

Nat'l Health Law Program

Contact: Dipti Singh  
 UPDATED: February 2015

<u>VENUE</u>	<u>HEALTH REFORM LITIGATION</u>
	<b>Constitutional Challenges</b>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>District of Connecticut</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Held: Dismissed in light of parties' stipulation of dismissal</b></p>	<p><i>Bracy v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:14-cv-00593-VLB, Judge Vanessa L. Bryant</p> <p>05/01/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>                      06/12/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>                      07/16/2014 – Joint Mot. for Extension of Deadlines                      11/19/2014- <a href="#">Joint Status Report and Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal</a>                      11/20/2014- Order dismissing case in light of parties' stipulation of dismissal                      11/21/2014- Order denying as moot plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction in light of parties' stipulation of dismissal</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Western District of Virginia</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Held: Mandate Constitutional</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Appealed to 4th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 6:10-cv-00015 – Judge Normal K. Moon</p> <p>03/23/2010 – Complaint                      07/30/2010 – First Amended Complaint                      08/13/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Filed by Defendants                      09/03/2010 – Opposition to Motion to Dismiss                      09/22/2010 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss                      10/22/2010 – Oral argument on Motion to Dismiss (transcript available)                      11/30/2010 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion Granting Motion to Dismiss</a>                      12/01/2010 – Notice of Appeal                      09/08/2011 – Opinion from 4<sup>th</sup> circuit                      10/31/2011 – Mandate received                      11/07/2011 – Order vacating 11/30 order and dismissing case for lack of jurisdiction                      08/13/2012 – Appeal remark – order denying motion to stay mandate                      07/11/2013—Opinion from 4<sup>th</sup> circuit – Affirming District Court                      08/06/2013—Appeal remark—order staying mandate                      09/03/2013—Mandate received</p>
<b>4th Cir.</b>	<i>Liberty Univ. v. Jacob Lew</i> , No. 10-2347

<p><b>Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: No subject matter jurisdiction (Anti-Injunction. Act)</b></p> <p><b>Pet. for Cert. granted, vacated and remanded</b></p> <p><b>Affirmed</b></p>	<p>12/03/2010 – Appeal docketed (from No. 6:10-cv-00015, see above)</p> <p>01/26/2011 – Order consolidating oral argument in seriatim with Commonwealth of Va., above</p> <p>01/18/2011 – Opening Brief filed by Liberty Univ.</p> <p>1/21-25/2011 – Amici Briefs supporting Liberty Univ. from Mountain States Legal Found.; Revere America Found.; American Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>02/18/2011 – <a href="#">Response Brief filed by Sec. Geithner</a></p> <p>02/25/2011 – Amici Briefs supporting Sec. Geithner filed by American Ass’n of People with Disabilities etc.; Am. Nurses Ass’n etc.; Constit. Law Professors; AHA; Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr.; Sen. Reid etc.; ACLU; AFSCME; AAP etc.;</p> <p>03/04/2011 – <a href="#">Reply Brief filed by Liberty Univ.</a></p> <p>05/10/2011 – Oral argument (Judges Motz, Davis, Wynn)</p> <p>05/23/2011 – Court order requesting supplemental briefing</p> <p>05/31/2011 – Supp. briefs by Appellants and Appellees</p> <p>07/06/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee Geithner (Thomas More Law Ctr., 6th Cir.)</p> <p>08/08/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee (New Jersey Physicians, 3d Cir.)</p> <p>08/12/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellant (Fla. v. Sebelius, 11th Cir.)</p> <p>08/18/2011 – Supp. authority filed by Appellee (Baldwin, 9th Cir.)</p> <p>09/08/2011 – <a href="#">Opinion</a> dismissing case based on Anti-Injunction Act</p> <p>10/31/2011 – Mandate issued</p> <p>06/29/2012 – Supreme Court remark – Certiorari denied</p> <p>07/20/2012 – Motion by Liberty Univ. to stay mandate</p> <p>08/13/2012 – Order denying motion to stay</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Supreme Court remark – 6/29/12 order denying cert. vacated; petition for cert. granted; judgment vacated; and case remanded for consideration in light of <i>NFIB v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>12/28/2012 – Supreme Court remark – certified copy of judgment sent to 4<sup>th</sup> Cir.</p> <p>02/27/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Supp. Brief</a></p> <p>03/06/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus/Intervener Brief by American Civil Rights Union</a></p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Family Research Council</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Institute and Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Landmark Legal Foundation</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Project Liberty</p> <p>03/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by David Boyle</p>
---	--

	<p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Members of Legatus, Catholic Medical Association</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Foundation for Moral Law</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Virginia Family Foundation, et al.</p> <p>04/04/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Response Brief</a></p> <p>04/10/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. College of Obstetrics &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>04/11/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>04/12/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Supp. Reply Brief</a></p> <p>05/16/2013 – Oral Argument</p> <p>07/11/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion Affirming the District Court</a></p> <p>08/06/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Mandate by Liberty Univ.</a></p> <p>08/07/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Stay Mandate</a></p> <p>09/10/2013 – Pet. For cert. filed</p> <p>12/02/2013 – Supreme Court Remark—order denying cert.</p> <p>06/30/2014 – Brief/appendices archival information received</p>
<p><b>United States Supreme Court</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Cert. granted, case vacated and remanded in light of NFIB</b></p>	<p><i>Liberty Univ. v. Geithner</i>, No. 11-438</p> <p>10/07/2011 – <a href="#">Liberty Univ. Pet. for Writ of Cert.</a></p> <p>10/18/2011 – <a href="#">Geithner Response</a></p> <p>10/28/2011 – <a href="#">Liberty Univ. Reply</a></p> <p>06/29/2012 – Petition denied</p> <p>07/23/2012 – Petition for rehearing filed</p> <p>10/31/2012 – Br. of Geithner on petition for rehearing</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Pet. for rehearing granted. Order of 6/29 vacated; Pet. for cert. granted; judgment vacated; case remanded for reconsider. in light of <i>NFIB v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>12/28/2012 – Judgment issued</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Ohio</b></p> <p><b>Held: Mandate constitutional</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>US Citizens Ass’n v. Sebelius</i>, No. 5:10-cv-1065 – Judge David Dowd</p> <p>05/12/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>07/06/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Glick and Ellison filed by Glick and Ellison</p> <p>07/13/2010 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Glick and Ellison</p> <p>07/13/2010 – Case Management Conference Scheduling Order</p> <p>08/12/2010 – Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/01/2010 – First Amended Complaint</p> <p>09/08/2010 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss as moot</p> <p>09/08/2010 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Stay Summary Judgment Pending Motion to Dismiss ruling</p> <p>09/16/2010 – <a href="#">Second Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>10/08/2010 – Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint</p>

	<p>10/28/2010 – Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss  11/02/2010 – Amicus brief in Support of Plaintiffs(Alliance for Natural Health US)  11/19/2010 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss  11/22/2010 – <a href="#">Order denying in part, granting in part Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</a>  01/05/2011 – Defendant’s Answer to Second Amended Complaint filed  01/24/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs  01/24/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants  02/02/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Plaintiffs (Fla. decision)  02/14/2011 – Opp. to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs  02/14/2011 – Opp. to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendants  02/28/2011 – <a href="#">Judgment Order</a> Dismissing Remaining Counts  03/07/2011 – Motion to Clarify or Reconsider filed by US Citizens Ass’n and request for ruling by 5/02/2011  03/14/2011 – Opposition to Motion to Clarify  03/14/2011 – Reply Supporting of Motion to Clarify  03/17/2011 – Order denying Plaintiffs’ request for ruling by 5/02/2011  03/18/2011 – Notice of Appeal  04/27/2011 – Order deferring ruling pending decision by Sixth Circuit in Thomas Moore  07/01/2011 – Order that this Court is bound by the 6th Cir. Ruling in Thomas More  07/13/2011 – Notice of Appeal  02/01/2013 – Opinion of 6th Cir.  04/01/2013 – True copy of mandate from 6th Cir.</p>
<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>  <b>Affirmed</b></p>	<p><i>US Citizens Ass’n v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 11-3327 (consolidated with 11-3798)</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Case docketed (from No. 5:10-cv-1065, above)  05/06/2011 – <a href="#">Opening Brief</a> of Appellant U.S. Citizens Ass’n re: Count 2: Violation of freedom of expressive and intimate association, Count 3: Violation of 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment Due Process, Count 4: Right to Privacy)  07/06/2011 – <a href="#">Corrected response brief from Appellee</a>  07/18/2011 – <a href="#">Reply Brief</a> filed by Appellants  08/16/2011 – Supp. authority from Appellants (Fla. v. Sebelius, 11th Cir.)  09/23/2011 – Order consolidating cases and referred (Guy, Sutton and Griffin)</p>

	<p>11/17/2011 – Notice of additional citation (SCOTUS review in Florida case)</p> <p>03/12/2012 – Mot. of USA to hold oral argument in abeyance pending SCOTUS review</p> <p>03/19/2012 – Stay granted</p> <p>07/05/2012 – Motion to vacate stay</p> <p>07/09/2012 – Order granting motion to vacate stay</p> <p>09/19/2012 – Case submitted (Suhrheinrich, Stranch and Donald)</p> <p>10/30/2012 – Letter stating case will be submitted on briefs without oral argument</p> <p>02/01/2013 – <a href="#">Opinion and Judgment Affirming Motion to Dismiss and Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>03/29/2013 – Mandate issued</p>
<p><b>Western District of Oklahoma</b></p> <p><b>Held: Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Calvey v. Obama</i>, No. 5:10-cv-00353 – Judge David Russell</p> <p>04/07/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2010 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>02/15/2011 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants</p> <p>03/09/2011 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Mot. to Dismiss</p> <p>03/22/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint filed by Calvey</p> <p>03/22, 23, &amp; 25/2011 – Response to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss filed by Calvey</p> <p>03/23 &amp; 25/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint filed by Calvey</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Reply supporting Motion to Dismiss filed by Obama</p> <p>04/26/2011 – Order granting in part and denying in part Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction; granting Motion to Amend amended complaint.</p> <p>05/10/2011– Answer filed by Defendant</p> <p>11/08/2011– Order Staying Case pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p>
<p><b>Eastern District Oklahoma</b></p> <p><b>Held: Denying def. motion for SJ and granting plaintiffs’ motion for SJ</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. App.</b></p>	<p><i>Pruitt v. Burwell et al</i>, No. 6:11-cv-00030 – Judge Ronald A. White</p> <p>01/21/2011 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/04/2011 – Summons returned executed</p> <p>03/28/2011 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants</p> <p>04/08/2011 – Response in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiff</p> <p>04/19/2011– Motion for Extension of Time to Reply to Motion Response</p> <p>04/20/2011– Minute Order granting Defendant’s Motion for Extension of Time</p>

	<p>04/26/2011– Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Defendant</p> <p>09/22/2011– Def. Notice of Supp. Auth. (4<sup>th</sup> circuit decision)</p> <p>11/16/2011– Order to show cause why case should not be stayed pending SCOTUS review of Florida case</p> <p>11/17/2011– Response agreeing case should be stayed</p> <p>11/23/2011– Order Staying Case pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Motion to lift stay</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Order granting motion to lift stay</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a> (no preemption; final exchange rule violates APA)</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Order finding Defendant’s motion to dismiss original complaint. Moot</p> <p>12/03/2012 – Defendant’s <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a> for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>12/06/2012 – Mot. to Intervene by GC Restaurants, Old English Lion and Rose, challenging § 36B premium assistance regulations as invalid under APA, with <a href="#">Complaint in Intervention</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – Defendant’s Opp. to Intervention</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Plaintiffs’ Response in Support of Intervention</p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response</a> in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Reply in Support of Intervention</p> <p>02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>06/20/2013 – Hearing on Motion to Dismiss held</p> <p>08/12/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting in part and denying in part motion to dismiss</a></p> <p>09/25/2013 – <a href="#">Answer to Amended Complaint.</a></p> <p>10/03/2013 – Order staying case</p> <p>10/18/2013 – Order lifting stay</p> <p>02/18/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>03/18/2014 – Order granting defendants’ motion to extend time</p> <p>03/19/2014 – <a href="#">Cross Motion for Summary Judgment by all Defendants</a></p> <p>04/22/2014 – <a href="#">Response to Defendants’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>04/23/2014 – Order granting attorney withdrawal (two of Plaintiff’s attorneys)</p> <p>05/19/2014 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Reply in Support of their Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>06/02/2014 – Order granting Plaintiff’s motion to file supplemental authority &amp; Plaintiff’s Notice of Supplemental Authority</p> <p>06/04/2014 – Motion for Leave to File Hard Copy Amicus Curiae Motion and Brief in Support of Plaintiff’s MSJ by ACRU</p>
--	---

	<p>06/05/2014 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Response in Opp. to Mot. for Leave to File Amicus Curiae</a></p> <p>06/06/2014 – Minute Order granting ACRU leave to file hard copy Amicus Curiae Motion and Brief</p> <p>06/06/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Brief in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment by ACRU</a></p> <p>06/06/2014 – Minute Order denying Motion of ACRU for leave to file amicus curiae</p> <p>06/09/2014 – Notice of substitution of party by Lewis, Sebelius</p> <p>09/30/2014 – <a href="#">Order denying defendants’ motion for summary judgment and granting plaintiff’s motion for SJ</a></p> <p>09/30/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment for Plaintiff and against the defendants</a></p> <p>10/02/2014 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>11/21/2014 – <a href="#">Letter from SCOTUS saying petition for writ of cert. has been filed</a></p> <p>01/26/2015 – <a href="#">Letter from SCOTUS saying the petition for writ of cert. has been denied</a></p>
<p><b>10<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. App.</b></p> <p><b>Case abated pending SCOTUS decision in <i>King v. Burwell</i></b></p>	<p><i>State of OK, ex rel. Pruitt v. Burwell</i>, 14-7080</p> <p>11/17/2014 – <a href="#">Motion filed by appellants to abate case</a></p> <p>11/19/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting appellant’s motion to abate case pending SCOTUS decision in King v. Burwell</a></p> <p>11/19/2014 – Order filed by Clerk of the Court- vacating oral arg.</p> <p>11/21/2014 – <a href="#">Petition for writ of cert filed by appellee</a></p> <p>01/26/2015 – <a href="#">SCOTUS order denying cert</a></p>
<p><b>Southern District of Mississippi</b></p> <p><b>Stayed, except privacy claims</b></p> <p><b>Def. Mot. to Dismiss privacy claims granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Bryant and Walters v. Holder</i>, No. 2:10-cv-76 – Judge Keith Starrett</p> <p>04/02/2010 – Petition filed</p> <p>04/09/2010 – <a href="#">First Amended Petition</a>, adding Plaintiff Lt. Gov. Phil Bryant</p> <p>08/01/2010 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>11/15/2010 – Opposition to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/22/2010 – Memorandum in Opposition filed separately by Phil Bryant</p> <p>01/21/2011 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/03/2011 – Order dismissing First Amended Petition (with leave to refile w/i 30days); Order granting in part and denying in part Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>03/04/2011 – Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>03/21/2011 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer filed by Defendants</p> <p>03/21/2011 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Extend time to Answer</p>



	<p>04/12/2011 – Motion to Dismiss and for Jurisdictional Discovery filed by Defendants</p> <p>05/20/2011 – Opposition of Motion to Dismiss and Jurisdictional Discovery</p> <p>05/31/2011 – Order granting Defendant extension of time to reply to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/05/2011 – Defendants’ reply (rebuttal ) to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/16/2011 – Supp. authority from Defendant (New Jersey Physicians, 3d Cir.)</p> <p>08/17/2011 – Response to supp. authority and supp. authority from Plf. (Fla., 11th Cir.)</p> <p>08/18/2011 – Response to supp. authority from Def.</p> <p>08/29/2011 – <a href="#">Order</a> granting in part &amp; denying in part Defendants’ motion to dismiss (and allowing plaintiffs. to proceed with their individual mandate challenge)</p> <p>09/12/2011 – Answer</p> <p>11/16/2011 – Joint Mot. to Stay Proceedings pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>11/23/2011 – Stay pending SCOTUS review in Fla. case, EXCEPT privacy claims</p> <p>02/01/2012 – Order extending time to complete discovery</p> <p>04/11/2012 – Order granting in part and denying in part a motion by Def. to compel discovery</p> <p>05/01/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs. not responding to discovery</p> <p>05/01/2012 – Motion and <a href="#">Mem. for Summary. J.</a> filed by Def.</p> <p>05/01/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. In Support of Summary. J.</a> filed by Bryant</p> <p>07/06/2012 – Opp. To Bryant’s Mot. for Summary J. filed by Def.</p> <p>07/06/2012 – Opp. to Geithner's Mot. for Summary. J. filed by Bryant</p> <p>07/20/2012 – Resp. on Summary. J. filed by Def.</p> <p>07/20/2012 – Resp. on Summary. J. filed by Bryant</p> <p>08/13/2012 – Order granting Defendants.’ Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs.</p> <p>08/23/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> granting Defendants’ Mot. for Summary. J. re: privacy claims</p> <p>09/05/2012 – Judgment entered</p> <p>10/23/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/14/2013 – 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit Order</p>
<p><b>5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Case dismissed</b></p>	<p><i>Walters v. Holder</i>, No. 12-60844, on appeal from No. 2:10-cv-00076</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/12/2012 – Notice Appellant’s Br. due</p> <p>12/19/2012 – Order dismissing appeal for failing to file brief &amp; record</p>



