 – <a href="#">Opening Brief filed by Liberty Univ.</a>                      1/21-25/2011 – Amici Briefs supporting Liberty Univ. from Mountain States Legal Found.; Revere America Found.; American Civil Liberties Union                      02/18/2011 – <a href="#">Response Brief filed by Sec. Geithner</a>                      02/25/2011 – Amici Briefs supporting Sec. Geithner filed by American Ass’n of People with Disabilities etc.; Am. Nurses Ass’n etc.; Constit. Law Professors; AHA; Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr.; Sen. Reid etc.; ACLU; AFSCME; AAP etc.;                      03/04/2011 – <a href="#">Reply Brief filed by Liberty Univ.</a>                      05/10/2011 – Oral argument (Judges Motz, Davis, Wynn)                      05/23/2011 – Court order requesting supplemental briefing                      05/31/2011 – Supp. briefs by Appellants and Appellees</p>

	<p>07/06/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee Geithner (Thomas More Law Ctr., 6th Cir.)</p> <p>08/08/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee (New Jersey Physicians, 3d Cir.)</p> <p>08/12/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellant (Fla. v. Sebelius, 11th Cir.)</p> <p>08/18/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee (Baldwin, 9th Cir.)</p> <p>09/08/2011 – <a href="#">Opinion</a> dismissing case based on Anti-Injunction Act</p> <p>10/31/2011 – Mandate issued</p> <p>06/29/2012 – Supreme Court remark – Certiorari denied</p> <p>07/20/2012 – Motion by Liberty Univ. to stay mandate</p> <p>08/13/2012 – Order denying motion to stay</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Supreme Court remark – 6/29/12 order denying cert. vacated; petition for cert. granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded for consideration in light of <i>NFIB v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>12/28/2012 – Supreme Court remark – certified copy of judgment sent to 4<sup>th</sup> Cir.</p> <p>02/27/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Supp. Brief</a></p> <p>03/06/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus/Intervenor Brief by American Civil Rights Union</a></p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Family Research Council</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Institute and Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Landmark Legal Foundation</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Project Liberty</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by David Boyle</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Members of Legatus, Catholic Medical Association</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Foundation for Moral Law</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Virginia Family Foundation, et al.</p> <p>04/04/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Response Brief</a></p> <p>04/10/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. College of Obstetrics &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>04/11/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>04/12/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Supp. Reply Brief</a></p> <p>05/16/2013 – Oral Argument</p>
<p><b>United States Supreme Court</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Cert. granted, case vacated and</b></p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 11-438</p> <p>10/07/2011 – <a href="#">Liberty Univ. Pet. for Writ of Cert.</a></p> <p>10/18/2011 – <a href="#">Geithner Response</a></p> <p>10/28/2011 – <a href="#">Liberty Univ. Reply</a></p> <p>06/29/2012 – Petition denied</p>

<p><b>remanded in light of NFIB</b></p>	<p>07/23/2012 – Petition for rehearing filed  10/31/2012 – Br. of Geithner on petition for rehearing  11/26/2012 – Pet. for rehearing granted. Order of 6/29 vacated; Pet. for cert. granted; judgment vacated; case remanded for reconsid. in light of <i>NFIB v. Sebelius</i></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Ohio</b></p> <p><b>Held: Mandate constitutional</b></p> <p><b>Appealed</b></p>	<p><i>US Citizens Ass’n v. Sebelius</i>, No. 5:10-cv-1065 – Judge David Dowd</p> <p>05/12/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  07/06/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Glick and Ellison filed by Glick and Ellison  07/13/2010 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Glick and Ellison  07/13/2010 – Case Management Conference Scheduling Order  08/12/2010 – Motion to Dismiss  09/01/2010 – First Amended Complaint  09/08/2010 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss as moot  09/08/2010 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Stay Summary Judgment Pending Motion to Dismiss ruling  09/16/2010 – <a href="#">Second Amended Complaint</a>  10/08/2010 – Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint  10/28/2010 – Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss  11/02/2010 – Amicus brief in Support of Plaintiffs (Alliance for Natural Health US)  11/19/2010 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss  11/22/2010 – <a href="#">Order denying in part, granting in part Defs.’ Motion to Dismiss</a>  01/05/2011 – Defendant’s Answer to Second Amended Complaint filed  01/24/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs  01/24/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants  02/02/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Plaintiffs (Fla. dec.)  02/14/2011 – Opp. to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs  02/14/2011 – Opp. to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants  02/28/2011 – <a href="#">Judgment Order</a> Dismissing Remaining Counts  03/07/2011 – Motion to Clarify or Reconsider filed by US Citizens Ass’n and request for ruling by 5/02/2011  03/14/2011 – Opposition to Motion to Clarify  03/14/2011 – Reply Supporting of Motion to Clarify  03/17/2011 – Order denying Plaintiffs’ request for ruling by 5/02/2011</p>

	<p>03/18/2011 – Notice of Appeal  04/27/2011 – Order deferring ruling pending decision by Sixth Circuit in Thomas Moore  07/01/2011 – Order that this Court is bound by the 6th Cir. Ruling in Thomas More  07/13/2011 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>  <b>Affirmed</b></p>	<p><i>US Citizens Ass’n v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 11-3327 (consolidated with 11-3798)</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Case docketed (from No. 5:10-cv-1065, above)  05/06/2011 – <a href="#">Opening Brief</a> of Appellant U.S. Citizens Ass’n re: Count 2: Violation of freedom of expressive and intimate association, Count 3: Violation of 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment Due Process, Count 4: Right to Privacy)  07/06/2011 – <a href="#">Corrected response brief from Appellee</a>  07/18/2011 – <a href="#">Reply Brief</a> filed by Appellants  08/16/2011 – Supp. authority from Appellants (Fla. v. Sebelius, 11th Cir.)  09/23/2011 – Order consolidating cases and referred (Guy, Sutton and Griffin)  11/17/2011 – Notice of additional citation (SCOTUS review in Florida case)  03/12/2012 – Mot. of USA to hold oral argument in abeyance pending SCOTUS review  03/19/2012 – Stay granted  07/05/2012 – Motion to vacate stay  07/09/2012 – Order granting motion to vacate stay  09/19/2012 – Case submitted (Suhrheinrich, Stranch and Donald)  10/30/2012 – Letter stating case will be submitted on briefs without oral argument  02/01/2013 – <a href="#">Opinion and Judgment Affirming Motion to Dismiss and Summary Judgment</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Oklahoma</b>  <b>Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Calvey v. Obama</i>, No. 5:10-cv-00353 – Judge David Russell</p> <p>04/07/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  08/06/2010 – Amended Complaint  02/15/2011 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants  03/09/2011 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Mot. to Dismiss  03/22/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint filed by Calvey  03/22, 23, &amp; 25/2011 – Response to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss filed by Calvey</p>

	<p>03/23 &amp; 25/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint filed by Calvey</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Reply supporting Motion to Dismiss filed by Obama</p> <p>04/26/2011 – Order granting in part and denying in part Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction; granting Motion to Amend amended complaint.</p> <p>05/10/2011– Answer filed by Defendant</p> <p>11/08/2011– Order Staying Case pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p>
<p><b>Eastern District Oklahoma</b></p>	<p><i>Pruitt v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 6:11-cv-00030 – Judge Ronald A. White</p> <p>01/21/2011 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/04/2011 – Summons returned executed</p> <p>03/28/2011 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants</p> <p>04/08/2011 – Response in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiff</p> <p>04/19/2011– Motion for Extension of Time to Reply to Motion Response</p> <p>04/20/2011– Minute Order granting Defendant’s Motion for Extension of Time</p> <p>04/26/2011– Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Defendant</p> <p>09/22/2011– Def. Notice of Supp. Auth. (4<sup>th</sup> circuit decision)</p> <p>11/16/2011– Order to show cause why case should not be stayed pending SCOTUS review of Florida case</p> <p>11/17/2011– Response agreeing case should be stayed</p> <p>11/23/2011– Order Staying Case pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Motion to lift stay</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Order granting motion to lift stay</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a> (no preemption; final exchange rule violates APA)</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Order finding Defendant’s motion to dismiss original compl. Moot</p> <p>12/03/2012 – Defendant’s <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a> for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>12/06/2012 – Mot. to Intervene by GC Restaurants, Old English Lion and Rose, challenging § 36B premium assistance regulations as invalid under APA, with <a href="#">Complaint in Intervention</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – Defendant’s Opp. to Intervention</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Plaintiffs’ Response in Support of Intervention</p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response</a> in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Reply in Support of Intervention</p>