<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Case dismissed</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Ass'n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:10-cv-499 – Judge Amy Berman Jackson</p> <p>03/26/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>05/12/2010 – Response to Notice of Related Case</p> <p>05/24/2010 – Reply to Response to Notice of Related Case filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>06/07/2010 – Defendants’ Reply re: Opposition to Related-Case Designation</p> <p>06/11/2010 – Order - Case Reassigned to Judge Richard J. Leon</p> <p>07/29/2010 – Motion to Dismiss Complaint Filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/08/2010 – Consent Motion to File Second Amended Complaint</p> <p>09/13/2010 – Second Amended Complaint Filed</p> <p>11/12/2010 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>11/22/2010 – Motion to Stay Summary Judgment and Discovery filed by Defendants</p> <p>12/09/2010 – Opposition to Stay filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/20/2010 – Reply to Memo opposing Motion to Stay filed by Defendants</p> <p>12/24/2010 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Stay Summary Judgment and Discovery</p> <p>01/10/2011 – Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/13/2011 – Order denying Motion to Dismiss as moot</p> <p>02/14/2011 – Defendants’ Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint</p> <p>03/30/2011 – Case reassigned to U.S. District Judge Amy Berman Jackson. Judge Richard J. Leon no longer assigned to the case.</p> <p>04/8/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Defendants (Hall decision)</p> <p>10/07/2011 – Geithner Motion to Stay pending decisions in SCOTUS &amp; DC Cir cases</p> <p>10/24/2011 – Opp. to Mot. to Stay</p> <p>11/08/2011 – Order granting Stay</p> <p>07/13/2012 – Order lifting stay</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Supplemental Mem. from U.S.</p> <p>07/27/2012 – Supplemental Mem. from Plaintiffs.</p> <p>10/03/2012 – Order for Def. to address whether individual mandate constitutes unconstitutional taking in violation of 5<sup>th</sup> Am.</p> <p>10/12/2012 – Def. Resp. to 10/3/12 Order</p> <p>10/19/2012 – <a href="#">AAPS Resp.</a> to 10/3/12 Order</p> <p>10/31/2012 – Order and <a href="#">Opinion</a> granting Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p>

<p><b>D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Affirmed</b></p>	<p><i>Ass'n of Amer. Physicians &amp; Surgeons v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5003</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 10-cv-00499)</p> <p>03/13/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Injunction as to Count IV by Appellant</a></p> <p>03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction</a></p> <p>04/08/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Emergency Motion</a></p> <p>04/17/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for Injunction</a></p> <p>06/21/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>07/22/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>08/09/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>08/28/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Final Brief</a></p> <p>09/03/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Final Brief</a></p> <p>12/30/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of intent appeal to Supreme Court for injunction pending appeal</a></p> <p>01/06/2014 – <a href="#">Notice Supreme Court denied injunction pending appeal</a></p> <p>01/10/2014 - Oral Argument held</p> <p>03/07/2014 – <a href="#">Per curiam judgment filed – judgment appealed from in this cause is affirmed</a></p> <p>03/07/2014 – <a href="#">Opinion affirming district court</a></p> <p>04/21/2014 – <a href="#">Petition filed by appellants for rehearing</a></p> <p>04/28/2014 – Per curiam order denying petition for rehearing</p> <p>05/07/2014 – Mandate issued</p> <p>09/26/2014 – <a href="#">SCOTUS letter saying petition for writ of cert. was filed</a></p> <p>01/12/2015 – <a href="#">Petition for writ of cert denied by SCOTUS</a></p>
<p><b>United States Supreme Court</b></p> <p><b>Petition for writ of cert. denied</b></p>	<p><i>Ass'n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13A699 (U.S.)</p> <p>01/03/2014 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:10-cv-00499)</p> <p>01/03/2014 – Application for injunction pending appeal</p> <p>01/06/2014 – Application for injunction pending appeal denied by The Chief Justice</p> <p>09/26/2014 – <a href="#">Petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix.</a></p> <p>10/27/2014- <i>Amici curiae</i> brief of Citizens' Council for Health Freedom <i>et al.</i> in support of petitioners</p> <p>1/12/2015- <a href="#">Petition for writ of cert. denied</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District</b></p>	<p><i>Sissel v. U.S. Dep't of Health &amp; Human Services.</i>, No. 1:10-cv-01263 – Judge Beryl A. Howell</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Motion to Dismiss Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p>07/26/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>07/26/2010 – Notice of Related Case by Plaintiff Sissel</p> <p>10/25/2010 – Opp. to Notice of Related Case filed by Defendants</p> <p>11/03/2010 – Response in Support of Notice of Related Case</p> <p>11/12/2010 – Reply in Opposition to Plaintiff’s Response re Notice of Related Case</p> <p>11/15/2010 – Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>12/16/2010 – Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/05/2011 – Order staying discovery pending resolution of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>01/18/2011 – Reply to Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>02/28/2011 – Notice of Supp. Authority by Defendants (Mead/Seven Sky, D.C.D.C.)</p> <p>08/09/2011 – Case stayed pending D.C. Circuit decision in Mead/Seven Sky</p> <p>11/30/2011 – Joint Motion to Stay pending SCOTUS review in Florida case</p> <p>12/01/2011 – Order granting Stay</p> <p>09/11/2012 – Mot. for leave to file amended complaint</p> <p>10/11/2012 – Order granting leave to file amended complaint</p> <p>10/11/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a> (Origination Clause)</p> <p>11/08/2012 – Def. <a href="#">Mot. to Dismiss</a> Amended Complaint</p> <p>12/03/2012 – <a href="#">Plf. Opposition</a> to Mot. to Dismiss</p> <p>12/04/2012 – Ctr. for Const. Jurisprudence <a href="#">Amicus Br</a> (Origination Clause)</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Reply</a> in Support of Mot. to Dismiss</p> <p>06/28/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Mot. to Dismiss</a></p> <p>07/05/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Affirmed</b></p> <p><b>Plaintiffs filed a petition for rehearing en banc</b></p>	<p><i>Sissel v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Services</i>, No. 13-5202</p> <p>07/08/2013 – Appeal Docketed</p> <p>10/23/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>11/08/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief Supporting Appellant by Ass’n of Am. Physicians and Surgeons</a></p> <p>11/12/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief Supporting Appellant by U.S. Representatives Michele Bachmann et al.</a></p> <p>11/13/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief Supporting Appellant by Ctr. Constitutional Jurisprudence</a></p> <p>12/09/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>12/20/2013 – Appellant Reply Brief</p> <p>12/26/2013 – Sissel withdraw attorney Himebaugh</p> <p>01/19/2014 – Defendants file letter w/ additional authorities</p> <p>04/19/2014 – Notice Mullin, Latta, Posey intend to participate as</p>

	<p>amicus curiae</p> <p>04/25/2014 – Plaintiff files letter w/ additional authorities</p> <p>05/07/2014 – Dion DeSantis intent to participate as amicus curiae</p> <p>05/08/2014 – Oral argument held</p> <p>06/02/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant Supplemental Br. re: standing</a></p> <p>06/09/2014 – <a href="#">Appellee Supplemental Br.</a></p> <p>07/29/2014 – <a href="#">Order affirming district court’s dismissal for failure to state a claim.</a></p> <p>10/14/2014 – Amicus brief in support of appellant’s petition for rehearing filed- Judicial Education project et al.</p> <p>10/14/2014 – Amicus brief in support of appellant’s petition for rehearing en banc - John Cornyn and Ted Cruz</p> <p>10/14/2014 – Amicus Brief of U.S. Representative Trent Franks and 42 other members of the U.S. House of Rep. – in support of appellant’s petition for rehearing en banc</p> <p>10/16/2014 – Amicus brief of TX, AL, AK, AR, CO, FL, GA, ID, KS, NE, SC, SD, WV supporting rehearing en banc</p> <p>10/17/2014 – <a href="#">Response in opposition to petition for rehearing en banc</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Halbig v. Sebelius</i>, 1:13-cv-00623-RWR, Chief Judge Richard W. Roberts</p> <p>05/02/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>06/06/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>07/29/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/09/2013 – <a href="#">Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/09/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Default Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>08/16/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Default Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>09/03/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/10/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>09/27/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/04/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/15/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Plaintiffs’ Motion for Default Judgment</a></p> <p>10/22/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/12/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Cross Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>11/14/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by Families USA</a></p> <p>11/18/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Reply in Support of Summary Judgment and in Opposition to Cross Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>11/19/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by Commonwealth of Virginia</a></p>

	<p>11/19/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by Jonathan Adler, et al.</a>  11/25/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Summary Judgment</a>  12/09/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Notice of Supplemental Authority In Opposition to Defendants’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment</a>  01/15/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting Defendant’s Mot. for Summary. J.: Denying Plaintiff’s Mot. for Summary. J.</a>  01/15/2014 – <a href="#">Opinion for above</a>  01/15/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of appeal</a></p>
<p><b>D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Case held in abeyance pending disposition by Supreme Ct. in <i>King v. Burwell</i></b></p>	<p><i>Halbig v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-5018</p> <p>01/16/2014 – Case docketed No. 14-5018  01/30/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Brief &amp; Joint Appendix</a>  02/05/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief States &amp; Consumer Research  02/06/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief of PRI and CATO  02/06/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief Adler &amp; Cannon  02/06/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief KS, MS, NB  02/06/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief Senators  02/06/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief Galen Institute  02/07/2014 – Corrected Amicus Curiae Brief NFIB  02/12/2014 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a>  02/14/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief of Am. Cancer. Society  02/14/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief Pub. Health Deans  02/15/2014 – Corrected Amici Curiae Brief Congress &amp; State Legislatures  02/17/2014 – <a href="#">Brief of Amici Curiae AARP &amp; NHeLP</a>  02/19/2014 – <a href="#">Reply Brief for Appellants</a>  03/25/2014 – Oral argument  07/22/2014 – <a href="#">Opinion reversing district court and remanding with instructions to grant summary judgment to appellants</a></p> <p>11/03/2014 – Brief of Amicus Curiae Families USA in support of Appellees en banc review  11/03/2014 – Brief Amici Curiae for economic scholars in support of Appellees en banc review  11/03/2014 – Brief Amicus Curiae American Hospital Assn. in Support of appellees  11/03/2014 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a>  11/03/2014 – Corrected Amicus for Appellee brief filed by AIDS Alabama et al.  11/03/2014 – Corrected Amicus Curiae Brief of Public Health Deans, Chairs, and Faculty in support of appellee  11/10/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to hold in abeyance filed by appellants</a>  11/12/2014 – <a href="#">Order that this case be removed from oral argument calendar and held in abeyance pending disposition by the Supreme</a></p>

	<a href="#"><u>Court of King v. Burwell</u></a>
<b>District of Columbia District Court</b>	<p><i>State of West Virginia v. U.S. Dep't of Health &amp; Human Svcs.</i>, No. 1:14-cv-01287 – Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>07/29/2014 – <a href="#"><u>Complaint</u></a></p> <p>09/16/2014- <a href="#"><u>Motion for Summary Judgment by W. VA</u></a></p> <p>09/23/2014- <a href="#"><u>Motion to Stay Summary Judgment by US HHS</u></a></p> <p>10/07/2014- <a href="#"><u>Response re: Motion to Stay Motion for Summary judgment, filed by W. VA</u></a></p> <p>10/16/2014- <a href="#"><u>Reply to opposition to motion by HHS</u></a></p> <p>10/17/2014- <a href="#"><u>Motion to dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by HHS</u></a></p> <p>11/03/2014- <a href="#"><u>Order staying motion for summary judgment</u></a></p> <p>11/13/2014- Motion for Leave to File <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Brief by Pacific Legal Foundation.</p> <p>12/11/2014- <a href="#"><u>Reply to opposition to motion re: Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, filed by US HHS</u></a></p> <p>12/24/2014- Case randomly reassigned to Judge Amit P. Mehta.</p>
<b>District of Columbia District Court</b>	<p><i>Am. Freedom Law Ctr. v. Obama</i>, No. 1:14-cv-01143 – Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>07/04/2014 – <a href="#"><u>Complaint</u></a></p> <p>09/23/2014- <a href="#"><u>Motion for Preliminary Injunction by American Freedom Law Center</u></a></p> <p>10/17/2014- <a href="#"><u>Motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction by defendants</u></a></p> <p>10/22/2014- <a href="#"><u>Motion opposing preliminary injunction filed by defendants</u></a></p> <p>10/30/2014- <a href="#"><u>Response re: Motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, filed by Am. Freedom Law Ctr.</u></a></p> <p>10/30/2014- <a href="#"><u>Reply to opposition to motion re: Motion to for preliminary injunction, filed by Am. Freedom Law Ctr.</u></a></p> <p>11/13/2014- <a href="#"><u>Reply to opposition to motion re: Motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, filed by defendants</u></a></p> <p>11/17/2014 – <a href="#"><u>Motion for leave to file a Sur-Reply, by Plaintiffs</u></a></p> <p>11/19/2014 – <a href="#"><u>Response to Sur-Reply, by Defendants</u></a></p> <p>11/20/2014 – <a href="#"><u>Reply from plaintiffs</u></a></p> <p>01/09/2015 – <a href="#"><u>Order granting Motion for leave to file</u></a></p> <p>01/09/2015 – <a href="#"><u>Surreply to re: Motion to dismiss for lack or jurisdiction filed by Am. Freedom Law Ctr.</u></a></p> <p>01/30/2015 – <a href="#"><u>Supplemental memorandum re: motion to dismiss filed by defendants</u></a></p>

<p><b>Middle District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Mandate unconstitutional</b></p> <p><b>Vacated</b></p>	<p><i>Goudy-Bachman v. U.S. Dep't Health &amp; Human Services.</i>, No. 1:10-cv-00763 – Judge Christopher Conner</p> <p>04/12/2010 – Complaint</p> <p>06/14/2010 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/13/2010 – Order Holding Issuance of Pretrial/Trial Schedule in Abeyance, Pending Resolution of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/26/2010 – Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/03/2010 – Plaintiffs’ Notice of Supplemental Authority (Va. v. Sebelius)</p> <p>08/13/2010 – Plaintiffs Supplemental Brief in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/18/2010 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/07/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Baldwin)</p> <p>10/21/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Thomas More)</p> <p>12/06/2010 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Def. (Geithner, Sebelius, U.S. Dep’t of Health and Human Services, U.S. Dep’t of Treasury)</p> <p>01/24/2011 – Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>02/23/2011 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Defendants (DC decision)</p> <p>06/10/2011 – Joint Stipulation for filing cross motions for summary joint approved by court</p> <p>06/21/2011 – Motion for Summary Judgment in the Alternative filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/06/2011 - Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>07/07/2011 – Brief in Support of Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>07/18/2011 – Brief in Opposition of Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>07/21/2011 – Oral argument held before Judge Conner</p> <p>08/01/2011 – Reply Brief responding to Opposition of Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>08/19/2011 – Supp. Brief from Plaintiffs re: 11th Cir. decision</p> <p>08/30/2011 – Reply from Defendant re: 11th Cir. Decision</p> <p>09/08/2011 – Notice of subsequent authority from Geithner (4th Circuit opinions)</p> <p>09/13/2011 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion</a>. granting Summary Judgment to Plf. &amp; finding minimum coverage provision unconstitutional</p> <p>11/10/2011 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/03/2012 – Mandate of Court of Appeals</p>



	12/04/2012 – Order vacating judgment and closing case
<b>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b>  <b>Held: Affirming district court’s dismissal of complaint for failure to state a claim</b>	<i>Goudy-Bachman v. U.S. Dep’t Health &amp; Human Services</i> , No. 11-4160  11/17/2011 – Case docketed (appeal from, No. 1:10-cv-00763 above) 11/18/2011 – Joint Mot. to Stay Proceedings pending SCOTUS review of Florida case 11/30/2011 – Order granting Motion to Stay (status reports being filed) 08/09/2012 – Stay lifted 09/17/2012 – Mot. by Goudy-Bachman to summarily affirm 09/12/2012 – Resp. by U.S. to Mot. 10/11/2012 – Mot for Summary Affirmance denied; D. Ct. judgment vacated (NFIB) with order to dismiss complaint (Judges Sloviter, Fisher, Weis)  12/03/2012 – Mandate Issued 12/04/2012 – Order affirming dismissal of complaint for failure to state a valid claim for relief
<b>District of Arizona</b>  <b>Held: Motion to Dismiss Granted</b>  <b>Appealed to 9th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<i>Coons v. Geithner et al</i> , No. 2:10-cv-01714 – Judge G. Murray Snow  08/12/2010 – <a href="#">Complaint</a> 11/15/2010 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiffs 11/16/2010 – Amended Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Plaintiffs 12/13/2010 – Opposition to Amended Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Defendants 01/11/2011 – Reply to Response to Motion for Prelim. Inj. 01/24/2011 – Defendants’ Notice re: House Vote to Repeal ACA, including Plaintiffs.’ Votes 01/28/2011 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Strike Defendants.’ Notice re: House Vote 02/02/2011 – Defendants’ Response to Motion to Strike 03/08/2011 – Motion to Withdraw Motion for Prelim. Inj. filed by Plaintiffs 03/10/2011 – Order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion to Withdraw Motion for Prelim. Inj. 03/11/2011 – Amended Complaint 05/04/2011 – Motion to Amend/Correct Amended Complaint by Plaintiffs 05/09/2011 – Plaintiffs granted leave to file Amended Complaint

	<p>05/10/2011 – Amended Complaint  05/31/2011 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants  06/20/2011 – <a href="#">Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</a> and to treat Defendants' Motion to Dismiss as a Motion for Summary Judgment  06/23/2011 – Amicus Br. Supporting Plaintiffs from Pacific Legal Found.  06/23/2011 – <a href="#">Defendants' Motion to Stay Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary. J.</a>  07/05/2011 – Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss  07/07/2011 – Plaintiffs' Response to Motion to Stay  07/14/2011 – Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Stay  07/25/2011 – Order denying Defendants' Motion to Stay  08/10/2011 – Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment  08/29/2011 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs' Reply in Supp. of Summary. J.</a> and against Def. Mot. for Summary. J.  09/12/2011 – Defendants' Reply in Support of Summary. J.  09/16/2011 – Plaintiffs' Motion to File Sur-Reply  09/19/2011 – Defendants' Opp. To Plaintiffs' Motion to File Sur-Reply  11/29/2011 – Defendants' Motion to Stay pending SCOTUS review of Florida case  12/13/2011 – Plaintiffs' <a href="#">Response Opposing Stay</a>  12/20/2011 – Defendants' <a href="#">Reply supporting Stay</a>  01/17/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay pending NFIB  07/16/2012 – Status Report from U.S.  08/31/2012 – Order granting in part and denying in part Def. Mot. to Dismiss (NFIB)  09/13/2012 – <a href="#">Coons Supplemental. Br.</a>  09/27/2012 – <a href="#">U.S. Supp. Br.</a>  12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> Granting Def. Mot. to Dismiss remaining claims re: 5<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> Am. re:&amp; medical autonomy; 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> re: privacy; and preempts AZ state legislation  02/19/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>9th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Affirming in Part, Vacating in Part and Remanding with Instructions</b></p> <p><b>Petition for writ of cert. filed with SCOTUS</b></p>	<p><i>Coons v. Lew</i>, No. 13-15324</p> <p>02/20/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 2:10-cv-01714)  05/30/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants Opening Brief</a>  06/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Pacific Legal Found. &amp; Matthew Sissel  07/31/2013 – <a href="#">Appellees Opening Brief</a>  08/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants' Reply Brief</a>  11/12/2013 – Notice of oral argument 1/28/2014  01/13/2014 – Appellee Geithner substituted by Lew  01/22/2014 – case removed from 1/28/2014 Las Vegas calendar</p>

	<p>04/02/2014 – Notice of oral argument 6/10/2014 San Fran, CA  06/10/2014 – Oral argument  08/07/2014 – <a href="#">Opinion affirming in part, vacating in part and remanding with instructions</a>  09/30/2014 – <a href="#">Mandate issued</a>  10/09/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to stay mandate pending filing of petition for a writ of cert., filed by appellants</a>  10/14/2014 – <a href="#">Order denying appellants’ motion to stay mandate</a>  11/12/2014 – <a href="#">Received notice from SCOTUS: petition for cert. filed on 11/05/2014</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Virginia</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 4th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>King v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:13-cv-00630-JRS, Judge James R. Spencer</p> <p>09/16/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  09/19/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a>  09/19/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  10/16/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Memorandum in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/18/2013 – <a href="#">Motion</a> and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendants  11/20/2013 – Order Denying Preliminary Injunction  11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Opposition</a> to Motion to Dismiss and Reply to Response to Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs  12/06/2013 – <a href="#">Reply</a> in Support of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss  02/18/2014 – Order and <a href="#">Opinion</a> Granting Motion to Dismiss, Denying Remaining Motions as Moot  02/19/2014 – Notice of Appeal by Plaintiffs</p>
<p><b>4th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: District Court is Affirmed</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Cert. filed</b></p>	<p><i>King v. Burwell</i>, No. 14-1158</p> <p>02/21/2014 – Appeal docketed (from No. 3:13-cv-00630-JRS)  03/03/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Brief</a>  03/10/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by Senator John Cornyn, et al.  03/10/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by Pacific Research Institute, et al.  03/10/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by Jonathan Adler, et al.  03/10/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by Consumers’ Research  03/10/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by the States of Alabama and Georgia  03/10/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by the States of Kansas and Nebraska</p>

	<p>03/10/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by The Galen Institute</p> <p>03/18/2014 – <a href="#">Appellees’ Brief</a></p> <p>03/20/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by Commonwealth of Virginia</p> <p>03/20/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by America’s Health Insurance Plans</p> <p>03/20/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by American Cancer Society, et al.</p> <p>03/20/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by America’s Health Insurance Plans</p> <p>03/21/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by American Hospital Association</p> <p>03/21/2014 – Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by Economic Scholars</p> <p>03/21/2014 – Amicus Brief by Public Health Deans, Chairs and Faculty</p> <p>03/21/2014 – Amicus Brief by Members of Congress and State Legislatures</p> <p>03/21/2014 – Amicus Brief by Families USA</p> <p>03/25/2014 – <a href="#">Amicus/Intervener Brief Filed by National Health Law Program and AARP</a></p> <p>03/25/2014 – <a href="#">Reply Brief by Appellants</a></p> <p>07/22/2014 – Order and <a href="#">Opinion</a></p>
<b>S. Ct.</b>	<p><i>King v. Burwell</i>, Case No. 14-114</p> <p>08/05/2014 – Petition for a Writ of Cert. filed</p> <p>11/10/2014- Petition for a Writ of Cert. granted</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Case held in abeyance pending SCOTUS ruling in <i>King v. Burwell</i></b></p>	<p><i>Indiana v. IRS</i>, No. 1:13-cv-01612-WTL-TAB, referred to Magistrate Judge Tim A. Baker</p> <p>10/08/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/09/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>01/31/2014 – <a href="#">Motion</a> and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> to Dismiss Filed by Defendants</p> <p>02/12/2014 – <a href="#">Response</a> to Motion to Dismiss Filed by Plaintiff State of Indiana</p> <p>02/12/2014 – <a href="#">Response</a> to Motion to Dismiss Filed by Plaintiff School Corporation</p> <p>03/05/2014 – <a href="#">Motion</a> and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> for Summary Judgment Filed by Plaintiff State of Indiana</p> <p>03/05/2014 – <a href="#">Motion</a> and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> for Summary Judgment Filed by Plaintiff</p>

	<p>School Corporation</p> <p>03/10/2014 – <a href="#">Amended Brief</a> in Support of Summary Judgment Filed by Plaintiff School Corporation</p> <p>04/16/2014 – <a href="#">Cross-Motion</a> for Summary Judgment Filed by Defendants and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> in Support of Cross-Motion</p> <p>04/30/2014 – <a href="#">Reply</a> in Support of Plaintiff School Corporation’s Motion for Summary Judgment and Response to Defendants’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>04/30/2014 – <a href="#">Reply</a> in Support of Plaintiff State of Indiana’s Motion for Summary Judgment and Response to Defendants’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>05/28/2014 – <a href="#">Reply</a> in Support of Defendants’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>08/07/2014 – Brief in support of Motion for Summary judgment, filed by plaintiffs</p> <p>08/12/2014 – Order denying defendants’ motion to dismiss</p> <p>08/22/2014 – <a href="#">Defendants’ supplemental brief in support of their cross motion for summary judgment</a></p> <p>09/19/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of supplemental authority, filed by defendants</a></p> <p>10/02/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Supplemental Authority, filed by plaintiff</a></p> <p>11/17/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by defendants</a></p> <p>11/21/2014 – <a href="#">Entry regarding pending motions: Court is inclined to hold motions in abeyance pending SCOTUS ruling in <i>King v. Burwell</i></a></p> <p>11/25/2014 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Notice in Response to this Court’s Order of Nov. 21, 2014</a></p> <p>01/26/2015 – <a href="#">Entry regarding pending motions: As contemplated by Court’s entry of Nov. 21, 2014, the Court will wait to address issues raised in pending motions for SJ pending SCOTUS ruling in <i>King v. Burwell</i></a></p>
	<p><b>Challenges to Contraceptive Coverage Requirement</b></p>

<p><b>Northern District of Alabama</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice</b></p>	<p><i>Eternal World Television Network Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-00501, Judge Sharon Lovelace Blackburn</p> <p>02/09/2012 – Complaint  03/21/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  03/22/2012 – Motion to Intervene by State of Alabama  03/22/2012 – Order re: Motion to Intervene filed by State of Alabama  04/23/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Intervene filed by Defendants  05/04/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</a>  05/09/2012 – Order re: Motion to Dismiss by Defendants  05/23/2012 – State of Alabama Reply in Support of Motion to Intervene  05/23/2012 – Reply to Motion to Intervene filed by Plaintiff  06/01/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. re: Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff</a>  06/25/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants  08/07/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Discovery  08/15/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Discovery  02/05/2013 – <a href="#">Intervener State of Alabama Notice of Recent Factual and Legal Developments in Alabama</a>  03/01/2013 – <a href="#">Defendant Response to Interveners’ Notice of Recent Factual and Legal Developments in Alabama</a>  03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion</a> and <a href="#">Order</a> granting Motion to Dismiss</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Alabama</b></p> <p><b>Held: Judgment Entered for Defendants; Case Stayed</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 11th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Eternal World Television Network Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Svcs.</i>, No. 1:13-cv-00521-CG-C, Judge Callie V.S. Grande</p> <p>10/28/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  12/31/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Summary Judgment by State of Alabama</a>  12/31/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Partial Summary Judgment and Either Mot. to Expedite Case or for Preliminary Injunction by Eternal World Television Network, Inc.</a>  02/10/2014 – <a href="#">Mot. to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a>  02/10/2014 – <a href="#">Opp’n to Mot. for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a>  02/11/2014 – Amicus brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union  02/28/2014 – <a href="#">Opp’n to Motion Mot. to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment by State of Alabama</a>  02/28/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Mot. for Partial Summary Judgment by Eternal World Television Network, Inc.</a>  02/28/2014 – <a href="#">Opp’n to Motion Mot. to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment by Eternal World</a></p>

	<p><a href="#">Television Network, Inc.</a></p> <p>02/28/2014 – Mot. for Discovery</p> <p>03/11/2014 – <a href="#">Reply Brief in Supp. of Mot. to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>03/20/2014 – Unopposed Mot. to Expedite Consideration of Case</p> <p>06/17/2014 – <a href="#">Order Granting Eternal World Television Network Inc.’s Mot. to Expedite Summary Judgment Proceedings; Denying Eternal World Television Network Inc.’s Mot. for Summary Judgment; and Granting Defendants’ Mot. for Summary Judgment in Part</a></p> <p>06/17/2014 – <a href="#">Order Denying Mot. to Dismiss in Part and Granting in Part</a></p> <p>06/17/2014 – Joint Mot. for Entry of Judgment and Stay of Litigation by Eternal World Television Network, Inc. and State of Alabama</p> <p>06/18/2014 – <a href="#">Mot. for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal by Eternal World Television Network, Inc.</a></p> <p>06/18/2014 – Case Stayed</p> <p>06/18/2014 – Final Judgment Entered in Favor of Defendants</p> <p>06/18/2014 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>06/18/2014 – <a href="#">Opp’n to Mot. for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>06/19/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Mot. for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal by Eternal World Television Network, Inc.</a></p> <p>06/19/2014 – <a href="#">Order Denying Mot. for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p>
<p><b>11<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal Granted</b></p>	<p><i>Eternal World Television Network Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Svcs.</i>, No. 14-12696</p> <p>06/18/2014 – Appeal Docketed (appeal from no. 1:13-cv-00521-CG-C)</p> <p>06/18/2014 – <a href="#">Time Sensitive Mot. to Expedite and Mot. for Injunction Pending Appeal by Eternal World Television Network, Inc.</a></p> <p>06/20/2014 – <a href="#">Opposition to Mot. to Expedite and Mot. for Injunction Pending Appeal by Defendants</a></p> <p>06/23/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Mot. to Expedite and Mot. for Injunction Pending Appeal by Eternal World Television Network, Inc.</a></p> <p>06/30/2014 – <a href="#">Order Granting Mot. for Injunction Pending Appeal in light of Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</a></p> <p>07/28/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants Brief</a></p>



	<p>07/30/2014 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation  08/01/2014 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue Missions, et al.  08/04/2014 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, et al.  10/20/2014- <a href="#">Reply brief filed by Appellant Eternal Word Television Network, Inc.</a>  11/18/2014- Supplemental Authority filed by Appellees  11/26/2014- Response to Supplemental Authority filed by Appellant  12/05/2014- Oral Argument scheduled for Wednesday, 2/4/15</p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00815, Judge Amy B. Jackson</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss and Mem. in Support by Defendants</a>  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  08/28/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Policy and 79 Members of Congress  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  11/02/2012 – Minute Entry Granting Defendant’s Motion to Stay case pending decision in consolidated appeals of <i>Wheaton College v. Sebelius</i> (No. 12-5273) and <i>Belmont Abbey College v. Sebelius</i> (No. 12-5291).  01/02/2013 – Minute Order requesting one pleading per party addressing whether court should dismiss in light of order issued by D.C. District Court, due on 01/16/2013  01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of Supplemental Authority by Plaintiff</a>  01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief re: Supplemental Authority by Defendants</a>  01/25/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss  01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion</a>  03/25/2013 – Notice of Appeal  10/31/2013 – D.C. Circuit Court Mandate issued</p>
<p><b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5091</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00815)  04/04/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for summary reversal of District Court decision by Appellant</a>  04/17/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for summary reversal by Appellee</a></p>

	<p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Motion for summary reversal</a>  06/21/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion for summary reversal</a>  08/12/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for a preliminary injunction by Appellants</a>  08/22/2013 – <a href="#">Opp. To Motion for injunction by Appellees</a>  08/22/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for injunction by Appellants</a>  09/06/2013 – <a href="#">Order dismissing as moot with respect to challenge to old regulations and denying motion for preliminary injunction challenging new regulations</a>  10/30/2013 – Mandate issued</p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: On remand, in light of <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i>, RFRA claim entered in favor of Plaintiffs, and permanent injunction entered enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</b></p>	<p><i>Gilardi v. U.S. Dep't of Health &amp; Human Services</i>. (“Freshway Foods”), No. 13-cv-00104, Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>01/24/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary injunction</a>  02/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by State of Ohio  02/25/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  03/03/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  03/04/2013 – Notice of Appeal  03/07/2013 – Joint Motion to stay proceedings pending appeal  03/11/2013 – Minute Order granting consent motion to stay pending appeal  08/11/2014 – Minute order directing parties to file a joint status report including proposed language for the preliminary injunction, in light of D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.’s mandate.  08/18/2014 – Status report jointly filed by Freshway Foods and Gilardi  08/18/2014 – <a href="#">Order enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</a>  09/15/2014 – Status report on behalf of all parties requesting stay of proceedings till September 26, 2014  09/16/2014 – Minute order staying proceedings until September 26, 2014  10/03/2014 – Order requiring plaintiffs and defendants to file supplemental memorandums regarding a permanent injunction and final judgment  10/17/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion for entry of permanent injunction</a></p>