	02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>
<p><b>Southern District of Mississippi</b></p> <p><b>Stayed, except privacy claims</b></p> <p><b>Def. Mot. to Dismiss privacy claims granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Bryant and Walters v. Holder</i>, No. 2:10-cv-76 – Judge Keith Starrett</p> <p>04/02/2010 – Petition filed</p> <p>04/09/2010 – <a href="#">First Amended Petition</a>, adding Plaintiff Lt. Gov. Phil Bryant</p> <p>08/01/2010 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>11/15/2010 – Opposition to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/22/2010 – Memorandum in Opposition filed separately by Phil Bryant</p> <p>01/21/2011 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/03/2011 – Order dismissing First Amended Petition (with leave to refile w/i 30days); Order granting in part and denying in part Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>03/04/2011 – Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>03/21/2011 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer filed by Defendants</p> <p>03/21/2011 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Extend time to Answer</p> <p>04/12/2011 – Motion to Dismiss and for Jurisdictional Discovery filed by Defendants</p> <p>05/20/2011 – Opposition of Motion to Dismiss and Jurisdictional Discovery</p> <p>05/31/2011 – Order granting Defendant extension of time to reply to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/05/2011 – Defendants’ reply (rebuttal ) to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/16/2011 – Supp. authority from Defendant (New Jersey Physicians, 3d Cir.)</p> <p>08/17/2011 – Response to supp. authority and supp. authority from Plf. (Fla. ,11th Cir.)</p> <p>08/18/2011 – Response to supp. authority from Def.</p> <p>08/29/2011 – <a href="#">Order</a> granting in part &amp; denying in part Defendants’ motion to dismiss (and allowing plfs. to proceed with their individual mandate challenge)</p> <p>09/12/2011 – Answer</p> <p>11/16/2011 – Joint Mot. to Stay Proceedings pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>11/23/2011 – Stay pending SCOTUS review in Fla. case, EXCEPT privacy claims</p> <p>02/01/2012 – Order extending time to complete discovery</p> <p>04/11/2012 – Order granting in part and denying in part a motion by Def. to compel discovery</p> <p>05/01/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Plfs. not responding to discovery</p> <p>05/01/2012 – Motion and <a href="#">Mem. for Summ. J.</a> filed by Def.</p>

	<p>05/01/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Summ. J.</a> filed by Bryant</p> <p>07/06/2012 – Opp. To Bryant’s Mot. for Summ J. filed by Def.</p> <p>07/06/2012 – Opp. to Geithner’s Mot. for Summ. J. filed by Bryant</p> <p>07/20/2012 – Resp. on Summ. J. filed by Def.</p> <p>07/20/2012 – Resp. on Summ. J. filed by Bryant</p> <p>08/13/2012 – Order granting Defs.’ Motion to Dismiss Plfs.</p> <p>08/23/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> granting Defs’ Mot. for Summ. J. re: privacy claims</p> <p>09/05/2012 – Judgment entered</p> <p>10/23/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Case dismissed</b></p>	<p><i>Walters v. Holder</i>, No. 12-60844, on appeal from No. 2:10-cv-00076</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/12/2012 – Notice Appellant’s Br. due</p> <p>12/19/2012 – Order dismissing appeal for failing to file brief &amp; record</p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Case dismissed</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Ass’n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:10-cv-499 – Judge Amy Berman Jackson</p> <p>03/26/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>05/12/2010 – Response to Notice of Related Case</p> <p>05/24/2010 – Reply to Response to Notice of Related Case filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>06/07/2010 – Defendants’ Reply re: Opposition to Related-Case Designation</p> <p>06/11/2010 – Order - Case Reassigned to Judge Richard J. Leon</p> <p>07/29/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Complaint Filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/08/2010 – Consent Motion to File Second Amended Complaint</p> <p>09/13/2010 – Second Amended Complaint Filed</p> <p>11/12/2010 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>11/22/2010 – Motion to Stay Summary Judgment and Discovery filed by Defendants</p> <p>12/09/2010 – Opposition to Stay filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/20/2010 – Reply to Memo opposing Motion to Stay filed by Defendants</p> <p>12/24/2010 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Stay Summary Judgment and Discovery</p> <p>01/10/2011 – Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/13/2011 – Order denying Motion to Dismiss as moot</p> <p>02/14/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Case reassigned to U.S. District Judge Amy Berman Jackson. Judge Richard J. Leon no longer assigned to</p>

	<p>the case.</p> <p>04/8/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Defendants (Hall decision)</p> <p>10/07/2011 – Geithner Motion to Stay pending decisions in SCOTUS &amp; DC Cir cases</p> <p>10/24/2011 – Opp. to Mot. to Stay</p> <p>11/08/2011 – Order granting Stay</p> <p>07/13/2012 – Order lifting stay</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Supplemental Mem. from U.S.</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Supplemental Mem. from Plfs.</p> <p>10/03/2012 – Order for Def. to address whether individual mandate constitutes unconstitutional taking in violation of 5<sup>th</sup> Am.</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Def. Resp. to 10/3/12 Order</p> <p>10/19/2012 – <a href="#">AAPS Resp.</a> to 10/3/12 Order</p> <p>10/31/2012 – Order and <a href="#">Opinion</a> granting Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Ass’n of Amer. Physicians &amp; Surgeons v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5003</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 10-cv-00499)</p> <p>03/13/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Injunction as to Count IV by Appellant</a></p> <p>03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction</a></p> <p>04/08/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Emergency Motion</a></p> <p>04/17/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for Injunction</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p>	<p><i>Sissel v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Servs.</i>, No. 1:10-cv-01263 – Judge Beryl A. Howell</p> <p>07/26/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>07/26/2010 – Notice of Related Case by Plaintiff Sissel</p> <p>10/25/2010 – Opp. to Notice of Related Case filed by Defendants</p> <p>11/03/2010 – Response in Support of Notice of Related Case</p> <p>11/12/2010 – Reply in Opposition to Plaintiff’s Response re Notice of Related Case</p> <p>11/15/2010 – Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/16/2010 – Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/05/2011 – Order staying discovery pending resolution of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/18/2011 – Reply to Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>02/28/2011 – Notice of Supp. Authority by Defendants (Mead/Seven Sky, D.C.D.C.)</p>