	<p style="text-align: center;"><a href="#">and judgment</a></p> <p>10/20/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting joint motion for permanent injunction and judgment</a></p> <p>01/13/2015 – Joint Status Report stating parties reached an agreement on issue of attorneys’ fees and costs</p>
<p><b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Remanding to Grant Freshway Foods’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction and to Reconsider Denial of Individual Owners’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Writ of Cert. Filed</b></p>	<p><i>Gilardi v. HHS</i> (“Freshway Foods”), No. 13-5069</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 13-cv-00104)</p> <p>03/06/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>03/11/2013 – <a href="#">Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>03/12/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Brief</a> in Support of Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal before April 1, 2013 and to Expedite Appeal</p> <p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for Injunction</a> and setting briefing schedule</p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Petition by Appellant for rehearing en banc of denial of Emergency Motion for Injunction</a></p> <p>03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Emergency Motion for Injunction pending Appeal on rehearing</a>; briefing schedule remains in effect</p> <p>04/30/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>05/06/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life, &amp; Law Found.</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Amicus for Appellant Brief filed by State of Ohio</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Consent Amicus for Appellant Brief filed by Life Legal Defense Found.</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Consent Amicus for Appellant Brief filed by Archdiocese of Cincinnati</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Rev. Nicanor Pier Austriaco, et al.</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Amicus for Appellant Brief filed by Abortion Breast Cancer Coalition, et al.</p> <p>05/07/2013 – Amicus for Appellant Brief filed by Eagle Forum Education &amp; Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>06/07/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>06/13/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Coll. of Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>06/14/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Univ. Women, et al.</p>

	<p>06/14/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Public Health Ass’n, et al.  06/14/2013 – Amicus for Appellee Brief filed by Lambda Legal Defense &amp; Educ. Fund  06/14/2013 – Amicus for Appellee Brief filed by Bright Pink, et al.  06/14/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.  06/17/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</a>  06/21/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a>  11/01/2013 – <a href="#">Opinion reversing denial of a Preliminary Injunction for the individual owners and remanding for consideration of the other Preliminary-Injunction factors; affirming the denial of a Preliminary Injunction with respect to the Freshway Companies</a>  11/05/2013 – Petition for Wrt of Cert. filed  11/11/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Motion for Stay</a>  12/11/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Appellant Motion for Stay</a>  01/30/2014 – Petition for Writ of Cert. filed  07/01/2014 – Case no. 13-915 Petition for Writ of Cert. denied  07/01/2014 – Case no. 13-567 Petition for Writ of Cert. granted.  08/04/2014 – Judgment filed by Clerk of the S. Ct. notifying court of disposition of case no. 13-567  08/08/2014 – Order remanding to district court to enter a preliminary injunction for the Freshway companies and to reconsider the denial preliminary injunction as to the individual owners in light of <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i></p>
<p><b>S. Ct.</b>   <b>Held: Petition for Writ of Cert. Denied</b></p>	<p><i>Gilardi v. HHS</i> (“Freshway Foods”), Nos. 13-915  01/30/2014 – Petitioner for Writ of Cert. filed by Petitioners Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Svcs.  02/19/2014 – Brief of Respondents Gilardi filed  11/05/2014 – Petition denied</p>
<p><b>S. Ct</b>   <b>Held: Petition for Writ of Cert. Granted; Vacating and Remanding</b></p>	<p><i>Gilardi v. HHS</i> (“Freshway Foods”), Nos. 13-567  11/05/2013 – Petition for Writ of Cert. filed by Petitioners Gilardi  12/06/2013 – Brief by Respondents Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Svcs.  07/01/2014 – Petition Granted. Vacating and Remanding to D.C. Circuit for Consideration in Light of <i>Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i>  08/04/2014 – Judgment Issued  08/08/2014 – Mandate Issued</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:11-cv-01989 – Judge James E. Boasberg</p> <p>11/10/2011 – Complaint  03/20/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  04/05/2012 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</a>  04/23/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  05/03/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  06/22/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority by Defendants  06/26/2012 – Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff  07/18/2012 – Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss and Dismissing Case without Prejudice  07/18/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</a>  07/23/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Reconsideration filed by Plaintiff</a>  08/07/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff  08/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</a>  08/16/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</a>  08/27/2012 – Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Defendant  08/31/2012 – Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by Plaintiff  09/05/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order denying Motion for Reconsideration</a>  09/14/2012 – Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff  08/13/2013 – D.C. Circuit Opinion  08/19/2013 – Order vacating judgment and dismissing Complaint as moot</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Held: Remanding to District Court to Vacate and Dismiss</b></p>	<p><i>Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12–5291 (<i>Wheaton College</i>, No. 12-5273)</p> <p>09/14/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:11-cv-01989 above)  10/05/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief filed by Wheaton College and Belmont Abbey College</a>  10/10/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law and Justice and Regent Univ.  10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Women Speak for Themselves  10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Civil Rights Union, Cato Institute and Ctr. for Constitutional Jurisprudence  10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, Ass’n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, Inc., Catholic Medical Ass’n, Nat’l Ass’n of Pro Life Nurses, Nat’l Catholic Bioethics Ctr. and Physicians for Life</p>

	<p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by Christian Legal Society</p> <p>10/12/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief for Appellant Brief by State of Texas</a></p> <p>10/12/2012 – Amicus Brief by Wyoming Catholic Coll., et al.</p> <p>11/05/2012 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>12/14/2012 – Oral Argument (Judges Garland, Griffith, and Randolph)</p> <p>12/18/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> holding cases in abeyance and directing Appellee to file status reports every 60 days from date of Order.</p> <p>02/11/2013 – Status Report by Appellees notifying Court of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published in Federal Register. <i>See</i> 78 Fed. Reg. 8456 (Feb. 6, 2013)</p> <p>04/16/2013 – Status Report by Appellees notifying Court that comment period on Proposed Rule has closed and comments are currently being reviewed. New rules to be issued by August 2013.</p> <p>06/17/2013 – Status Report by Appellees reiterating that new rules are to be issued by August 2013.</p> <p>07/29/2013 – <a href="#">Joint unopposed Motion to issue Mandate</a></p> <p>08/13/2013 – <a href="#">Order remanding to District Court with instructions to vacate their judgments and to dismiss the complaints as moot.</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Tyndale House Publishers, Inc., v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01635-RBW, Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>10/02/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/08/2012 – <a href="#">Mot. and Mem. for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/22/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/25/2012 – <a href="#">Supplemental Mem. in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/07/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU of the National Capital Area</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – Minute Entry and Motion Hearing</p> <p>11/12/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Amicus Brief filed by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion</a></p> <p>01/15/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Motion to Stay by Plaintiffs</p> <p>01/30/2013 – Minute Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>05/08/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>06/17/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross Motion filed by Defendants</a></p>

	<p>07/17/2013 – <a href="#">Memorandum in Opposition to Cross Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>08/17/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/03/2013 – <a href="#">First Amended Complaint by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>07/21/2014 – Notice of Supplemental Authority</p> <p>07/23/2014 – Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority</p>
<p><b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed Voluntarily</b></p>	<p><i>Tyndale House Publishers v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-5018</p> <p>01/18/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-01635)</p> <p>04/25/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss filed by Appellant</a></p> <p>04/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss</a></p> <p>04/26/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>05/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Voluntary Dismissal</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i>, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs; Also, RFRA claim entered in favor of plaintiffs</b></p>	<p><i>Johnson Welded Products, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00609, Judge Ellen S. Huvelle</p> <p>04/30/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>05/24/2013 – <a href="#">Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>05/24/2013 – Minute Order Granting Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>07/09/2013 – Order administratively closing the case pending further order of the court</p> <p>10/08/2014 – Joint Status report filed</p> <p>10/17/2014 – Notice of Objection to Court’s proposed order, filed by defendants</p> <p>10/20/2014 – Plaintiffs response to defendants’ notice of objection filed</p> <p>10/24/2014 – <a href="#">Order enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage mandate against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on plaintiffs’ claim under RFRA</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Colorado Christian Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:11-cv-03350, Judge Christine M. Arguello</p> <p>12/22/2011 – Complaint</p> <p>12/27/2011 – Order referring case to Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland</p> <p>01/06/2012 – Scheduling for Order</p> <p>02/06/2012 – Motion to Postpone scheduling conference filed by Defendants</p>



	<p>02/07/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Postpone  02/07/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion to Postpone  02/09/2012 – Order denying Motion to Postpone conference  03/13/2012 – Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge on Decision postponement to District Court  03/22/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  03/26/2012 – Response to Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision filed by Plaintiff  04/09/2012 – Reply to Response to Objection/Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision filed by Defendants  04/09/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Mem. of Support</a>  04/30/2012 – Order denying Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decision  05/03/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a>  05/18/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</a>  08/02/2012 – Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants  08/03/2012 – Mem. re: Motion to Stay Discovery  08/06/2012 – Order denying Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery  08/06/2012 – Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants  08/07/2012 – Mem. re: Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery  08/27/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Renewed Motion to Stay Discovery  08/31/2012 – Order denying Motion to Stay Discovery  11/09/2012 – Motion to Compel and Mem. in Support by Plaintiff  11/28/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Compel  01/07/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a>  01/08/2013 – <a href="#">Final Judgment Order</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b>   <b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Stay of Proceedings Pending Appeal</b></p>	<p><i>Newland v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-01123, Judge John L. Kane</p> <p>04/30/2012 – Complaint  04/30/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</a>  06/08/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants  06/26/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  07/13/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a>  07/13/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  07/17/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  07/27/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  08/30/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a></p>

<p><b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p>09/25/2012 – Notice of Appeal as to Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>10/03/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion to Dismiss Complaint</a></p> <p>10/11/2012 – Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/18/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/26/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion to Stay Proceedings</a></p> <p>10/02/2013 – <a href="#">10th Cir. Order</a> – affirm and remand to District Ct. Stay until Hobby Lobby is decided</p> <p>06/30/2014 – Matter no longer stayed</p> <p>07/01/2014 – Petition for Writ of Cert is denied</p> <p>07/21/2014 – Minute Order granting Defendants’ request for thirty days to decide how to proceed. Status report due 8/22/2014.</p> <p>08/22/2014 – Status report filed by defendants</p> <p>08/22/2014 – Response to status report filed by plaintiffs</p> <p>09/22/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status report filed by parties jointly agreeing that judgment should be entered in favor of plaintiffs on RFRA claim and a permanent injunction of some kind should be entered</a></p>
<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Affirming Preliminary Injunction</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Writ of Cert Denied</b></p>	<p><i>Newland v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12–1380</p> <p>09/26/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:12-cv-001123)</p> <p>10/18/2012 – Motion filed by Appellants to Extend Time to file Appellant/Petitioner’s Brief</p> <p>10/29/2012 – Order granting Appellant’s Motion for Extension of Time.</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Motion by Appellants to consolidate appeals (<i>Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6294)</p> <p>01/07/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate appeals</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to consolidate appeals</p> <p>01/18/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>01/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, American Civil Liberties Union of Colorado, et al.</p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>02/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Center for Law and Justice</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Assoc. of American Physicians and Surgeons, et al.</p>

	<p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Archdiocese of Colorado Springs, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education and Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by AAPLOG, et al.</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Democrats for Life of America and Bart Stupak</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Assoc. of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>03/07/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion to consolidate appeals</a></p> <p>09/26/2013 – Oral Argument Scheduled</p> <p>10/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order affirming Preliminary Injunction and remanding</a></p> <p>12/10/2013 – Supreme Court Order extending time to file Petition for Writ of Cert.</p> <p>02/03/2014 – Petition for Writ of Cert. filed by Sebelius</p> <p>07/01/2014 – Petition for Writ of Cert. denied</p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10 Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Briscoe v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00285, Judge Boyd N. Boland</p> <p>02/04/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/15/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Brief in Support</a></p> <p>02/19/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>02/21/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for TRO by Defendants</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for TRO</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and TRO by Amicus American Civil Liberties Union</a></p> <p>02/27/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Plaintiff’s Motion for TRO</a></p> <p>07/02/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Mot. For TRO</a></p> <p>07/03/2013 – Order deferring ruling on any motions until Judge in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> issues ruling on motion for preliminary injunction</p> <p>07/22/2013 – <a href="#">Section Motion for a Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>08/01/2013 – <a href="#">Opp. to Second Motion for a TRO by Defendants</a></p> <p>09/06/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/17/2013 – <a href="#">Unopposed Motion to Stay Proceedings by Defendants</a></p>

	<p>10/21/2013 – Order granting Motion to Stay Proceedings by Defendants</p> <p>11/05/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of Appeal by Defendants</a></p>
<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Appeal dismissed pursuant to FRAP 42b (motion for voluntary dismissal of appeal)</b></p>	<p><i>Briscoe v. Sebelius</i>, 13-1461</p> <p>11/05/2013 – Appeal docketed from 13-cv-00285</p> <p>11/07/2013 – Motion to Abate</p> <p>11/07/2013 – Order granting Motion to Abate</p> <p>11/26/2013 – Hobby Lobby granted cert; Abatement continues</p> <p>05/15/2014 – Appeal remains abated</p> <p>07/02/2014 – Abatement lifted</p> <p>07/14/2014 – Motion filed by Defendants to abate case</p> <p>07/14/2014 – Order granting Appellants’ abatement until August 13, 2014</p> <p>09/04/2014 – <a href="#">Order dismissing appeal</a></p> <p>01/02/2015 – <a href="#">Order denying plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment as moot</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied; Stayed Pending Appeal</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Armstrong v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00563, Judge R. Brooke Jackson</p> <p>03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/18/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Brief in Support</a></p> <p>04/10/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Amicus American Civil Liberties Union</a></p> <p>05/01/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.</p> <p>05/02/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Attorney General, State of Colorado</p> <p>05/10/2013 – Minute Order Denying Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>05/16/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>05/31/2013 – Unopposed Motion to Stay District Court proceedings</p> <p>06/03/2013 – Order granting Motion to Stay</p> <p>07/01/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. For Prelim. Injunction pending appeal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(c)</a></p> <p>07/18/2013 – <a href="#">Opp. to Mot. for Prelim. Injunction pending appeal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(c) by Defendants</a></p> <p>09/05/2013 – 9th Cir. Order remanding</p> <p>09/17/2013 – Order finding moot Mot. for Preliminary</p>

	<p>Injunction pending appeal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(c) by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/17/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Mot. for a Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>09/27/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/01/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. to Stay Case by Defendants</a></p> <p>10/02/2013 – Order granting Mot. to Stay Case</p> <p>10/17/2013 – <a href="#">Unopposed Mot. to Stay by Defendants</a></p> <p>10/18/2013 – Order granting Unopposed Mot. to Stay</p> <p>10/28/2013 – Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/15/2013 – Notice of Appeal of Order granting Preliminary Injunction filed by Defendants</p>
<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of the Supreme Court’s decision in <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing the contraceptive requirement against plaintiffs</b></p>	<p><i>Armstrong v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1218</p> <p>05/17/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 13-cv-00563)</p> <p>06/13/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance pending decision in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> by Appellees</a></p> <p>06/19/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Hold case in Abeyance</a></p> <p>06/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion to hold Case in Abeyance</a></p> <p>07/31/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Brief</a></p> <p>08/07/2013 – Amicus Curiae brief submitted by Assn of American Physicians &amp; Surgeons</p> <p>08/07/2013 – Amicus Curiae brief filed by AAPLOG</p> <p>08/22/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Motion for a Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/23/2013 – <a href="#">Appellees’ Opposition to Appellants’ Motion for a Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/28/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Reply in Support of Motion for a Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/05/2013 – Order denying as moot Appellants’ Motion for a Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>09/05/2013 – <a href="#">Order vacating and remanding</a></p> <p>11/26/2013 – Abatement continued</p> <p>05/12/2014 – Abatement continued</p> <p>07/02/2014 – Abatement lifted</p> <p>07/14/2014 – Order: Proceedings in appeal are abated until August 13, 2014</p> <p>08/12/2014 – Order: Proceedings in appeal are abated until September 5, 2014</p> <p>09/04/2014 – Order: Appellants’ motion to dismiss is granted</p> <p>09/08/2014 – Motion for summary judgment filed by plaintiffs</p> <p>09/22/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Consent to injunction and judgment filed by defendants</a></p> <p>09/23/2014 – Order finding as moot plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment</p>

	<p>09/24/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ response to defendants’ Notice of Consent to Injunction and Judgment</a></p> <p>09/29/2014 – <a href="#">Order stating that, in light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing the contraceptive mandate against plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Held: Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</b></p>	<p>Colorado Christian University v. Sebelius, No. 13-cv-02105, assigned to Judge Roberts E. Blackburn</p> <p>08/07/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>09/30/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Summary Judgment by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>10/01/2013 – Unopposed Mot. to Stay Case in Light of Lapse of Restorations</p> <p>10/01/2013 – Order Granting Unopposed Mot.to Stay Case</p> <p>11/04/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, Mot. for Summary Judgment, and Opposition to Mot. for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Mot. for Summary Judgment by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Discovery and to Deny Mot. for Summary Judgment by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>12/18/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Mot. to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, Mot. for Summary Judgment, and Opposition to Mot. for Partial Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>12/19/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Mot. to Discovery and to Deny Mot. for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>01/02/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Mot. for Discovery and to Deny Mot. for Summary Judgment by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>03/31/2014 – <a href="#">Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>04/21/2014 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>05/05/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>06/20/2014 – <a href="#">Order Granting and Denying Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/18/2014 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>10<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Abatement Pending Resolution of Other Appeals</b></p>	<p><i>Colorado Christian Univ. v. Burwell</i>, No. 14-1329</p> <p>09/23/2014 – Motion filed by Appellants to abate case</p> <p>09/24/2014 – Order granting appellants’ motion to abate case pending resolution Appeal Nos. 13-1540, 14-6026, 14-6028</p>

<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Dobson v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-03326, Magistrate Judge Craig B. Shaffer</p> <p>12/10/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>01/21/2014 – Plaintiffs’ <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/14/2014 – Defendants’ <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/18/2014 – Motion to File Amicus Brief by ACLU and Brief in Opposition to such Motion by Plaintiffs</p> <p>02/28/2014 – <a href="#">Reply</a> to Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>04/17/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/11/2014 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Abatement Pending Resolution of Other Appeals</b></p>	<p><i>Dobson v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-1233</p> <p>06/11/2014 – Appeal docketed (from No. 13-cv-03326, see above)</p> <p>07/03/2014 – Appellants’ Motion to Abate Case</p> <p>07/07/2014 – Order Granting Abatement Pending Resolution of Appeal Nos. 13-1540 and 14-6036</p>
<p><b>Middle District of Florida</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Ave Maria Univ. v. Sebelius</i> No. 2:12-cv-00088, referred to Magistrate Judge Sheri Polster Chappell</p> <p>02/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/22/2012 – Order of Recusal by Judge John E. Steele.</p> <p>02/23/2012 – Case reassigned</p> <p>05/04/2012 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction and Mem. of Support</a></p> <p>05/16/2012 – Notice of related cases by Defendants</p> <p>05/18/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>06/08/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>07/24/2012 – Response in Opposition to Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>07/31/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Defendants’ Motion to Stay Discovery</a></p> <p>08/03/2012 – Motion to review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>08/16/2012 – Response to Motion to Review Magistrate Judge Order</p> <p>09/04/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Review Magistrate Judge Order</p>



	<p>11/28/2012 – Order overturning Magistrate Judge Order, Granting Defendant’s Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Middle District of Florida</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Stayed Pending Appeal</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 11th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Beckwith Electric Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00648, Judge Mark A. Pizzo</p> <p>03/12/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>05/13/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>05/28/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Am. Civil Liberties Union of Fl.</a></p> <p>05/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>06/06/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General</a></p> <p>06/25/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/22 /2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>08/23/2013 – Unopposed Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>08/26/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal</p>
<p><b>11th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Motion to dismiss appeal granted</b></p>	<p><i>Beckwith Electric Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-13879</p> <p>08/28/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from Case No. 8:13cv-00648)</p> <p>10/02/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Hold Appeal in Abeyance by Appellants</a></p> <p>10/04/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Hold Appeal in Abeyance by Appellants</a></p> <p>10/21/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Brief</a></p> <p>10/28/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians For Reproductive Health, et al.</p> <p>10/28/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>10/28/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Women’s Law Center, et al.</p> <p>10/28/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ovarian Cancer National Alliance, et al.</p> <p>10/28/2013 – Amicus Brief by Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc.</p> <p>11/20/2013 – <a href="#">Appellees’ Brief</a></p> <p>11/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Association of American Physicians &amp; Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>11/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Association of Gospel Rescue Mission, et al.</p> <p>11/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by State of Florida, et al.</p> <p>12/19/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Reply Brief</a></p> <p>01/15/2014 – Notice that Supreme Court will hear oral</p>

	<p>argument on the same issue presented in case</p> <p>01/31/2014 – Court stays this motion to appeal pending Hobby Lobby</p> <p>07/08/2014 – Status report</p> <p>09/03/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for voluntary dismissal of appeal filed by Appellants</a></p> <p>09/04/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting appellant’s motion to dismiss appeal</a></p>
<p><b>Southern District of Florida</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>The Most Reverend Thomas G. Wenski v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-23820-DLG, Judge Donald L. Graham</p> <p>10/19/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Motion and Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/23/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Georgia</b></p> <p><b>Held: Permanent Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 11th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Atlanta v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-03489-WSD, Judge William S. Duffey, Jr.</p> <p>10/05/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>12/10/2012 – Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support by Defendants</p> <p>12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>01/14/2013 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>01/31/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>07/22/2013 – Withdrawal of Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>08/19/2013 – <a href="#">Second Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/19/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for a Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>09/23/2013 – <a href="#">Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>09/23/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss, or in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>10/21/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/21/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>11/18/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/04/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>03/26/2014 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order Granting Permanent Injunction</a></p>

	<p>07/18/2014 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>11<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Atlanta v. Sebelius</i>, 14-13239-CC</p> <p>08/14/2014 – Clerk certifies record is complete for purposes of appeal</p> <p>10/29/2014 – Entry of dismissal of USCA dismissing Notice of Appeal</p> <p>10/29/2014 – Appeal notice from USCA reinstating Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/13/2015 – Notice for Leave of Absence by Appellees for the following dates: March 7-15, 2015</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Conlon v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-03932, Judge John W. Darrah</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/08/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/21/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss and Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>09/11/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p>

	<p>10/02/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs, and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on plaintiffs' RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Lindsay v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-01210, Judge Ronald A. Guzman</p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  02/28/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a>  03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a> (notice of non-opposition)  03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay proceedings by Defendants</a>  03/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Agreed Preliminary Injunction</a>; staying proceedings until thirty days after mandate issued from consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i>.  10/07/2013 – Order Holding in Abeyance for 14 days all civil litigation involving U.S.  10/17/2013 – Order Lifting Stay of all civil litigation involving U.S.  01/10/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings and Extend Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  01/10/2014 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Proceedings and Extend Preliminary Injunction  07/28/2014 – Preliminary injunction extended to 9/13/2014  09/08/2014 – Ordered that the parties, by October 20, 2014, submit either a joint proposed injunction and judgment or a joint proposal for resolving any remaining dispute about the scope of injunction and judgment to be entered.  10/15/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion for Entry of Injunction and Judgment</a>  12/03/2014 – <a href="#">Order entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on RFRA claim and permanently enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive mandate against plaintiffs in light of Hobby Lobby</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are permanently enjoined from</b></p>	<p><i>Hartenbower v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-02253, Judge Ruben Castillo</p> <p>03/26/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  04/16/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  04/18/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Granting Preliminary Injunction and Staying proceedings until thirty days after mandate issued from consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i>.</p>

<p><b>enforcing contraceptive requirement against plaintiffs, and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on plaintiffs' RFRA claim</b></p>	<p>01/10/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings and Extend Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  01/21/2014 – Order Granting Extension of Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Proceedings  08/08/2014 – Motion by Plaintiffs for Preliminary Injunction  08/08/2014 – Order granting Motion to Extend Preliminary Injunction  10/24/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion for Entry of Injunction and Judgment in light of Hobby Lobby</a>  11/03/2014 – <a href="#">Order entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on RFRA claim and permanently enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive mandate against plaintiffs in light of Hobby Lobby</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Proceedings Stayed</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Triune Health Group, Inc. v. Dep't of HHS</i>, , No. 1:12-cv-06756, Judge Amy J. St. Eve</p> <p>08/22/2012 – Complaint  10/15/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a>  11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim and Mem. of Law in Support</a>  11/15/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU Illinois in Support of Motion to Dismiss  11/28/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Mem. of Law in Support by Plaintiff</a>  11/29/2012 – Amended Motion for Preliminary Injunction (technical amendments)  12/12/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a>  12/19/2012 – <a href="#">Reply by Plaintiff in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a>  01/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  03/04/2013 – Notice of Appeal  03/11/2013 – Motion to Stay Appeal pending Court's decision in related Appeal (<i>Korte v. Sebelius</i>)  03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Appeal</a>  04/02/2013 – Minute Order Granting Motion to Stay pending decision in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i>  04/02/2013 – Minute Order Denying Motion to Dismiss  10/07/2013 – Order Holding in Abeyance all civil litigation against U.S.  10/17/2013 – Order Lifting Stay of all civil litigation against U.S.  12/11/2013 – Order Staying case for reasons stated in record</p>

<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Appeal dismissed pursuant to FRAP 42(b) (voluntary dismissal)</b></p>	<p><i>Triune Health Group, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1478</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-06756)</p> <p>03/11/2013 – Motion by Appellant to stay case</p> <p>Order Granting Motion to Stay Appeal Pending resolution in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>03/14/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Hold Appeal Pending resolution in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>07/18/2014 – <a href="#">Response to Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Adjudication of Appeal</a></p> <p>08/11/2014 – Motion filed by Appellants for Voluntary Dismissal of Appeal</p> <p>09/03/2014 – Appellant Sebelius has been substituted with Appellant Sylvia Burwell, pursuant to FRAP 43(c)</p> <p>09/04/2014 – <a href="#">Order dismissing appeal pursuant to FRAP 42(b) (Voluntary Dismissal)</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p>	<p><i>Ozinga v. HHS</i>, No. 1:13-cv-03292 – Judge Thomas M. Durkin</p> <p>05/01/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>07/12/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>07/13/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings, and notice of non-opposition to Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>07/15/2013 – <a href="#">Memorandum in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>07/15/2013 – <a href="#">Memorandum in Opposition of Motion to Stay</a></p> <p>07/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>07/17/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Stay</a></p> <p>08/14/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Appeal Pending resolution in <i>Korte v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>10/07/2013 – Order Holding in Abeyance all civil litigation against U.S.</p> <p>10/17/2013 – Order Lifting Stay of all civil litigation against U.S.</p> <p>05/09/2014 – Motion by Plaintiffs attorney to withdraw as attorney</p> <p>05/12/2014 – Minute entry granting motion to withdraw as attorney</p>

<p><b>Central District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Catholic Diocese of Peoria v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01276-JES-BGC, assigned to Chief Judge James E. Shadid, referred to Magistrate Judge Byron G. Cudmore</p> <p>08/09/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/19/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>10/19/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – Judgment Entered</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Illinois</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive requirement against plaintiffs, and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on plaintiffs’ RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Korte v. Dep’t of HHS</i>, No. 3:12-cv-01072-MJR-PMF, assigned to Judge Michael J. Reagan, referred to Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier</p> <p>10/09/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/10/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction <i>on Count I (RFRA) and Count II (Free Exercise Clause)</i> filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/10/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion</a></p> <p>11/06/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by ACLU</p> <p>11/19/2012 – Amicus Brief in in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Reply to Amicus Brief of ACLU</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>12/14/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/17/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>12/17/2012 – Joint Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/28/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal (text entry only)</p> <p>01/13/2014 – <a href="#">Order, pursuant to 7th Court of Appeal, Enjoining and Restraining Defendants’ effort to apply or enforce, as to Plaintiffs, requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 300gg-13(a)(4) and its implement regulations, or the penalties thereto, until further order of the Court.</a></p> <p>01/14/2014 – Order denying Plaintiffs’ Motion for Partial Summary Judgment without prejudice and with leave to re-file once U.S. Supreme Court decides</p>



	<p><i>Hobby Lobby.</i></p> <p>07/28/2014 – Order lifting stay</p> <p>07/28/2014 – Order granting Motion to Substitute Party, substituting Sylvia Burwell in her official capacity for Kathleen Sebelius</p> <p>09/03/2014 – Order continuing Preliminary Injunction until further order from the court, in light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and setting deadline for plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment no earlier than October 3, 2014</p> <p>10/03/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Summary Judgment on Count I (RFRA), filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/03/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Court Proceedings other than with respect to plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment, filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/08/2014 – Order denying as Moot Motion to Stay</p> <p>11/04/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion for Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment submitted by the parties</a></p> <p>11/07/2014 – <a href="#">Order entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on RFRA claim and permanent injunction entered enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive mandate against plaintiffs</a></p> <p>12/15/2014 – Joint Motion for Extension of Time to file Plaintiffs’ Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs</p> <p>12/16/2014 – Order granting Joint Motion for Extension of Time</p>
<p><b>7th Circuit Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: District Court Judgment Reversed; Case Remanded with Instructions to Grant Preliminary Injunction</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Writ of Cert. Denied</b></p>	<p><i>Korte v. HHS</i>, No. 12-3841</p> <p>12/18/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 3:12-cv-01072)</p> <p>12/18/2012 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Reply by Appellants in Support of Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/28/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Injunction Pending Appeal</a> and consolidating <i>William Grote, III v. Kathleen Sebelius</i> (No. 13-1077) with this case. All subsequent docket entries represent consolidated case activity.</p> <p>02/01/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life, &amp; Law Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Drury Development Corp., et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Online, et al.</p>

	<p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue missions, et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Vote</p> <p>02/19/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief by Appellant Grote</a></p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Indianapolis, Inc., et al.</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Indiana Catholic Conference, et al.</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Wisconsin Family Action</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue Missions</p> <p>03/01/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>03/07/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by Center for Reproductive Rights, et al.</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Women’s Law Center</p> <p>03/08/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a> by Appellant Korte</p> <p>03/18/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health, et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief by Appellant Grote</a></p> <p>05/22/2013 – Oral Argument held</p> <p>11/08/2013 – <a href="#">Order Reversing and Remanding with instructions to enter Preliminary Injunction barring enforcement of the contraceptive mandate against Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>11/27/2013 – Order staying proceedings on cost pending Supreme Court’s resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga Wood</i></p> <p>02/21/2014 – Filed Notice from the Supreme Court of the filing of a Petition for Writ of Certiorari</p> <p>07/01/2014 – Filed Order from the Supreme Court Denying the Petition for Writ of Certiorari</p> <p>07/29/2014 – Order Requiring Appellees to File Status Report 10 Days After Supreme Court’s Decision in <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i></p> <p>08/08/2014 – Status Report by Appellees</p>
--	---

<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(b)</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Notre Dame Univ. of v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00253-JD-CAN, assigned to Judge Jon E DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Christopher A. Nuechterlein</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/21/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice and 79 Members of Congress in Support of Plaintiff</p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/17/2012 – Order Reassigning Case to Judge Jon E. DeGuilio</p> <p>10/24/2012 – Motion for Permanent and Preliminary Injunction, filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>10/24/2012 – Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearings by Plaintiff</p> <p>10/24/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Consolidate Trial and Injunction Hearing</a></p> <p>11/07/2012 – Response to Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearing</p> <p>11/14/2012 – Reply Supporting Motion for Consolidated Trial and Injunction Hearing</p> <p>11/28/2012 – Order of Recusal. Case reassigned to Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.</p> <p>12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order denying Motion for Permanent Injunction as moot, granting Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>01/02/2013 – Judgment Entered</p> <p>03/01/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>07/26/2013 – Order Dismissing Case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(b)</p>
<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed</b></p>	<p><i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1479</p> <p>03/05/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00253)</p> <p>03/27/2013 – Order suspending briefing pending prehearing conference</p> <p>07/25/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Motion to Dismiss Case</a></p> <p>07/26/2013 – Order Dismissing Case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(b)</p>

<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 3:13-cv-01276-PPS-CAN, assigned to Chief Judge P. Simon, referred to Magistrate Judge Christopher A. Nuechterlein</p> <p>12/03/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/09/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Temporary Restraining Order and Request for Hearing</a></p> <p>12/09/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Preliminary Injunction and Request for Oral Argument</a></p> <p>12/10/2013 – <a href="#">Opposition to Mot. for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order</a></p> <p>12/11/2013 – Notice by Notre Dame of Withdrawal of Mot. for Temporary Restraining Order and Request for Hearing</p> <p>12/11/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Temp. Restraining Order and Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/16/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Supp. of Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/19/2013 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Mot. for Preliminary Injunction by American Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>12/19/2013 – Mem. in Supp. for Mot. to Intervene and for Consideration of Intervenors’ Arguments in Opp. to Plaintiffs’ Mot. for Preliminary Injunction by Jane Does 1-3</p> <p>12/20/2013 – Preliminary Injunction Hearing Held</p> <p>12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order Denying Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Mot. for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/20/2013 – Notice of Interlocutory Appeal as to Order on Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/23/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Mot. for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/23/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Mot. for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/31/2013 – Joint Mot. to Stay District Court Proceedings Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/02/2014 – Order Granting Mot. to Stay Proceedings</p> <p>05/15/2014 – Mandate of 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</p> <p>06/18/2014 – Status Report of the Parties</p> <p>06/20/2014 – Order Continuing Stay</p> <p>07/14/2014 – Order for Settlement Conference</p>
---	---