	<p>08/09/2011 – Case stayed pending D.C. Circuit decision in Mead/Seven Sky</p> <p>11/30/2011 – Joint Motion to Stay pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>12/01/2011 – Order granting Stay</p> <p>09/11/2012 – Mot. for leave to file amended complaint</p> <p>10/11/2012 – Order granting leave to file amended complaint</p> <p>10/11/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a> (Origination Clause)</p> <p>11/08/2012 – Def. <a href="#">Mot. to Dismiss</a> Amended Complaint</p> <p>12/03/2012 – <a href="#">Plf. Opposition</a> to Mot. to Dismiss</p> <p>12/04/2012 – Ctr. for Const. Jurisprudence <a href="#">Amicus Br</a> (Origination Clause)</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Reply</a> in Support of Mot. to Dismiss</p>
<p><b>Middle District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Mandate unconstitutional</b></p> <p><b>Vacated</b></p>	<p><i>Goudy-Bachman v. U.S. Dep’t Health &amp; Human Servs.</i>, No. 1:10-cv-00763 – Judge Christopher Conner</p> <p>04/12/2010 – Complaint</p> <p>06/14/2010 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/13/2010 – Order Holding Issuance of Pretrial/Trial Schedule in Abeyance, Pending Resolution of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/26/2010 – Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/03/2010 – Plaintiffs’ Notice of Supplemental Authority (Va. v. Sebelius)</p> <p>08/13/2010 – Plaintiffs Supplemental Brief in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/18/2010 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/07/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Baldwin)</p> <p>10/21/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Thomas More)</p> <p>12/06/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Geithner, Sebelius, U.S. Dep’t of Health and Human Services, U.S. Dep’t of Treasury)</p> <p>01/24/2011 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/23/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Defendants (DC dec.)</p> <p>06/10/2011 – Joint Stipulation for filing cross motions for summ. j. approved by court</p> <p>06/21/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment in the Alternative filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/06/2011 - Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>07/07/2011 – Brief in Support of Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs</p>

	<p>07/18/2011 – Brief in Opposition of Motion for Summary Judgment  07/21/2011 – Oral argument held before Judge Conner  08/01/2011 – Reply Brief responding to Opposition of Motion for Summary Judgment  08/19/2011 – Supp. Brief from Plaintiffs re: 11th Cir. decision  08/30/2011 – Reply from Defendant re: 11th Cir. Decision  09/08/2011 – Notice of subsequent authority from Geithner (4th Circuit opinions)  09/13/2011 – <a href="#">Mem. Opin.</a> granting Summ J. to Plf. &amp; finding minimum coverage provision unconstitutional  11/10/2011 – Notice of Appeal  12/03/2012 – Mandate of Court of Appeals  12/04/2012 – Order vacating judgment and closing case</p>
<p><b>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b>   <b>Aff'd Complaint dismissed for failure to state valid claim</b></p>	<p><i>Goudy-Bachman v. U.S. Dep't Health &amp; Human Servs</i>, No. 11-4160</p> <p>11/17/2011 – Case docketed (appeal from, No. 1:10-cv-00763 above)  11/18/2011 – Joint Mot. to Stay Proceedings pending SCOTUS review of Florida case  11/30/2011 – Order granting Motion to Stay (status reports being filed)  08/09/2012 – Stay lifted  09/17/2012 – Mot. by Goudy-Bachman to summarily affirm  09/12/2012 – Resp. by U.S. to Mot.  10/11/2012 – Mot for Summary Affirmance denied; D. Ct. judgment vacated (NFIB) with order to dismiss complaint (Judges Sloviter, Fisher, Weis)  12/03/2012 – Mandate Issued</p>
<p><b>District of Arizona</b>   <b>Held: Motion to Dismiss Granted</b>   <b>Appealed to 9th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Coons v. Geithner et al</i>, No. 2:10-cv-01714 – Judge G. Murray Snow</p> <p>08/12/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  11/15/2010 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiffs  11/16/2010 – Amended Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Plaintiffs  12/13/2010 – Opposition to Amended Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Defendants  01/11/2011 – Reply to Response to Motion for Prelim. Inj.  01/24/2011 – Defendants' Notice re: House Vote to Repeal ACA, including Plfs.' Votes  01/28/2011 – Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defs.' Notice re: House Vote  02/02/2011 – Defendants' Response to Motion to Strike  03/08/2011 – Motion to Withdraw Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Plaintiffs</p>

	<p>03/10/2011 – Order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion to Withdraw Motion for Prelim. Inj.</p> <p>03/11/2011 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/04/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Amended Complaint by Plaintiffs</p> <p>05/09/2011 – Plaintiffs granted leave to file Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/10/2011 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>05/31/2011 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>06/20/2011 – <a href="#">Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</a> and to treat Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss as a Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>06/23/2011 – Amicus Br. Supporting Plaintiffs from Pacific Legal Found.</p> <p>06/23/2011 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Motion to Stay Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summ. J.</a></p> <p>07/05/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/07/2011 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion to Stay</p> <p>07/14/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Stay</p> <p>07/25/2011 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Stay</p> <p>08/10/2011 – Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>08/29/2011 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Reply in Supp. of Summ. J.</a> and against Def. Mot. for Summ. J.</p> <p>09/12/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Summ. J.</p> <p>09/16/2011 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to File Sur-Reply</p> <p>09/19/2011 – Defendants’ Opp. To Plaintiffs’ Motion to File Sur-Reply</p> <p>11/29/2011 – Defendants’ Motion to Stay pending SCOTUS review of Florida case</p> <p>12/13/2011 – Plaintiffs’ <a href="#">Response Opposing Stay</a></p> <p>12/20/2011 – Defendants’ <a href="#">Reply supporting Stay</a></p> <p>01/17/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay pending NFIB</p> <p>07/16/2012 – Status Report from U.S.</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Order granting in part and denying in part Def. Mot. to Dismiss (NFIB)</p> <p>09/13/2012 – <a href="#">Coons Supplemental. Br.</a></p> <p>09/27/2012 – <a href="#">U.S. Supp. Br.</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> Granting Def. Mot. to Dismiss remaining claims re: 5<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> Am. re:&amp; medical autonomy; 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> re: privacy; and preempts AZ state legislation</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>9th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Coons v. Geithner</i>, No. 13-15324</p> <p>02/20/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 2:10-cv-01714)</p> <p>05/20/2013 – Appellants Opening Brief Due</p>

	07/01/2013 – Appellees Reply Brief Due
	<b>Challenges to Contraceptive Coverage Mandate</b>
<p><b>Northern District of Alabama</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice</b></p>	<p><i>Eternal World Television Network Inc. v. Sebelius</i> No. 2:12-cv-00501, Judge Sharon Lovelace Blackburn</p> <p>02/09/2012 – Complaint  03/21/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  03/22/2012 – Motion to Intervene by State of Alabama  03/22/2012 – Order re: Motion to Intervene filed by State of Alabama  04/23/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Intervene filed by Defendants  05/04/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</a>  05/09/2012 – Order re: Motion to Dismiss by Defendants  05/23/2012 – State of Alabama Reply in Support of Motion to Intervene  05/23/2012 – Reply to Motion to Intervene filed by Plaintiff  06/01/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. re: Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff</a>  06/25/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants  08/07/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Discovery  08/15/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Discovery  02/05/2013 – <a href="#">Intervenor State of Alabama Notice of Recent Factual and Legal Developments in Alabama</a>  03/01/2013 – <a href="#">Defendant Response to Intervenor’s Notice of Recent Factual and Legal Developments in Alabama</a>  03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion</a> and <a href="#">Order</a> granting Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00815, Judge Amy B. Jackson</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss and Mem. in Support by Defendants</a>  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  08/28/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Policy and 79 Members of Congress  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  11/02/2012 – Minute Entry Granting Defendant’s Motion to Stay case pending decision in consolidated appeals of <i>Wheaton College v. Sebelius</i> (No. 12-</p>

	<p>5273) and Belmont Abbey College v. Sebelius (No. 12-5291).</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Minute Order requesting one pleading per party addressing whether court should dismiss in light of order issued by D.C. District Court, due on 01/16/2013</p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of Supplemental Authority by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief re: Supplemental Authority by Defendants</a></p> <p>01/25/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion</a></p> <p>03/25/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5091</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00815)</p> <p>04/04/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for summary reversal of District Court decision by Appellant</a></p> <p>04/17/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for summary reversal by Appellee</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Motion for summary reversal</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Gilardi v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Servs.</i> (“Freshway Foods”), No. 13-cv-00104, Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>01/24/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary injunction</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by State of Ohio</p> <p>02/25/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>03/03/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>03/04/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Joint Motion to stay proceedings pending appeal</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Minute Order granting consent motion to stay pending appeal</p>
<b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b>	<p><i>Gilardi v. HHS</i> (“Freshway Foods”), No. 13-5069</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 13-cv-00104)</p> <p>03/06/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p>

	<p>03/11/2013 – <a href="#">Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>03/12/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Brief</a> in Support of Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal before April 1, 2013 and to Expedite Appeal</p> <p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for Injunction</a> and setting briefing schedule</p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Petition by Appellant for rehearing en banc of denial of Emergency Motion for Injunction</a></p> <p>03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Emergency Motion for Injunction pending Appeal on rehearing</a>; briefing schedule remains in effect</p> <p>04/30/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>05/30/2013 – Appellee Brief due</p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:11-cv-01989 – Judge James E. Boasberg</p> <p>11/10/2011 – Complaint</p> <p>03/20/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>04/05/2012 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>04/23/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>05/03/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>06/22/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Defendants</p> <p>06/26/2012 – Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>07/18/2012 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss and Dismissing Case without Prejudice</p> <p>07/18/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>07/23/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Reconsideration filed by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>08/07/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>08/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>08/16/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>08/27/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Defendant</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>09/05/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order denying Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>09/14/2012 – Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff</p>
<p><b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-5291 (<i>Wheaton College</i>, No. 12-5273)</p> <p>09/14/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:11-cv-01989 above)</p>

	<p>10/05/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief filed by Wheaton College and Belmont Abbey College</a></p> <p>10/10/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law and Justice and Regent Univ.</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Women Speak for Themselves</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Civil Rights Union, Cato Institute and Ctr. for Constitutional Jurisprudence</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, Ass’n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, Inc., Catholic Medical Ass’n, Nat’l Ass’n of Pro Life Nurses, Nat’l Catholic Bioethics Ctr. and Physicians for Life</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Christian Legal Soc’y</p> <p>10/12/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by State of Texas</a></p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief by Wyoming Catholic Coll., et al.</p> <p>11/05/2012 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>12/14/2012 – Oral Argument (Judges Garland, Griffith, and Randolph)</p> <p>12/18/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> holding cases in abeyance and directing Appellee to file status reports every 60 days from date of Order.</p> <p>02/11/2013 – Status Report by Appellees notifying Court of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published in Federal Register. <i>See</i> 78 Fed. Reg. 8456 (Feb. 6, 2013)</p> <p>04/16/2013 – Status Report by Appellees notifying Court that comment period on Proposed Rule has closed and comments are currently being reviewed. New rules to be issued by August 2013.</p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of</b></p>	<p><i>Tyndale House Publishers, Inc., v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01635-RBW, Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>10/02/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/08/2012 – <a href="#">Mot. and Mem. for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/22/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/25/2012 – <a href="#">Supplemental Mem. in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/07/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU of the National Capital Area</a></p>

<p><b>App.</b></p>	<p>11/09/2012 – Minute Entry and Motion Hearing  11/12/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Amicus Brief filed by Plaintiffs</a>  11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion</a>  01/15/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants  01/28/2013 – Motion to Stay by Plaintiffs  01/30/2013 – Minute Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p>
<p><b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed Voluntarily</b></p>	<p><i>Tyndale House Publishers v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5018</p> <p>01/18/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-01635)  04/25/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss filed by Appellant</a>  04/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss</a>  04/26/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  05/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Voluntary Dismissal</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p>	<p><i>Johnson Welded Products, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00609, Judge Ellen S. Huvelle</p> <p>04/30/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Colorado Christian Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:11-cv-03350, Judge Christine M. Arguello</p> <p>12/22/2011 – Complaint  12/27/2011 – Order referring case to Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland  01/06/2012 – Scheduling for Order  02/06/2012 – Motion to Postpone scheduling conference filed by Defendants  02/07/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Postpone  02/07/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion to Postpone  02/09/2012 – Order denying Motion to Postpone conference  03/13/2012 – Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge on Decision postponement to District Court  03/22/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  03/26/2012 – Response to Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision filed by Plaintiff  04/09/2012 – Reply to Response to Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision filed by Defendants  04/09/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Mem. of Support</a>  04/30/2012 – Order denying Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision</p>



	<p>05/03/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>05/18/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</a></p> <p>08/02/2012 – Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants</p> <p>08/03/2012 – Mem. re: Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Order denying Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants</p> <p>08/07/2012 – Mem. re: Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/27/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Order denying Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion to Compel and Mem. in Support by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Compel</p> <p>01/07/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – <a href="#">Final Judgment Order</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Stay of Proceedings Pending Appeal</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Newland v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-01123, Judge John L. Kane</p> <p>04/30/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>04/30/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>06/08/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>06/26/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>07/13/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>07/13/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>07/17/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>07/27/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/30/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/25/2012 – Notice of Appeal as to Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>10/03/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion to Dismiss Complaint</a></p> <p>10/11/2012 – Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/18/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/26/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion to Stay Proceedings</a></p>
<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Newland v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-1380</p> <p>09/26/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:12-cv-001123)</p>

	<p>10/18/2012 – Motion filed by Appellants to Extend Time to file Appellant/Petitioner’s Brief</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Order granting Appellant’s Motion for Extension of Time.</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Motion by Appellants to consolidate appeals (<i>Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6294)</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate appeals</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to consolidate appeals</p> <p>01/18/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>01/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, American Civil Liberties Union of Colorado, et al.</p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>02/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Center for Law and Justice</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Assoc. of American Physicians and Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Archdiocese of Colorado Springs, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education and Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by AAPLOG, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Democrats for Life of America and Bart Stupak</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Assoc. of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion to consolidate appeals</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p>	<p><i>Briscoe v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00285, Judge Boyd N. Boland</p> <p>02/04/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/15/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Brief in Support</a></p> <p>02/19/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>02/21/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for TRO by Defendants</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for TRO</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p>

	<p><a href="#">and TRO by Amicus American Civil Liberties Union</a></p> <p>02/27/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Plaintiff’s Motion for TRO</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p>	<p><i>Armstrong v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00563, Judge R. Brooke Jackson</p> <p>03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/18/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Brief in Support</a></p> <p>04/10/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Amicus American Civil Liberties Union</a></p> <p>05/01/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.</p> <p>05/02/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Attorney General, State of Colorado</p>
<p><b>Middle District of Florida</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Ave Maria Univ. v. Sebelius</i> No. 2:12-cv-00088, referred to Magistrate Judge Sheri Polster Chappell</p> <p>02/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/22/2012 – Order of Recusal by Judge John E. Steele.</p> <p>02/23/2012 – Case reassigned</p> <p>05/04/2012 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction and Mem. of Support</a></p> <p>05/16/2012 – Notice of related cases by Defendants</p> <p>05/18/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>06/08/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/24/2012 – Response in Opp to Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>07/31/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Stay Discovery</a></p> <p>08/03/2012 – Motion to review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>08/16/2012 – Response to Motion to Review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>09/04/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Order overturning Magistrate Judge Order, Granting Defendant’s Motion to Stay Discovery</p>

	03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a>
<b>Middle District of Florida</b>	<i>Beckwith Electric Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i> , No. 13-cv-00648, Judge Mark A. Pizzo  03/12/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>
<b>Southern District of Florida</b>  <b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b>	<i>The Most Reverend Thomas G. Wenski v. Sebelius</i> , No. 1:12-cv-23820-DLG, Judge Donald L. Graham  10/19/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a> 12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a> 01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Motion and Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a> 01/23/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a> 03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a>
<b>Northern District of Georgia</b>	<i>The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Atlanta v. Sebelius</i> , No. 1:12-cv-03489-WSD, Judge William S. Duffey, Jr.  10/05/2012 – Complaint 12/10/2012 – Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support by Defendants 12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a> 01/14/2013 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a> 01/31/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a> 02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a>
<b>Northern District of Illinois</b>  <b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b>	<i>Conlon v. Sebelius</i> , No. 1:12-cv-03932, Judge John W. Darrah  05/21/2012 – Complaint 08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss 08/08/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a> 08/21/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss and Mem. in Support</a> 09/11/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a> 10/02/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a> 02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a>