<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: District Court Affirmed Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal Denied; Petition for Rehearing en banc Denied</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Writ of Cert. was filed on October 3, 2014 and placed on the docket October 6, 2014 as No. 14-392</b></p>	<p><i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-3853</p> <p>12/23/2013 – Case docketed (appeal from No. 3:13-cv-01276-PPS-CAN)</p> <p>12/23/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Mot. for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellant</a></p> <p>12/30/2013 – Order Denying Emergency Mot. for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/08/2014 – Motion of Jane Does 1-3 to Intervene as Appellees</p> <p>01/13/2014 – <a href="#">Brief by Appellant</a></p> <p>01/14/2014 – Order Granting Jane Does 1-3 Motion to Intervene</p> <p>01/20/2014 – <a href="#">Mot. for Limited Remand to Seek Discovery and Supp. the Record by Appellant, or in the Alternative, to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/21/2014 – <a href="#">Response to Mot. for Limited Remand to Seek Discovery and Supp. the Record by Appellant, or in the Alternate, to Dismiss by Appellees</a></p> <p>01/22/2014 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Mot. for Limited Remand to Seek Discovery and Supp. the Record by Appellant, or in the Alternate, to Dismiss by Intervenors-Appellees</a></p> <p>01/22/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Mot. for Limited Remand to Seek Discovery and Supp. the Record, or in the alternate, to dismiss by Appellant</a></p> <p>01/27/2014 – <a href="#">Brief by Appellee</a></p> <p>01/27/2014 – <a href="#">Brief by Intervenors-Appellees</a></p> <p>01/28/2014 – <a href="#">Renewed Mot. for Injunction Pending App. By Appellant</a></p> <p>02/03/2014 – <a href="#">Reply Brief by Appellant</a></p> <p>02/04/2014 – <a href="#">Opp. to Renewed Mot. for Injunction Pending \ App.by Intervenors-Appellees</a></p> <p>02/04/2014 – <a href="#">Opp. to Mot. for Injunction Pending App. by Appellees</a></p> <p>02/05/2014 – Order taking motions for injunction pending appeal and responses taken with the case for determination by assigned merits panel</p> <p>02/12/2014 – Case heard and taken under advisement</p> <p>02/21/2014 – <a href="#">Order affirming district court and denying motion for injunction pending appeal as moot</a></p> <p>04/04/2014 – Petition for rehearing en banc by Appellant</p> <p>04/22/2014 – Answer to petition for rehearing en banc by Intervenors-Appellees</p> <p>04/222014 – Answer to petition for rehearing en banc by Appellees</p> <p>05/07/2014 – Order denying petition for rehearing en banc</p> <p>06/24/2014 – Notice from S. Ct. extending time to file petition for writ of cert. to Oct. 4, 2014</p>
--	---

	<p>10/06/2014 – Filed notice from the Supreme Court of the filing of a Petition for Writ of Certiorari</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Diocese of Fort Wayne–South Bend, Inc. v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12–cv–00159–JD–RBC, assigned to Judge Jon E DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Roger B Cosby</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/07/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/02/2012 – Motion to Consolidate Cases by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/02/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Consolidate Cases</p> <p>10/10/2012 – Response to Motion to Consolidate</p> <p>10/19/2012 – Order Reassigning Case to Judge Jon E DeGuilio</p> <p>03/14/2013 – <a href="#">Order staying case for up to 45 days</a>, requesting parties to file Joint Report by 4/12/2013. Court may extend the Order after consideration of the Joint Report.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Consolidate Cases</a></p> <p>04/12/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Report concerning Stay of the Case</a>; parties unable to reach agreement on whether case should be stayed pending resolution of appeal in <i>Univ. of Notre Dame v. Sebelius</i> (No. 13-1479).</p> <p>05/13/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Staying case until Defendants finalize proposed rules or 7/1/2013, whichever date comes first.</p> <p>07/11/2013 – Order extending stay until court considers notices from parties stating their intentions for the lawsuit. Parties’ notices are due 7/26/2013.</p> <p>07/26/2013 – Joint Report Concerning Case Status and Agreed Upon Schedule</p> <p>07/31/2013 – Order that given Plaintiffs’ stated intent to file an Amended Complaint Court Denies Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>09/06/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>09/06/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>09/27/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a> and in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or,</p>

	<p>in the alternative, for Summary Judgment by Defendants</p> <p>10/02/2013 – Amicus Curiae Brief by ACLU</p> <p>10/11/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</a>, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/01/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment</p> <p>11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>11/25/2013 – <a href="#">Sur-Reply in Opposition to Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>12/27/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/24/2014 – Notice of Interlocutory Appeal filed by Defendants</p>
<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Diocese of Fort Wayne-South Bend, et al v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-1431</p> <p>02/27/2014 – Appeal docketed (from No. 1:12-cv-00159-JD-RBC)</p> <p>03/03/2014 – <a href="#">Order consolidating case</a> with <i>Grace Schools v. Sebelius</i> (No. 14-1430)</p> <p>03/07/2014 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Appellant Brief</p> <p>03/07/2014 – Order granting Appellant’s Motion to Extend Time to File Brief</p> <p>05/06/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Brief</a></p> <p>05/13/2014 – Amicus filed by Americans United for Separation of Church and State</p> <p>05/15/2014 – <a href="#">Amicus filed by National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>05/15/2014 – Amicus filed by American Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>05/19/2014 – Amicus filed by National Women’s Law Center, et al.</p> <p>06/06/2014 – <a href="#">Appellees’ Brief</a></p> <p>06/11/2014 – Amicus filed by Liberty Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>06/11/2014 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Appellant Brief</p> <p>06/11/2014 – Motion filed by Appellees to Refile Joint Supplemental Appendix as Two Volumes</p> <p>06/12/2014 – Order granting Appellees’ Motion to Refile and Appellant’s Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief</p>



	<p>06/12/2014 – Supplemental Appendix Submitted by Appellees  06/12/2014 – Amicus filed by Gospel Rescue Missions, et al.  07/02/2014 – Joint Motion to File Simultaneous Supplemental Briefing filed by Appellants and Appellees  07/03/2014 – Order Granting Joint Motion to File Simultaneous Supplemental Briefing  07/10/2014 – Motion to Extend Time to File Supplemental Brief filed by Appellants  07/11/2014 – Order Granting Appellants’ Motion to Extend Time to File Supplemental Brief  10/29/2014 – Argument set for Wednesday December 3, 2014  11/18/2014 – <a href="#">Citation of Additional Authority, filed by Appellants</a>  11/24/2014 – Received argument confirmation from Appellees.  11/25/2014 – <a href="#">Appellees response to appellants’ citation of additional Authority</a>  12/03/2014 – Case heard and taken under advisement by panel</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Judgment in favor of plaintiffs on RFRA claim and defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</b></p>	<p><i>Tonn &amp; Blank Construction LLC v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00325, Judge Roger B. Cosbey</p> <p>09/20/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  09/20/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff  09/20/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/31/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants  12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  01/22/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.  01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss and in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  02/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay proceedings</a>  03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Stay proceedings</a>  04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Preliminary Injunction</a>  08/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Stay</a> proceedings until 30 days after the 7th Circuit issues an opinion on the consolidated <i>Grote</i> and <i>Korte</i> appeal</p>

	<p>12/06/2013 – Order lifting stay</p> <p>12/18/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting parties’ request to stay</a> case until 60 days from Supreme Court’s resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> and continuing preliminary injunction</p> <p>08/19/2014 – <a href="#">Order requiring parties to submit a joint report indicating their intentions with the present lawsuit and order continuing stay and preliminary injunction until further order, not to exceed 60 days.</a></p> <p>10/03/2014 – <a href="#">Status Report of the Parties</a></p> <p>10/08/2014 – Order approving parties proposed briefing schedule re: scope of permanent injunctive relief</p> <p>10/20/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff’s brief in support of proposed permanent injunction</a></p> <p>10/27/2014 – <a href="#">Defendants’ response in support of defendants’ proposed injunction and judgment and in opposition to plaintiff’s proposed injunction and judgment</a></p> <p>11/06/2014 – <a href="#">Final judgment is entered in favor of plaintiff on plaintiff’s RFRA claim and order that defendant is permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive mandate on plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>Northern District of Indiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Grace Schools v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-00459-JD-CAN, assigned to Judge Jon E. DeGuilio, referred to Magistrate Judge Christopher A. Nuechterlein</p> <p>08/23/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/07/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/14/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/02/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</p> <p>07/11/2013 – <a href="#">Order staying the case</a> until court considers plaintiffs’ notice stating their intentions relative to the case. Plaintiffs’ notice due 7/26/2013.</p> <p>07/31/2013 – Order lifting stay</p> <p>09/06/2013 – <a href="#">Amendment Complaint</a></p> <p>09/06/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Supp. of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>09/27/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>09/27/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the</a></p>

	<p><a href="#">alternative, for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>10/07/2013 – Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction and in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for <a href="#">Summary Judgment by Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation</a></p> <p>10/11/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Cross Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/24/2013 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction and in Support of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, for <a href="#">Summary Judgment by the American Civil Liberties Union</a></p> <p>11/01/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment, and Mem. in Opp. to Plaintiffs’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Mem. in Support of Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>11/25/2013 – <a href="#">Sur-Reply in Opp. to Plaintiffs’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>12/27/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/24/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Interlocutory Appeal by Defendants</a></p> <p>05/21/2014 – Motion to withdraw as attorney Michael C. Pollack by Defendants</p> <p>05/22/2014 – Order granting motion to withdraw as attorney</p> <p>10/29/2014 – Letter from 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals requesting record on appeal</p>
<p><b>7th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Grace Schools v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-1430</p> <p>03/03/2014 – <a href="#">Order consolidating case</a> with <i>Diocese of Fort Wayne-South Bend v. Sebelius</i> (No. 14-1430). See <i>Fort-Wayne</i> docket (above) for consolidated docket entries following 03/03/2014</p> <p>05/06/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant brief</a></p> <p>05/13/2014 – Amicus brief by Am. United for Separation of Church and State</p> <p>05/15/2014 – <a href="#">Amicus brief by Nat’l Health Law Program</a></p> <p>05/15/2014 – Amicus brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>05/15/2014 – Amicus brief by Nat’l Women’s Law Center</p> <p>06/05/2014 – <a href="#">Appellee brief</a></p> <p>06/11/2014 – Amicus brief by Liberty Life and Law Foundation</p>

	<p>06/12/2014 – Amicus brief by Gospel Rescue Missions  08/07/2014 – <a href="#">Appellee Supplemental brief</a>  08/07/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant Supplemental brief</a>  11/18/2014 – Appellants filed citation of additional authority  11/25/2014 – Appellees response to appellants citation of additional authority  12/03/2014 – Case heard and taken under advisement by panel</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Indiana</b></p>	<p><i>Grote Industries, LLC v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00134-SEB-DML, assigned to Judge Sarah Evans Barker, referred to Magistrate Judge Debra McVicker Lynch</p> <p>10/29/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  10/30/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  10/30/2012 – Motion for Emergency Hearing regarding Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs  11/19/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants  11/19/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  11/27/2012 – <a href="#">Brief and Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/06/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  12/13/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  12/27/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/31/2012 – Motion for Reconsideration of Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction  12/31/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration  01/03/2012 – Order denying Motion for Reconsideration  01/09/2013 – Notice of Appeal  12/31/2013 – Mandate of 7th Cir. Ct. of Appeals  02/20/2014 – <a href="#">Order Granting Preliminary Injunction and Staying Case Pending Outcome of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> Currently Pending Before U.S. Supreme Court</a>  08/29/2014 – Motion to reopen case filed by plaintiffs  09/24/2014 – Notice of non-opposition to reopening case, filed by defendants  09/26/2014 – Order granting motion to reopen case  10/27/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status report by parties agreeing that judgment should be entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim and some form of preliminary injunction should be entered on the contraceptive coverage requirement</a></p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>7th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Held: Reverse and Remand with Instructions to enter Preliminary Injunction</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Petition for Writ of Cert. Denied</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>William Grote, III v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1077</p> <p>01/09/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 4:12-cv-00134)</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/17/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/24/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Injunction Pending Appeal</a> and consolidating this case with <i>Korte v. HHS</i> (No. 12-3841). See <i>Korte</i> docket (above) for consolidated docket entries following 01/30/2013.</p> <p>02/01/2013 – Amicus brief by Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus brief by David W. Wagner et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus brief by Catholic Online, Common Good Alliance</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus brief by Southwest, Inc. et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus brief by Life Legal Defense Foundation et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus brief by Catholic Vote et al.</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>02/04/2013 – Amicus brief by Gospel Rescue Missions, et al.</p> <p>02/05/2013 – Amicus brief by Catholic Online et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Brief</a></p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Indiana Catholic Conference</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Wisconsin Family Action</p> <p>02/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Gospel Rescue Missions</p> <p>03/18/2013 – <a href="#">Appellees’ Brief</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program et al.</a></p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Center for Reproductive Rights et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Women’s Law Center et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State et al.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Reply Brief</a></p> <p>11/08/2013 – <a href="#">Order Reversing and Remanding with Instructions to Enter Preliminary Injunction Barring Mandate</a></p>
--	--

	<p>02/21/2014 – Notice from the U.S. Supreme Court of the Filing of a Petition for Writ of Certiorari</p> <p>07/01/2014 – Order from the U.S. Supreme Court Denying the Petition for Writ of Certiorari</p>
<p><b>Western District of Louisiana</b></p> <p><b>Held: Plaintiff's motion for SJ is granted in part, as to plaintiff's RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Louisiana Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-00463, Judge Dee D. Drell</p> <p>02/18/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>05/11/2012 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>06/11/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>06/11/2012 – Response to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/06/2012 – <a href="#">Report and Recommendation that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss be Denied as Moot, Recommending Defendant file a Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>07/09/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Mem. of Law in Support of such Motion</a></p> <p>07/10/2012 – Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Resolution of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>08/07/2012 – Notice by Defendants of pending challenges to preventive services coverage regulations</p> <p>08/13/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/13/2012 – Mem. in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/24/2012 – Reply to Response to Motion to Stay Discovery</p> <p>08/31/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Leave to File Sur-Reply</a></p> <p>09/05/2012 – <a href="#">Sur-Reply in Opp. regarding Motion to Stay Discovery and Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>01/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Staying Case until 8/15/2013 at which time Government is Ordered to provide court with a status report as to regulations and other relevant pending litigation</a></p> <p>09/19/2013 – <a href="#">Second Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>11/02/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a>, or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment</p> <p>11/18/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Cross Motion for Summary Judgment</a> by Plaintiff</p> <p>12/02/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>12/02/2013 – Reply Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment and <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/16/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Summary</a></p>

	<p><a href="#">Judgment</a> by Plaintiff</p> <p>01/06/2014 – Unopposed Motion to Withdraw Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</p> <p>01/07/2014 – Order granting Motion to Withdraw Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/09/2014 – Minute Entry stating the Court does not need oral argument and will decide the pending Motion to Dismiss and Cross Motions for Summary Judgment on the Briefs</p> <p>08/13/2014 – <a href="#">Memorandum ruling re: motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, motion for SJ filed by Def.</a></p> <p>08/14/2014 – <a href="#">Amended Judgment denying in part defendants’ motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim or, in the alternative, for SJ only as it pertains to plaintiff’s RFRA claim; judgment granting in part plaintiff’s cross motion for SJ as to plaintiff’s RFRA claim</a> All remaining claims dismissed as moot</p> <p>08/25/2014 – Motion for extension of time to file motion for attorneys’ fees</p> <p>08/28/2014 – Order granting motion for extension</p> <p>10/08/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Appeal as to Memorandum Ruling and Judgment. USCA Case number 14-31167</a></p>
<p><b>5<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. App</b></p>	<p><i>Louisiana College v. Burwell</i>, 14-31167</p> <p>11/17/2014 – Briefing Notice issued</p> <p>11/26/2014 – <a href="#">Unopposed motion filed by appellants</a></p> <p>12/12/2014 – <a href="#">Court order granting motion to stay further proceedings pending resolution by this Court in appeal nos. 14-20112, 14-10661, 14-40212</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, judgment entered in favor of plaintiffs on plaintiffs’ RFRA claim and defendants permanently enjoined from enforcing</b></p>	<p><i>Domino’s Farms Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-15488, Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p>12/14/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>12/25/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Emergency Motion for TRO by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/30/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order Granting Motion for TRO</a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</a></p> <p>02/01/2013 – Motion Hearing held on 01/31/2013. Court requested additional briefing and gives both</p>