<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; proceedings Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Lindsay v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-01210, Judge Ronald A. Guzman</p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a> (notice of non-opposition)</p> <p>03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay proceedings by Defendants</a></p> <p>03/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Agreed Preliminary Injunction</a>; staying proceedings until thirty days after mandate issued from consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i>.</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; proceedings Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Hartenbower v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-02253, Judge Ruben Castillo</p> <p>03/26/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>04/16/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>04/18/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Granting Preliminary Injunction and Staying proceedings until thirty days after mandate issued from consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i>.</p>
<p><b>Central District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Catholic Diocese of Peoria v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01276-JES-BGC, assigned to Chief Judge James E. Shadid, referred to Magistrate Judge Byron G. Cudmore</p> <p>08/09/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/19/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>10/19/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – Judgment Entered</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary</b></p>	<p><i>Triune Health Group, Inc. v. Dep’t of HHS</i>, , No. 1:12-cv-06756, Judge Amy J. St. Eve</p> <p>08/22/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>10/15/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim and Mem. of Law in Support</a></p>

<p><b>Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p>11/15/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU Illinois in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>11/28/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Mem. of Law in Support by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>11/29/2012 – Amended Motion for Preliminary Injunction (technical amendments)</p> <p>12/12/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/19/2012 – <a href="#">Reply by Plaintiff in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>03/04/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Motion to Stay Appeal pending Court’s decision in related Appeal (<i>Korte v. Sebelius</i>)</p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Appeal</a></p> <p>04/02/2013 – Minute Order Granting Motion to Stay pending decision in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>04/02/2013 – Minute Order Denying Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Triune Health Group, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1478</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-06756)</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Motion by Appellant to stay case</p> <p>03/14/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Appeal Pending resolution in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i>. Parties directed to file status report within 14 days of issuance of decision in that case.</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Korte v. Dep’t of HHS</i>, No. 3:12-cv-01072-MJR-PMF, assigned to Judge Michael J. Reagan, referred to Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier</p> <p>10/09/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/10/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction <i>on Count I (RFRA) and Count II (Free Exercise Clause)</i> filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/10/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion</a></p> <p>11/06/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by ACLU</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Amicus Brief in in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Reply to Amicus Brief of ACLU</p>

	<p>11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>12/14/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/17/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/17/2012 – Joint Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal (text entry only)</p>
<p><b>7th Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Korte v. HHS</i>, No. 12-3841</p> <p>12/18/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 3:12-cv-01072)</p> <p>12/18/2012 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Reply by Appellants in Support of Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/28/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Injunction Pending Appeal</a> and consolidating <i>William Grote, III v. Kathleen Sebelius</i> (No. 13-1077) with this case. All subsequent docket entries represent consolidated case activity.</p> <p>02/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life, &amp; Law Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Drury Development Corp., et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Online, et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue missions, et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Vote</p> <p>02/19/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief by Appellant Grote</a></p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Indianapolis, Inc., et al.</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Indiana Catholic Conference, et al.</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Wisconsin Family Action</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue Missions</p> <p>03/01/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>03/07/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by Center for Reproductive Rights, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Women’s Law Center</p>

	<p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a> by Appellant Korte</p> <p>03/18/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health, et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief by Appellant Grote</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Permanent Injunction Denied; Case Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Notre Dame Univ. of v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00253-JD-CAN, assigned to Judge Jon E DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Christopher A. Nuechterlein</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/21/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice and 79 Members of Congress in Support of Plaintiff</p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/17/2012 – Order Reassigning Case to Judge Jon E. DeGuilio</p> <p>10/24/2012 – Motion for Permanent and Preliminary Injunction, filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>10/24/2012 – Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearings by Plaintiff</p> <p>10/24/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Consolidate Trial and Injunction Hearing</a></p> <p>11/07/2012 – Response to Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearing</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearing</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Order of Recusal. Case reassigned to Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.</p> <p>12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order denying Motion for Permanent Injunction as moot, granting Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>01/02/2013 – Judgment Entered</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>



<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1479</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00253)  03/27/2013 – Order suspending briefing pending prehearing conference</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Diocese of Fort Wayne–South Bend, Inc. v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-00159–JD–RBC, assigned to Judge Jon E DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Roger B Cosby</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction by Defendants  08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/07/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</a>  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss</a>  10/02/2012 – Motion to Consolidate Cases by Plaintiffs  10/02/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Consolidate Cases  10/10/2012 – Response to Motion to Consolidate  10/19/2012 – Order Reassigning Case to Judge Jon E DeGuilio  03/14/2013 – <a href="#">Order staying case for up to 45 days</a>, requesting parties to file Joint Report by 4/12/2013. Court may extend the Order after consideration of the Joint Report.  04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Consolidate Cases</a>  04/12/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Report concerning Stay of the Case</a>; parties unable to reach agreement on whether case should be stayed pending resolution of appeal in <i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Sebelius</i> (No. 13-1479).</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p>	<p><i>Tonn &amp; Blank Construction LLC v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00325, Judge Roger B. Cosby</p> <p>09/20/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  09/20/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff  09/20/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/31/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants  12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  01/22/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p>

	<p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss and in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay proceedings</a></p> <p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Stay proceedings</a></p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Preliminary Injunction</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p>	<p><i>Grace Schools v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00459-JD-CAN, assigned to Judge Jon E. DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Christopher A. Nuechterlein</p> <p>08/23/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/07/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/14/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/02/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Grote Industries, LLC v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00134-SEB-DML, assigned to Judge Sarah Evans Barker, referred to Magistrate Judge Debra McVicker Lynch</p> <p>10/29/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/30/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/30/2012 – Motion for Emergency Hearing regarding Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>11/19/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/27/2012 – <a href="#">Brief and Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/06/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/13/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/27/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/31/2012 – Motion for Reconsideration of Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/31/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</p>

	<p>01/03/2012 – Order denying Motion for Reconsideration  01/09/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</b></p>	<p><i>William Grote, III v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1077</p> <p>01/09/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 4:12-cv-00134)  01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/17/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/24/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Injunction Pending Appeal</a> and consolidating this case with <i>Korte v. HHS</i> (No. 12-3841). See <i>Korte</i> docket (above) for consolidated docket entries following 01/30/2013.</p>
<p><b>Western District of Louisiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Stayed Until 08/15/2013 Pending Status of Regulations and Currently Pending Litigation</b></p>	<p><i>Louisiana Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-00463, Judge Dee D. Drell</p> <p>02/18/2012 – Complaint  05/11/2012 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants  06/11/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  06/11/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss  07/06/2012 – <a href="#">Report and Recommendation that Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss be Denied as Moot, Recommending Defendant file a Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a>  07/09/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Mem. of Law in Support of such Motion</a>  07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Resolution of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants  08/07/2012 – Notice by Defendants of pending challenges to preventive services coverage regulations  08/13/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss</a>  08/13/2012 – Mem. in Opp to Defendant’s Motion to Stay Discovery  08/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion to Dismiss</a>  08/24/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Stay Discovery  08/31/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Leave to File Sur-Reply</a>  09/05/2012 – <a href="#">Sur-Reply in Opp. regarding Motion to Stay Discovery and Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff</a>  01/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Staying Case until 8/15/2013 at which time Government is Ordered to provide court with a status report as to regulations and other relevant pending litigation</a></p>

<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p>	<p><i>Domino's Farms Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-15488, Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p>12/14/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>12/25/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Emergency Motion for TRO by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/30/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order Granting Motion for TRO</a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</a></p> <p>02/01/2013 – Motion Hearing held on 01/31/2013. Court requested additional briefing and gives both parties two weeks to respond to Amicus Brief of Attorney General Bill Schuette</p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Supp. Brief by Plaintiffs in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Supp. Brief by Defendants in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/14/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted for Plaintiff Weingartz, Denied without Prejudice for Plaintiff Legatus</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Weingartz Supply Co. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-12061, Judge Robert H. Cleland, referred to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p>05/07/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>07/25/2012 – Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/07/2012 – <a href="#">Order Directing Plaintiffs to File Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/15/2012 – <a href="#">Motion and Brief for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>08/29/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/06/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/17/2012 – Order terminating Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for TRO</p> <p>09/17/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Fund, Life Legal Defense Foundation</p>

	<p>09/28/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief filed by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</a></p> <p>09/28/2012 – Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>10/31/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction for Plaintiff Weingartz, and denying without prejudice Plaintiff Legatus’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – Preliminary Injunction Order</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Plaintiff Legatus</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Motion to Stay proceedings pending Appeal</p> <p>01/31/2013 – Motion Hearing held on 01/31/2013, Granting Motion to Stay pending Appeal</p> <p>02/04/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p>
<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Legatus v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, Nos. 13-1092, 13-1093</p> <p>01/24/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-12061)</p> <p>02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Defendants to hold case in abeyance</a></p> <p>03/06/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to hold case in abeyance</a></p> <p>03/07/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to hold case in abeyance</a></p> <p>03/20/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Opening Brief</a></p> <p>03/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Coll. of Obstetrics &amp; Gynecology, et al.</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Planned Parenthood of Middle and East Tennessee, et al.</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Hindu American Foundation</p> <p>04/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.</p> <p>04/29/2013 – <a href="#">Appellees’ Brief</a></p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Institute, et al.</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Nicanor Pier Giorgio Austriaco, et al.</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bart Stupak &amp; Democrats for Life</p> <p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Mr. Bernard Eric Restuccia for</p>

	<p style="text-align: center;">MI</p> <p style="text-align: center;">04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Christian Legal Society, et al.  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Michigan Catholic Conference  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Mr. Robert Anthony Destro  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Educ. &amp; Legal Def. Fund</p>
<b>Eastern District of Michigan</b>	<p><i>Nagle v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-12036, Judge David R. Grand</p> <p style="text-align: center;">05/08/13 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p>
<b>Eastern District of Michigan</b>	<p><i>Eden Foods, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-11229, Judge Mark A. Randon</p> <p style="text-align: center;">03/20/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for TRO</a>  04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a>  05/01/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p>
<b>Eastern District of Michigan</b>	<p><i>Mersino Management Company v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-11296, Judge R. Steven Whalen</p> <p style="text-align: center;">03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  05/09/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p>
<b>Eastern District of Michigan</b>	<p><i>MK Chambers Company v. DHHS</i>, 13-cv-11379, Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  04/02/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Emergency Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</a>  04/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for TRO</a>  04/26/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p>
<b>Western District of Michigan</b>  <b>Held: Preliminary</b>	<p><i>Autocam Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01096, Judge Robert J. Jonker</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10/08/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  10/10/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs  10/10/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary</a></p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Injunction Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u><a href="#">Injunction</a></u></p> <p>10/12/2012 – <u><a href="#">Order denying expedited consideration of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></u></p> <p>11/08/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU and ACLU Fund of Michigan</p> <p>11/09/2012 – <u><a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></u></p> <p>11/14/2012 – <u><a href="#">Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></u></p> <p>11/14/2012 – Notice of Motion Hearing for 12/17/2012</p> <p>11/15/2012 – Order setting Rule 16 scheduling conference for 12/17/2012</p> <p>12/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</p> <p>12/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Bioethics Defense Fund and Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>12/17/2012 – <u><a href="#">Plaintiff's Supplemental Brief on Anti-Injunction Act in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></u></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <u><a href="#">Plaintiff's Supplemental Brief on harm Supporting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></u></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <u><a href="#">Defendant's Supplemental Brief Opposing Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></u></p> <p>12/24/2012 – <u><a href="#">Opinion and Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></u></p> <p>12/26/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/03/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/16/2013 – <u><a href="#">Order denying Motion to Stay</a></u>. Court will instead extend deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' complaint to 02/15/2013.</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants and <u><a href="#">Brief</a></u> in Support</p> <p>03/18/2013 – <u><a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></u></p> <p>04/01/2013 – <u><a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></u></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>6th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</b></p>	<p><i>Autocam Corp. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-2673</p> <p>12/26/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 1:12-cv-01096)</p> <p>12/26/2012 – <u><a href="#">Emergency Motion by Appellant for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></u></p> <p>12/26/2012 – <u><a href="#">Motion by Appellant to expedite case</a></u></p> <p>12/27/2012 – <u><a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Injunctive Relief</a></u></p> <p>12/28/2012 – <u><a href="#">Order denying Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal, granting Motion to expedite case</a></u></p> <p>12/31/2012 – Motion by Appellant to Reconsider Order denying Injunction Pending Appeal</p>

	<p>12/31/2012 – Order denying Motion to Reconsider previous Injunction Order</p> <p>02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Foundation</p> <p>02/18/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Amer. Ass’n. of Pro Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Joint Amicus Brief by States of Michigan &amp; Ohio</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Diocese of Grand Rapids</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Family Trust Found. of Kentucky.</p> <p>03/14/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Pub. Health Ass’n., et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Coll. of Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Planned Parenthood of Middle &amp; East Tennessee, et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church &amp; State, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>03/29/2013 – Substituted Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church &amp; State, et al.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>04/16/2013 – Oral argument set for Tues., June 11, 2013 at 1 pm</p> <p>05/17/2013 – Supplemental briefs due</p>
<b>Western District of Michigan</b>	<p><i>Infrastructure Alternatives, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00031, Judge Robert J. Jonker</p> <p>01/10/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/18/2013 – Motion to Dismiss and <a href="#">Brief in Support</a> by Defendants</p> <p>04/15/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>04/29/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<b>District of Minnesota</b>  <b>Held: Preliminary Injunction</b>	<p><i>Annex Medical v. Sebelius</i>, No. 0:12-cv-02804, assigned to Senior Judge David S. Doty, referred to Magistrate Judge Steven E. Rau</p> <p>11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/21/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/21/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary</a></p>



<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><a href="#"><u>Injunction</u></a></p> <p>12/12/2012 – <a href="#"><u>Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</u></a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#"><u>Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</u></a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</u></a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – Judgment Entered</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>01/14/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal, corrected (originally filed on 01/11/2013)</p> <p>01/15/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>01/17/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>01/24/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Joint Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Order Granting Motion to Stay</u></a></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Annex Medical v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1118</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-02804)</p> <p>01/18/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellants</u></a></p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>01/29/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>02/01/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Order Granting Motion for Emergency Preliminary Injunction pending Appeal</u></a></p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Motion to consolidate case with 12-3357 (O'Brien v. HHS) by Appellees</u></a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate</u></a></p> <p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Order Denying Motion to consolidate</u></a></p> <p>03/07/2013 – <a href="#"><u>Appellant Opening Brief</u></a></p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass'n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Online, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Missouri Roundtable for Life and the Right Reverend W. Thomas Frerking</p>