<p><b>contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</b></p>	<p>parties two weeks to respond to Amicus Brief of Attorney General Bill Schuette</p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Supp. Brief by Plaintiffs in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Supp. Brief by Defendants in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/14/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>05/13/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>05/16/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings by Defendants</a></p> <p>05/20/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>06/26/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Stay</a></p> <p>10/07/2013 – Motion to Remove Stay for a Limited Purpose by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/19/2013 – Response to Motion to Remove Stay for a Limited Purpose by Defendants</p> <p>11/22/2013 – Reply in Support Motion to Remove Stay for a Limited Purpose by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/20/2013 – Order Denying Motion to Remove Stay</p> <p>11/25/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion to Reopen Case and Enter Judgment by All Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>12/03/2014 – <a href="#">Injunction and judgment entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on plaintiffs’ RFRA claim and defendants permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Domino's Farms Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1654</p> <p>05/17/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-15488)</p> <p>06/24/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Hold Appeal in Abeyance pending decision in <i>Autocam Corp. v. Sebelius</i></a></p> <p>07/03/2013 – <a href="#">Response in opp. to Mot. to Hold in Abeyance</a></p> <p>07/03/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Mot. to Hold in Abeyance</a></p> <p>07/08/2013 - <a href="#">Order denying motions</a> to hold case in abeyance and the appellees' suggestion for dismissal for want of prosecution</p> <p>08/05/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>09/09/2013 – Appellee Brief</p> <p>08/12/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief</a> by Planned Parenthood of Middle and East Tennessee, Inc. et al.</p> <p>08/12/2013 – Amicus Brief by American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists et al.</p> <p>08/12/2013 – Amicus Brief by ACLU et al.</p> <p>08/12/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program et</p>

	<p>al.</p> <p>08/12/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church and State et al.</p> <p>08/12/2013 – Amicus Brief by Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc. et al.</p> <p>09/12/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>09/12/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Association of Pro Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists et al.</p> <p>09/16/2013 – Amicus Brief by Christian Legal Society et al.</p> <p>09/26/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Brief by Appellants</a></p> <p>01/22/2014 – Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance by Appellee</p> <p>09/03/2014 – Motion filed by Appellants to dismiss voluntarily pursuant to FRAP 42(b)</p> <p>10/23/2014 – Order filed granting motion to dismiss case voluntarily</p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Weingartz Supply Co. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-12061, Judge Robert H. Cleland, referred to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p>05/07/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>07/25/2012 – Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/07/2012 – <a href="#">Order Directing Plaintiffs to File Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/15/2012 – <a href="#">Motion and Brief for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>08/29/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/06/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/17/2012 – Order terminating Plaintiff’s Emergency Motion for TRO</p> <p>09/17/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Fund, Life Legal Defense Foundation</p> <p>09/28/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief filed by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette</a></p> <p>09/28/2012 – Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>10/31/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction for Plaintiff Weingartz, and denying without prejudice Plaintiff Legatus’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – Preliminary Injunction Order</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Plaintiff Legatus</p> <p>01/28/2013 – Motion to Stay proceedings pending Appeal</p> <p>01/31/2013 – Motion Hearing held on 01/31/2013, Granting Motion to Stay pending Appeal</p> <p>02/04/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of</p>

	<p>rulemaking process</p> <p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>05/06/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>06/03/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>06/28/2013 – <a href="#">Notice by Defendants</a> regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>08/01/2013 – Motion to Remove Stay by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/05/2013 – Notice by Defendants regarding status of rulemaking process</p> <p>08/09/2013 – Order Lifting Stay</p> <p>08/30/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>09/06/2013 – <a href="#">Reply to Response re Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a> by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/20/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/01/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Briefing</a> in Light of Lapse of Appropriations</p> <p>10/01/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for a Stay</a> and for a Temporary Restraining Order by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/01/2013 – <a href="#">Opposition to Motion</a> for Temporary Restraining Order by Defendants</p> <p>10/02/2013 – <a href="#">Reply to in Support of</a> Motion for Temporary Restraining Order by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to</a> Stay Briefing by Defendants and Motion for Temporary Restraining Order by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/18/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a> by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/20/2013 – Opinion and Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>02/12/2014 – Notice of Interlocutory Appeal</p> <p>05/05/2014 – Order granting defendants-appellants motion to consolidate and hold briefing in abeyance</p> <p>11/18/2014 – Order granting appellant’s motion to voluntarily dismiss appeal pursuant to FRAP 42(b)</p> <p>12/09/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion for entry of injunction and judgment</a></p> <p>12/31/2014 – <a href="#">Order permanently enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</a></p>
--	--

<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Weingartz Supply Co. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-1183</p> <p>02/14/2014 – Case docketed (from No. 2:12-cv-12061)  03/11/2014 – Motion by Appellants to Extend Time to File Brief  03/12/2014 – Ruling Granting Motion to Extend Time to File Brief  04/07/2014 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellants to Hold Case in Abeyance</a> and to Consolidate with Case No. 14-1310  04/09/2014 – <a href="#">Response in Opposition to Motion to Consolidate</a> and Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance  04/19/2014 – Order Granting Motions to Consolidate case with 14-1310 and to Hold Cases in Abeyance Pending Disposition of <i>Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius</i> and <i>Michigan Catholic Conference v. Sebelius</i>  05/05/2014 – Order granting motions to consolidate 14-1183 and 14-1310  09/09/2014 – <a href="#">Ruling letter sent requesting letter briefs addressing the Impact, if any, of <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i></a>  10/03/2014 – <a href="#">Letter brief filed by appellees</a>  11/18/2014 – <a href="#">Letter brief filed by appellants</a>  11/20/2014 – <a href="#">Additional citation filed by appellants</a>  11/21/2014 – <a href="#">Response to Additional citation filed by appellees</a></p>
<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Appeal dismissed voluntarily pursuant to FRAP 42(b)</b></p>	<p><i>Legatus v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, Nos. 13-1092, 13-1093</p> <p>01/24/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-12061)  02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Defendants to hold case in abeyance</a>  03/06/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to hold case in abeyance</a>  03/07/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to hold case in abeyance</a>  03/20/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants' Opening Brief</a>  03/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Coll. of Obstetrics &amp; Gynecology, et al.  03/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Planned Parenthood of Middle and East Tennessee, et al.  03/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Hindu American Foundation  04/26/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.  04/29/2013 – <a href="#">Appellees' Brief</a>  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass'n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, et al.  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Institute, et al.  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Nicanor Pier Giorgio Austriaco, et al.</p>

	<p>04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Life Legal Defense Foundation  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bart Stupak &amp; Democrats for Life  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Mr. Bernard Eric Restuccia for MI  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Christian Legal Society, et al.  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Michigan Catholic Conference  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Mr. Robert Anthony Destro  04/30/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Educ. &amp; Legal Def. Fund  05/09/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Brief by Appellants</a>  05/28/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Reply Brief</a>  08/01/2013 – Motion to Dismiss Appeal Voluntarily by Plaintiff Legatus  08/14/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss Appeal by Plaintiff Legatus  10/17/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief filed by Defendants</a>  10/24/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief</a> filed by Plaintiffs  11/04/2013 – <a href="#">Additional citation filed by plaintiffs</a>  09/03/2014 – Motion filed by defendants to dismiss voluntarily pursuant to FRAP 42(b)  11/18/2014 – Order filed granting motion to dismiss case voluntarily</p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed without Prejudice</b></p>	<p><i>Nagle v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-12036, Judge David R. Grand</p> <p>05/08/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  05/24/2013 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by All Plaintiffs  05/24/2013 – <a href="#">Order Dismissing case without prejudice</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Case remanded from 6<sup>th</sup> Cir. In light of Hobby Lobby</b></p>	<p><i>Eden Foods, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-11229, Judge Mark A. Randon</p> <p>03/20/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for TRO</a>  04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a>  05/01/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  05/20/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</a>  05/21/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  05/21/2013 – Notice of Appeal  05/31/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Motion to Stay Proceedings</a>  06/10/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p>

	<p>06/12/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Joint Motion to Stay</a>  10/24/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Plaintiffs  11/20/2013 – U.S. Supreme Court Notice that Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed by Plaintiffs  07/01/2014 – Letter Granting Petition for Writ of Certiorari  08/04/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment from SCOTUS that 6<sup>th</sup> circuit decision is vacated and case is remanded to the 6<sup>th</sup> circuit for further consideration in light of Hobby Lobby</a>  01/07/2015 – <a href="#">6<sup>th</sup> Cir. Order remanding case to the district court for further proceedings as appropriate</a></p>
<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case remanded to District Court for further proceedings 01/07/2015</b></p>	<p><i>Eden Foods, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1677</p> <p>05/22/2013 – Appeal Docketed  05/23/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Expedite Appeal by Appellants</a>  05/23/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellants</a>  05/29/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Expedite Appeal</a>  05/31/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Injunction and Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance by Appellees</a>  06/04/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  06/04/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opposition to Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance</a>  06/17/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a>  06/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass'n of Am/ Physicians &amp; Surgeons  06/27/2013 – Amicus Brief by Christian Legal Society, et al.  06/28/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  07/05/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation  07/22/2013 – Appellee Brief  07/29/2013 – Amicus Brief by Lamda Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc., et al.  07/29/2013 – Amicus Brief filed by Planned Parenthood of Middle and East Tennessee, Inc., et al.  07/29/2013 – Amicus Brief filed American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, et al.  07/29/2013 – Amicus Brief filed American Association of University Women, et al.  07/29/2013 – Amicus Brief filed by ACLU, et al.  07/29/2013 – Amicus Brief filed by Ovarian Cancer National Alliance, et al.  08/08/2013 – Reply Brief by Appellants  09/26/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief filed by Appellees</a>  09/27/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief filed by Appellant</a></p>

	<p>10/24/2013 – <a href="#">Opinion Affirming Denial Motion for Preliminary</a>  10/28/2013 – Motion to Stay Mandate by Appellant  11/05/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Stay Mandate by Appellants</a>  11/20/2013 – U.S. Supreme Court Notice that Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed by Appellant  07/01/2014 – Letter Granting Petition for Writ of Certiorari.  08/04/2014 – <a href="#">SCOTUS judgment that 6<sup>th</sup> Cir. Decision is vacated and the case is remanded to the 6th Cir. Ct. of App. for further consideration in light of Hobby Lobby</a>  01/07/2015 – <a href="#">6<sup>th</sup> Cir. Order remanding case to district court for further proceedings</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b>   <b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied; Case Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Mersino Management Company v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-11296, Judge R. Steven Whalen</p> <p>03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  05/09/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  05/23/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a>  05/24/2013 – <a href="#">Reply to Response by Plaintiffs</a>  05/29/2013 – Motion Hearing held on Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction  07/11/2013 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for TRO and Prelim. Injunction.</a>  07/11/2013 – Notice of Appeal  07/17/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay Pending Appeal  07/31/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay  07/09/2014 – Notice of Appeal</p>
<p><b>6th Cir Ct. of App.</b>   <b>Held: Injunction Pending Appeal Granted</b></p>	<p><i>Mersino Mgmt. Co, et al v. Kathleen Sebelius, et al</i>, Case No. 13-1944</p> <p>07/17/2013 – Appeal Docketed  07/17/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellants</a>  07/31/2013 – <a href="#">Opposition to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellees</a>  08/07/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Injunction</a> Pending Appeal by Appellants  09/17/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Summary Affirmance</a> by Appellees  09/27/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Summary Affirmance</a> by Appellants</p>



	<p>07/02/2014 – Briefing Letter setting schedule  07/07/2014 – Unopposed Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal  07/09/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal and Denying Motion for Leave to File an Out-of-Time Amicus Brief and Defendant’s Motion for Summary Affirmance. Motion to Expedite is Denied as Moot  08/14/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant brief</a>  09/11/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to remand in light of Hobby Lobby filed by appellees</a>  09/12/2014 – <a href="#">Ruling letter sent to hold briefing in abeyance pending a decision on the appellee’s motion to remand</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>MK Chambers Company v. DHHS</i>, 13-cv-11379, Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk</p> <p>03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  04/02/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Emergency Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) by Plaintiffs</a>  04/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Emergency Motion for TRO</a>  04/26/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a>  05/22/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss and Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a>  05/31/2013 – <a href="#">Reply to Response re Emergency Motion for Preliminary injunction and Motion to Dismiss, filed by Plaintiffs</a>  05/31/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bill Schutte  06/06/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Prelim. Injunction.</a>  06/06/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</a>  06/07/2013 – Motion Hearing held on Emergency Motion for Prelim. Injunction  06/14/2013 – Hearing on Motion to Dismiss set for 7/24/2013  06/27/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Amended Motion to Dismiss</a>  07/15/2013 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Amended Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/13/2013 – Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction  01/31/2014 – Joint Motion to Stay Case  02/21/2014 – Order Staying Case  03/04/2014 – Order Dismissing Motions Without Prejudice  08/21/2014 – Stipulated Order Entering preliminary injunction  09/23/2014 – <a href="#">Notice by all defendants of consent to injunction and judgment</a>  09/24/2014 – <a href="#">Notice by all plaintiffs of objection to entry of defendants’ proposed injunction and judgment</a></p>

	<p>11/07/2014 – Notice to Appear  11/21/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulated Order and Judgment enjoining defendants from enforcing the contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on plaintiffs RFRA claim</a>  12/17/2014 – Motion for Attorney Fees filed by plaintiffs  12/30/2014 – Motion for extension of time to file response filed by defendants  01/05/2015 – Order referring motion to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk  01/05/2015 – Stipulated Order extending time for defendant to file opposition to plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees and costs  01/05/2015 – Second motion for extension of time to file response filed by defendants  01/07/2015 – Text-only order granting motion for extension of time to file response  01/07/2015 – Response to motion for attorney fees  01/09/2015 – Reply to Response to motion for attorney fees</p>
<p><b>Western District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: On remand, In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Autocam Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-01096, Judge Robert J. Jonker</p> <p>10/08/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  10/10/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs  10/10/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  10/12/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying expedited consideration of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/08/2012 – Amicus Brief by ACLU and ACLU Fund of Michigan  11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/14/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/14/2012 – Notice of Motion Hearing for 12/17/2012  11/15/2012 – Order setting Rule 16 scheduling conference for 12/17/2012  12/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Mich. Atty. Gen. Bill Schuette  12/13/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Bioethics Defense Fund and Life Legal Defense Foundation  12/17/2012 – <a href="#">Plaintiff’s Supplemental Brief on Anti-Injunction Act in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Plaintiff’s Supplemental Brief on harm Supporting</a></p>

	<p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Supplemental Brief Opposing Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/24/2012 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/26/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/03/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion to Stay</a>. Court will instead extend deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs’ complaint to 02/15/2013.</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants and <a href="#">Brief</a> in Support</p> <p>03/18/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/17/2013 – 6th Circuit Order Affirming Order Denying Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>09/18/2013 – Motion to Stay Case by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/30/2013 – Order Dismissing Case and Denying Motion to Stay by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/02/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>10/22/2013 – Notice from U.S. Supreme Court that Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed</p> <p>07/01/2014 - Letter Granting Petition for Writ of Certiorari</p> <p>08/04/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment from SCOTUS to vacate 6<sup>th</sup> Cir. Judgment and remand to 6<sup>th</sup> Cir. For further proceedings in light of Hobby Lobby</a></p> <p>12/02/2014 – Order of USCA re appeal granting motion to withdraw as counsel by James Charles Miller</p> <p>12/11/2014 – <a href="#">Order of USCA re appeal granting motion to consolidate, granting motion to withdraw as counsel and granting motion to remand case to district court</a></p> <p>12/19/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion for permanent injunction and judgment</a></p> <p>01/05/2015 – <a href="#">Order granting defendants motion for permanent injunction and judgment for plaintiffs on RFRA claim in light of Hobby Lobby</a></p>
<p><b>6th Cir. Ct. of Appeals</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case remanded to district court in light of Hobby Lobby</b></p>	<p><i>Autocam Corp. v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 12-2673</p> <p>12/26/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 1:12-cv-01096)</p> <p>12/26/2012 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion by Appellant for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/26/2012 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellant to expedite case</a></p> <p>12/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Injunctive Relief</a></p> <p>12/28/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Injunction Pending</a></p>

	<p style="text-align: center;"><a href="#">Appeal, granting Motion to expedite case</a></p> <p>12/31/2012 – Motion by Appellant to Reconsider Order denying Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/31/2012 – Order denying Motion to Reconsider previous Injunction Order</p> <p>02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Foundation</p> <p>02/18/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Amer. Ass’n. of Pro Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Joint Amicus Brief by States of Michigan &amp; Ohio</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Diocese of Grand Rapids</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Family Trust Found. of Kentucky.</p> <p>03/14/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Pub. Health Ass’n., et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Coll. of Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Planned Parenthood of Middle &amp; East Tennessee, et al.</p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church &amp; State, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>03/29/2013 – Substituted Amicus Brief by Americans United for Separation of Church &amp; State, et al.</p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>04/16/2013 – Oral argument set for Tues., June 11, 2013 at 1 pm</p> <p>05/17/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief for Appellee</a></p> <p>05/17/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief for Appellant</a></p> <p>06/11/2013 – Oral argument</p> <p>09/17/2013 – <a href="#">Order Affirming Denial</a> of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>10/09/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion</a> to Stay Mandate</p> <p>10/21/2013 – Notice from U.S. Supreme Court that Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed</p> <p>07/01/2014 - Letter Granting Petition for Writ of Certiorari.</p> <p>08/04/2014 – <a href="#">SCOTUS- 6<sup>th</sup> Cir. judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the 6th Cir. Ct. of App. for further consideration in light of Hobby Lobby</a></p> <p>09/12/2014 – Motion filed by AutoCam Corp. to expedite decision</p> <p>11/25/2014 – <a href="#">Motion filed by AutoCam Corp. to remand</a></p> <p>12/01/2014 – Motioned filed by Mr. Jason Charles Miller for</p>
--	--