	<p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Minnesota Catholic Conference</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education and Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Charles N. Sharpe and Sharpe Holdings</p> <p>04/10/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p>
<p><b>District of Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; proceedings Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Hall v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00295, Judge Leo I. Brisbois</p> <p>02/05/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of Non-Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Proceedings by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/02/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Staying case pending resolution of appeal in either <i>O’Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i>.</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Mississippi</b></p>	<p><i>Am. Family Ass’n, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00032, Judge Sharion Aycock</p> <p>02/20/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/19/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>05/03/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>05/03/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>Southern District of Mississippi</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Biloxi, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-00158, assigned to Judge Halil S. Ozerden, referred to Magistrate Judge Robert H. Walker</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p>

	<p>10/11/2012 – Motion for Oral Argument regarding Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Amicus Curiae the American Center for Law and Justice, et al.</p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion and Order granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – Final Judgment dismissing action without prejudice</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Motion to Alter Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/08/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support re: Motion to Alter Judgment</a></p> <p>01/22/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Alter Judgment</p> <p>01/22/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Alter Judgment</a></p> <p>01/29/2013 – <a href="#">Rebuttal Mem. in Support of Motion to Alter Judgment</a></p> <p>02/15/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter Judgment</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p>	<p><i>Sharpe Holdings, Inc. v. US DHHS</i>, No. 12-cv-00092, Judge David D. Noce</p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support re: Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/27/2012 – <a href="#">Supplemental Mem. in Support of Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/28/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/30/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order Granting TRO</a></p> <p>01/07/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by ACLU and ACLU of Eastern Missouri in Opp. to Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Supp. Brief re: Exemptions to Contraceptive Coverage Requirement by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>01/12/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for TRO and preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/14/2013 – <a href="#">Order continuing TRO pending determination on injunctive relief</a></p> <p>03/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>03/15/2013 – Order setting telephonic hearing on Defendant's Motion to Stay for Thursday, March 21 at 2 pm.</p>

	<p>03/18/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Proceedings and requesting approval of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Archdiocese of St. Louis v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00924, Judge John A. Ross</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/22/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/29/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</b></p>	<p><i>O’Brien v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Servs.</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00476, Judge Carol E. Jackson</p> <p>03/15/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>06/11/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>07/16/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>07/16/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Plaintiff’s Mem. in Opp. to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/15/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU of Eastern Missouri in Support of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/23/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/23/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/24/2012 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/14/2012 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/28/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order Dismissing for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>10/01/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>

<p><b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Frank O'Brien Jr., v. Dep't of HHS</i>, No. 12-3357, Judge Carol E. Jackson</p> <p>10/04/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00476)  10/23/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Appellants  11/01/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/13/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a>  11/13/2012 – Addendum of Appellant Brief  11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation  11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of American Ass'n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.  11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of Catholic Online, et al.  11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief of Archdiocese of St. Louis  11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief by Ass'n of Christian Schools Int'l, et al.  11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief of Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.  11/28/2012 – <a href="#">Order entering Stay pending Appeal</a>  12/27/2012 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a>  01/04/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief of National Health Law Program</a>  01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of American Public Health Ass'n, et al.  01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.  01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of American Civil Liberties Union, et al.  01/16/2013 – Amicus Brief of National Women's Law Center  01/18/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant's Reply Brief</a>  02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to consolidate case with 13-1118 (Annex Medical v. Kathleen Sebelius) by Appellees</a>  02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate</a>  03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to consolidate</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Bick Holdings Inc. v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-00462, Judge Audrey G. Fleissig</p> <p>03/13/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  03/25/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a>  03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a> (notice of non-opposition)  03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Consent Motion to Stay proceedings</a>  04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Staying case pending resolution of appeal in <i>O'Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i>.</p>

<p><b>Western District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p>	<p><i>Sioux Chief MFG. Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:13-cv-00036, Judge Ortrie D. Smith</p> <p>01/14/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/27/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Suggestions in Support</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Motion to Stay and Non-opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Granting Motion to Stay</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>American Pulverizer Co. v. HHS</i>, No. 12-cv-03459, Judge Richard E. Dorr</p> <p>10/19/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/20/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/20/2012 – <a href="#">Suggestions in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/04/2012 – <a href="#">Suggestions in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/10/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Suggestions in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/13/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by ACLU</a></p> <p>12/17/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/19/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay proceedings pending Appeal</p> <p>02/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Stay</a></p>
<p><b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>American Pulverizer Co. v. HHS</i>, No. 13-1395</p> <p>02/25/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-03459)</p> <p>02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to hold case in abeyance pending Court’s decision in related appeal</a></p> <p>02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to hold case in abeyance pending decision in O’Brien</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Complaint Dismissed Without Prejudice</b></p>	<p><i>College of the Ozarks v. US DHHS</i>, No. 12-cv-03428, Judge Brian C. Wimes</p> <p>09/17/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order of Dismissal without Prejudice</a></p>

<p><b>District of Nebraska</b></p> <p><b>Held: Complaint Dismissed Without Prejudice</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>State of Nebraska v. U.S. Dep't of Health &amp; Human Servs.</i>, No. 4:12-cv-03035, Judge Warren K. Urbom</p> <p>02/23/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>04/30/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>04/30/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</a></p> <p>05/24/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>06/13/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>07/17/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>07/17/2012 – Judgment entered against Plaintiffs, Complaint dismissed without prejudice</p> <p>09/14/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Scheduling Letter as to Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>State of Nebraska v. U.S. Dep't of HHS</i>, No. 12-3238</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 4:12-cv-03035)</p> <p>11/05/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p> <p>11/14/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass'n of Pro-Life Obst. &amp; Gyn., et al.</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education &amp; Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings</a> by Appellants</p> <p>02/19/2013 – <a href="#">Response with No Opp. to Stay</a></p>

<p><b>Eastern District of New York</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Priests for Life v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-00753, Judge Frederic Block</p> <p>02/05/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>04/23/2012 – Motion for pre-motion conference by Defendants</p> <p>05/14/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>05/15/2012 – Order granting and scheduling pre-motion conference</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)/Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of TRO/Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to TRO/Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of TRO/Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/18/2012 – <a href="#">Notice of Filing of Supplemental Declaration of Danielle Jones for Plaintiff (re: Accommodation)</a></p> <p>12/19/2012 – Notice by Defendant re: Supplemental Declaration</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Scheduling Order</p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Stipulation of the Parties as to Injunctive Relief (stipulating that Plaintiff qualifies for temporary enforcement safe harbor)</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – Order electronically endorsing Stipulation by the parties as to Injunctive Relief</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Electronic Order declaring motion for TRO as moot based on stipulation by the parties</p> <p>04/12/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of New York</b></p> <p><b>Held: Motion to Dismiss Granted in Part, Denied in Part</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archdiocese of New York v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-02542, Judge Brian M. Cogan</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</a></p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/05/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order granting Defendants Motion to Dismiss in part and denying in part. Claims of Diocese and Catholic Charities dismissed for lack of standing. Defendants' motion otherwise denied.</a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – Minute Entry for Status Conference held on 01/07/2013. Defendants shall produce documents on a rolling basis, and depositions may be taken between Mar. 25, 2013 and Aug. 9, 2013. Motions</p>



	<p>for Summary Judgment must be filed by Aug. 16, 2013.</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion and Mem. in Support for Reconsideration of Order on Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</a></p> <p>01/23/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Reconsideration of Order on Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff's respond due by 02/01/2013.</p> <p>02/01/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Answer to Complaint by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion to Halt Proceedings and Dismiss case by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – Order Granting Emergency Motion in part--all proceedings and discovery stayed pending completion of Defendant agency's current rulemaking proceedings. Defendant shall provide status updates on rulemaking every 60 days.</p> <p>04/25/2013 – <a href="#">Letter in response to Emergency Motion by Plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>Southern District of Ohio</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Franciscan Univ. of Steubenville v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-00440, assigned to Judge Algenon L. Marbley, referred to Magistrate Judge Mark R. Abel</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with Jury Demand</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants and Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>08/17/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants</p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/07/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/08/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice Supporting Plaintiff</p> <p>10/12/2012 – <a href="#">Motion and Mem. of Support for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction filed by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>10/12/2012 – Motion to Consolidate Pending Motions</p> <p>10/16/2012 – Order of Recusal signed by Judge George C Smith</p> <p>11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Response Opposing Consolidation and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction filed by Defendants</a></p> <p>11/14/2012 – Order of Recusal by Judge Edmund A Sargus. Case reassigned to Judge Algenon L. Marbley</p>

	<p>11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Oklahoma</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Hobby Lobby Stores Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 5:12-cv-01000, Judge Joe Heaton</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Opening Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/11/2012 – Unopposed Motion to File Amicus Brief by Dan Burton, Daniel R Coats, Thad Cochran, Mike Crapo, Charles Grassley, Orrin G Hatch, Wally Herger, James M Inhofe, Donald Manzullo, Mitch McConnell, John Mica, Pat Roberts, Richard Shelby, Lamar Smith</p> <p>10/15/2012 – Order denying various currently serving Members of Congress' Motion for leave to file an amicus brief</p> <p>10/22/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/29/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/01/2012 – Minute Entry hearing held on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/19/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/19/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/10/2012 – Joint Motion to Stay case Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/12/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p>
<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Hobby Lobby Stores v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6294</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 5:12-cv-01000)</p> <p>11/20/2012 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>11/30/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/03/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/07/2013 – Motion by Appellees to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>01/10/2013 – Response to Motion to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p>

	<p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Foundation  02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice  02/18/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.  02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by State of Oklahoma  02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Archdiocese of Oklahoma City  02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Legal Foundation, et al.  02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.  02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by W. Thomas Frerking &amp; Missouri Roundtable for Life  02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum, et al.  02/20/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation  02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Sen. Orrin G. Hatch, et al.  02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.  03/07/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellants to expedite case</a>  03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a>  03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Medical Women’s Ass’n, et al.  03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program, et al.</a>  03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.  03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Univ. Women, et al.  03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ams. United for Separation of Church &amp; State, et al.  03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Public Health Ass’n, et al.  03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant’s Reply Brief</a>  04/22/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief by Appellees</a>  04/22/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief by Appellants</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 3d Cir. Ct. of App</b></p>	<p><i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-06744, Judge Mitchell S. Goldberg</p> <p>12/04/2012 – Complaint  12/07/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff  12/08/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/19/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a>  12/28/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> temporarily restraining from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiff for two weeks. Evidentiary hearing and oral argument on Motion for Preliminary Injunction set for 01/04/2013.  01/02/2013 – Amicus Brief of ACLU and ACLU of Pennsylvania in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p>

	<p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/15/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</a></p>
<p><b>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sec'y of DHHS</i>, No. 13-1144</p> <p>01/17/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-06744)</p> <p>01/22/2013 – <a href="#">Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellant</a></p> <p>01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/29/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>02/07/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>02/19/2013 – Motion to Expedite Consideration</p> <p>02/20/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Motion to Expedite Consideration</a></p> <p>03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Unopposed motion for Expedited Briefing Schedule</a></p> <p>03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Daniel R. Coats, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by U.S. Justice Found.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by New Jersey Family Policy Council</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Inst.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bart Stupak &amp; Democrats for Life of America</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of Ass'n of Christian Schools, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of Bradley P. Jacob, et al.</p> <p>04/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ctr. for Reproductive Rights, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program, et al.</a></p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of National Women's Law Center, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief for Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p>

	<p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ams. United for Separation of Church &amp; State</p> <p>04/23/2013 – Amicus Brief by Lambda Legal Def. &amp; Educ. Fund</p> <p>04/30/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Reply Brief</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Persico v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00123, Judge Sean J. McLaughlin</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/24/2012 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et. al.</p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>08/31/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Ass’n of Pittsburgh Priests, et al.</p> <p>09/04/2012 – Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/04/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/16/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions</a></p> <p>01/22/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Most Reverend David A. Zubik, Bishop of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Pittsburgh v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-00676, Judge Terrence F. McVerry</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/30/2012 – Amicus Brief of American Center for Law &amp; Justice in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Ass’n of Pittsburgh Priests, et al.</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunction</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Motion for Rule 65(a)(2) Consolidated Trial and Preliminary Injunction Hearing</p> <p>09/21/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motions for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion for Scheduling Order or</p>

	<p>Trial Setting Conference filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/25/2012 – Reply Brief to Motion for Scheduling Order or Trial Setting Conference filed by Catholic Charities et al.</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion for Scheduling Order or Trial Setting Conference filed by Catholic Charities et al.</p> <p>10/01/2012 – <a href="#">Sur-Reply Brief to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/15/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>10/25/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Brief to Motions for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>11/27/2012 – <a href="#">Order and Mem. Opinion granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>01/23/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<b>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<p><i>David Zubik v. HHS</i>, No. 13-1228</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-00676)</p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Clerk Order</a> advising of jurisdictional defect and possible dismissal and requesting party briefing</p> <p>02/05/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Response to jurisdictional question</a></p> <p>02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Response to jurisdictional question</a></p>
<p><b>Middle District of Tennessee</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00934, assigned to Judge Todd J. Campbell, referred to Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion For Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/21/2012 – Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Hearing filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Response in Opp. regarding Emergency Motion</p> <p>10/03/2012 – Order setting hearing to consider Motion for Preliminary Injunction on 11/15/2012</p> <p>10/04/2012 – Motion for Review regarding Order filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/22/2012 – Opp. to Motion for Review</p> <p>10/23/2012 – Order granting Motion for Review</p>

	<p>10/23/2012 – Order setting bench trial for 6/25/2013  10/26/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  11/05/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  11/15/2012 – Minute Entry and Transcript of Motion Hearing  11/21/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss</a>  11/21/2012 – Judgment Entered  12/19/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>6<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Voluntarily Dismissed</b></p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6590</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 3:12-cv-00934)  02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellant to Voluntarily Dismiss</a>  02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Letter Granting Voluntary Dismissal</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Texas</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Diocese of Dallas v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-01589-B, 3:12-cv-01589, Judge Jane J. Boyle</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with Jury Demand</a>  08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction and Brief in Support by Defendants</a>  08/20/2012 – Motion to File Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiff on Behalf of the Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice and 79 Members of Congress  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/28/2012 – Order denying without prejudice Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice Motion to Appear as Amicus Curiae  01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Order directing the Parties to submit additional Briefing by 02/08/2013.</a>  02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss; Case Dismissed in its entirety.</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Texas</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Diocese of Fort Worth v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00314-Y, Judge Terry R. Means</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with Jury Demand</a>  08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</a>  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply filed regarding Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/26/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</a></p>

	<p><a href="#">in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/31/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Motion for Reconsideration or alternatively, for Permission to Appeal Immediately</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Answer to Complaint</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>04/04/2013 – <a href="#">Reply by Defendants in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</a></p>
<p><b>Southern District of Texas</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case stayed</b></p>	<p><i>East Texas Baptist Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-03009, Judge Lee H. Rosenthal</p> <p>10/09/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – Minute Entry for proceedings held on 12/20/2012. Case is stayed and parties must submit status reports every 60 days. Status conference set for 04/01/2013.</p> <p>02/15/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Status Report</a></p> <p>02/18/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Status Report</a></p> <p>03/08/2013 – <a href="#">Opposed Motion to Intervene as Plaintiff by Westminster Theological Seminary</a></p> <p>03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Intervene by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/15/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Status Report</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Status Report</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Texas</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>The Criswell Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-04409, Judge David C. Godbey</p> <p>11/01/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with jury demand</a></p> <p>01/07/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay and Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Stay</a></p> <p>04/09/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>