	<p>Autocam Corp. to withdraw as counsel  12/11/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting motion to consolidate, motion to withdraw, and motion to remand case</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed</b></p>	<p><i>Infrastructure Alternatives, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:13-cv-00031, Judge Robert J. Jonker</p> <p>01/10/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  03/18/2013 – Motion to Dismiss and <a href="#">Brief in Support</a> by Defendants  04/15/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</a>  04/29/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  05/01/2013 – Joint Status Report  05/06/2013 – Notice of Request for Oral Argument regarding Motion to Dismiss  09/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Dismissing Case</p>
<p><b>District of Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied; Case Stayed</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Annex Medical v. Sebelius</i>, No. 0:12-cv-02804, assigned to Senior Judge David S. Doty, referred to Magistrate Judge Steven E. Rau</p> <p>11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  11/21/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction  11/21/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/12/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  01/08/2013 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  01/08/2013 – Judgment Entered  01/11/2013 – Notice of Appeal  01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/14/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal, corrected (originally filed on 01/11/2013)  01/15/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/17/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/24/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal</a>  01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Stay</a>  02/01/2013 – Notice of Appeal to 8th Cir.</p>

	<p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Consolidate With Appeal No. 12-3357</a></p> <p>09/05/2014 – <a href="#">Dist. Ct. order denying a preliminary injunction is vacated In light of Hobby Lobby</a></p> <p>09/05/2014 – <a href="#">8<sup>th</sup> Cir. Orders that appeal of Tom Janas is dismissed. As to remaining parties, judgment of district court is vacated and cause is remanded to district court</a></p> <p>10/06/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting Appellants’ petition for rehearing by the panel. Opinion and judgment of this Court on 9/5/14 are vacated.</a></p> <p>10/06/2014 – <a href="#">Appeal of Tom Janas dismissed. As to remaining parties, judgment of district court is vacated and cause is remanded</a></p> <p>12/04/2014 – 8<sup>th</sup> Cir. Mandate issued</p>
<p><b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: 8th Cir. dismisses plaintiff Janas’s appeal and remands to district ct for further proceedings to determine if plaintiffs Annex and Lind have Article III standing</b></p>	<p><i>Annex Medical v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>, No. 13-1118</p> <p>01/14/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-02804)</p> <p>01/18/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellants</a></p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/29/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction pending Appeal</a></p> <p>02/01/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Emergency Preliminary Injunction pending Appeal</a></p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to consolidate case with 12-3357 (O’Brien v. HHS) by Appellees</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate</a></p> <p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to consolidate</a></p> <p>03/07/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Catholic Online, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Missouri Roundtable for Life and the Right Reverend W. Thomas Frerking</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Minnesota Catholic Conference</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education and Legal Defense Fund</p> <p>03/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Charles N. Sharpe and Sharpe</p>

	<p>Holdings</p> <p>04/10/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Society for Emergency Contraception et al.</p> <p>04/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by AAUW, et al.</p> <p>04/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund</p> <p>04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program, et al.</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Americans United For Separation of Church and State et al.</p> <p>04/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>04/25/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Reply Brief</a></p> <p>10/24/2013 – Oral Argument</p> <p>09/05/2014 – <a href="#">Dist. Ct. order denying a preliminary injunction is vacated</a></p> <p>09/05/2014 – <a href="#">8<sup>th</sup> Cir. Orders that appeal of Tom Janas is dismissed. As to remaining parties, judgment of district court is vacated and cause is remanded to district court</a></p> <p>10/06/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting Appellants’ petition for rehearing by the panel. Opinion and judgment of this Court on 9/5/14 are vacated.</a></p> <p>10/06/2014 – <a href="#">Appeal of Tom Janas dismissed. As to remaining parties, judgment of district court is vacated and cause is remanded</a></p> <p>12/04/2014 – 8<sup>th</sup> Cir. Mandate issued</p>
<p><b>District of Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Hall v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00295, Judge Leo I. Brisbois</p> <p>02/05/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>03/25/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of Non-Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Proceedings by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/02/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Staying case pending resolution of appeal in either <i>O’Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i>.</p> <p>11/24/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation for Entry of Judgment and Injunction in Favor of plaintiffs in light of Hobby Lobby and stipulation for fee motion briefing schedule filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>11/26/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting stipulation and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs</a></p>



	<p>12/31/2014 – Stipulation for extension of time to file plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees</p> <p>01/12/2015 – Order granting stipulation for extension of time</p>
<p><b>District of Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: In Light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>SMA, LLC et al v. Sebelius</i>, No. 0:13-cv-01375, Judge Ann D. Montgomery</p> <p>06/07/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>06/13/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>07/03/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>07/05/2013 – <a href="#">Motion of Non-Opposition</a> to Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>07/08/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/14/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation for entry of judgment and injunction in favor of plaintiffs and stipulation for fee motion briefing schedule, filed jointly by the parties</a></p> <p>11/20/2014 – <a href="#">Order for injunction and judgment pursuant to the stipulation</a></p> <p>12/30/2014 – Stipulation for extension of time to file plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees and costs</p> <p>01/05/2015 – Order granting stipulation for extension of time</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Mississippi</b></p> <p><b>Held: Voluntary Dismissal</b></p>	<p><i>Am. Family Ass’n, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00032, Judge Sharion Aycock</p> <p>02/20/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/19/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>05/03/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>05/03/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>05/08/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</a></p> <p>07/19/2013 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Mississippi</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of</b></p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Biloxi, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-00158, assigned to Judge Halil S. Ozerden, referred to Magistrate Judge Robert H. Walker</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p>

<p><b>Jurisdiction; Motion to Alter Judgment Denied</b></p>	<p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  10/11/2012 – Motion for Oral Argument regarding Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff  11/26/2012 – Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Amicus Curiae the American Center for Law and Justice, et al.  12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion and Order granting Motion to Dismiss</a>  12/20/2012 – Final Judgment dismissing action without prejudice  01/08/2013 – Motion to Alter Judgment by Plaintiffs  01/08/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support re: Motion to Alter Judgment</a>  01/22/2013 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Alter Judgment  01/22/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Alter Judgment</a>  01/29/2013 – <a href="#">Rebuttal Mem. in Support of Motion to Alter Judgment</a>  02/15/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter Judgment</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Temporary Restraining Order Granted; Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Sharpe Holdings, Inc. v. HHS</i>, No. 12-cv-00092, Judge David D. Noce</p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  12/20/2012 – Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction  12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support re: Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/27/2012 – <a href="#">Supplemental Mem. in Support of Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/28/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/30/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/31/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order Granting TRO</a>  01/07/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by ACLU and ACLU of Eastern Missouri in Opp. to Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction</a>  01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Supp. Brief re: Exemptions to Contraceptive Coverage Requirement by Plaintiff</a>  01/12/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for TRO and preliminary Injunction</a>  01/14/2013 – <a href="#">Order continuing TRO pending determination on injunctive relief</a>  03/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a>  03/15/2013 – Order setting telephonic hearing on Defendant's</p>

	<p>Motion to Stay for Thursday, March 21 at 2 pm.</p> <p>03/18/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Proceedings and requesting approval of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Proceedings and Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>06/13/2013 – Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition, to Substitute Defendants and add three plaintiffs, by Plaintiffs</p> <p>06/14/2013 – Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition sustained</p> <p>06/14/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>06/14/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>06/28/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order Staying Proceedings</a></p> <p>11/29/2013 – Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint</p> <p>12/04/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint</p> <p>12/04/2013 – <a href="#">Second Amendment Complaint</a></p> <p>12/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>12/18/2013 – <a href="#">Opposition to Motion for Temporary Restraining Order</a> and Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>12/23/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>12/25/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Brief in Support of Motion</a> for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>02/28/2014 – Notice of Interlocutory Appeal</p> <p>08/05/2014 – Motion to lift stay by plaintiff</p> <p>08/05/2014 – Memorandum in support of motion</p> <p>08/05/2014 – Order for parties to submit a joint status report and proposed scheduling plan</p> <p>08/19/2014 – Order granting motion to lift stay</p> <p>09/12/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status report filed</a></p> <p>10/01/2014 – <a href="#">Order sustaining joint status report and ordering that injunction issued on June 28, 2013 remain in effect until further order; Also, non-profit plaintiffs in the action remain stayed pending determination of defendants’ appeal;</a></p> <p>10/01/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for summary judgment filed by plaintiff</a></p>
--	---

	<p>10/01/2014 – <a href="#">Statement of Material Facts</a></p> <p>10/10/2014 – <a href="#">Notice Consent to Injunction and Judgment by defendants</a></p> <p>10/14/2014 – <a href="#">Notice response to government notice of consent by plaintiff</a></p> <p>10/22/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of supplemental authority filed by plaintiff</a></p> <p>12/17/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of supplemental authority filed by plaintiff</a></p>
<b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<p><i>Sharpe Holdings, Inc. v. HHS</i>, No. 14-1507</p> <p>03/05/2014 – Case docketed</p> <p>03/09/2014 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief by Appellants</p> <p>03/10/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief</p> <p>05/22/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>05/29/2014 – Amicus filed by American Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>05/30/2014 – Amicus filed by American Association of University Women, et al.</p> <p>06/02/2014 – <a href="#">Amicus</a> filed by National Health Law Program, et al.</p> <p>06/06/2014 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief by Appellees</p> <p>06/09/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief</p> <p>11/04/2014 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief filed by Appellees</a></p> <p>11/07/2014 – Argument set for Dec. 10, 2014</p> <p>11/18/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply brief filed</a></p> <p>12/10/2014 – Argued and submitted in St. Louis</p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Archdiocese of St. Louis v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00924, Judge John A. Ross</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/22/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>01/29/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>

<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: On remand, In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>O'Brien v. U.S. Dep't of Health &amp; Human Services</i>, No. 4:12-cv-00476, Judge Carol E. Jackson</p> <p>03/15/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>05/21/2012 – Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>06/11/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>07/16/2012 – Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</p> <p>07/16/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Plaintiff's Mem. in Opp. to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/15/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by ACLU, ACLU of Eastern Missouri in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>08/23/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/23/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/24/2012 – <a href="#">Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/14/2012 – <a href="#">Defendants' Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/28/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order Dismissing for Lack of Jurisdiction</a></p> <p>10/01/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>09/08/2014 – <a href="#">USCA (8<sup>th</sup> cir) opinion reversing the FRCP dismissal of appellants' RFRA claim, vacating the dismissal of appellants' remaining claims, and remanding for further proceedings consistent with <i>Hobby Lobby</i></a></p> <p>10/31/2014 – Order setting Rule 16 conference</p> <p>11/10/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion to approve consent judgment</a></p> <p>11/12/2014 – Order granting parties joint motion for entry of injunction and judgment; also, rule 16 scheduling conference is cancelled</p> <p>11/12/2014 – <a href="#">Order permanently enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</a></p>
---	--

<p><b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Reversing district court opinion and remanding for proceedings consistent with opinion in <i>Hobby Lobby</i></b></p>	<p><i>Frank O'Brien Jr., v. Dep't of HHS</i>, No. 12-3357, Judge Carol E. Jackson</p> <p>10/04/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-00476)</p> <p>10/23/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed by Appellants</p> <p>11/01/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p> <p>11/13/2012 – Addendum of Appellant Brief</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of American Ass'n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Amicus Brief of Catholic Online, et al.</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief of Archdiocese of St. Louis</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief by Ass'n of Christian Schools Int'l, et al.</p> <p>11/26/2012 – Amicus Brief of Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>11/28/2012 – <a href="#">Order entering Stay pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/27/2012 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>01/04/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief of National Health Law Program</a></p> <p>01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of American Public Health Ass'n, et al.</p> <p>01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of Americans United for Separation of Church and State, et al.</p> <p>01/04/2013 – Amicus Brief of American Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>01/16/2013 – Amicus Brief of National Women's Law Center</p> <p>01/18/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant's Reply Brief</a></p> <p>02/14/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to consolidate case with 13-1118 (<i>Annex Medical v. Kathleen Sebelius</i>) by Appellees</a></p> <p>02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to consolidate</a></p> <p>03/04/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to consolidate</a></p> <p>10/02/2013 – Oral Argument</p> <p>10/24/2013 – Argued and submitted in St. Paul</p> <p>10/25/2013 – Citation of supplemental authority filed by appellants</p> <p>11/04/2013 – Citation of supplemental authority filed by appellants</p> <p>11/11/2013 – Citation of supplemental authority filed by appellants</p> <p>09/08/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment reversing district court opinion and remanding for</a> <a href="#">Further proceedings consistent with <i>Hobby Lobby</i></a></p>
---	---

<p><b>Eastern District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Bick Holdings Inc. v. US DHHS</i>, No. 13-cv-00462, Judge Audrey G. Fleissig</p> <p>03/13/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/25/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Mem. in Support</a></p> <p>03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a> (notice of non-opposition)</p> <p>03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Consent Motion to Stay proceedings</a></p> <p>04/01/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> Staying case pending resolution of appeal in <i>O'Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i>.</p> <p>11/10/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion to approve consent judgment</a></p> <p>11/18/2014 – <a href="#">Consent Injunction and judgment</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Sioux Chief MFG. Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:13-cv-00036, Judge Ortrie D. Smith</p> <p>01/14/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>02/27/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs and <a href="#">Suggestions in Support</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Motion to Stay and Non-opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Granting Motion to Stay</a></p> <p>07/15/2014 – <a href="#">Order Lifting Stay and Directing Parties to File Joint Status Report</a></p> <p>08/18/2014 – <a href="#">Order continuing preliminary injunction and directing parties to file joint status report</a></p> <p>09/17/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a></p> <p>09/19/2014 – <a href="#">Order continuing preliminary injunction and directing parties to file proposed injunction and judgment</a></p> <p>10/01/2014 – <a href="#">Response to Order filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/01/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of filing Defs.’ Proposed Injunction and Judgment, and Suggestions in Support thereof</a></p> <p>10/15/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of filing: Defendants’ Suggestions in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Proposed Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>10/15/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of filing: Suggestions in Opposition to Defendants’ Proposed Injunction</a></p> <p>11/12/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting injunction</a></p> <p>12/17/2014 – Joint motion for extension of time for plaintiffs to</p>



	<p>file any motion for attorney's fees</p> <p>12/18/2014 – Order granting joint motion to extend deadline for plaintiffs to file any motion for attorney's fees</p>
<p><b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Judgment granting appellants' motion to dismiss case in accordance with FRAP 42(b)</b></p>	<p><i>American Pulverizer Co. v. HHS</i>, No. 13-1395</p> <p>02/25/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12-cv-03459)</p> <p>02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to hold case in abeyance pending Court's decision in related appeal</a></p> <p>02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to hold case in abeyance pending decision in O'Brien</a></p> <p>09/04/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to dismiss case filed by appellants</a></p> <p>09/04/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment granting motion to dismiss case in accordance with FRAP 42(b)</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>American Pulverizer Co. v. HHS</i>, No. 12-cv-03459, Judge Robert T Dawson</p> <p>10/19/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/20/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/20/2012 – <a href="#">Suggestions in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/04/2012 – <a href="#">Suggestions in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/10/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Suggestions in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/13/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by ACLU</a></p> <p>12/17/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>02/19/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay proceedings pending Appeal</p> <p>02/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Stay</a></p> <p>06/05/2013 – Transfer Order. Due to the death of Judge Dorr, case was reassigned to Judge Robert T. Dawson</p> <p>04/21/2014 – Order Transferring/Reassigning Case. Case reassigned to District Judge M. Douglas Harpool</p> <p>09/08/2014 – <a href="#">8th Cir. judgment granting appellants' motion to dismiss appeal</a></p> <p>10/15/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion for consent judgment</a></p> <p>10/30/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting motion for consent judgment</a></p> <p>12/15/2014 – Joint motion for extension of time to file petition for attorney's fees and costs</p>

	12/15/2014 – Order granting motion for extension of time
<b>Western District of Missouri</b>  <b>Held: Complaint Dismissed Without Prejudice</b>	<i>College of the Ozarks v. US DHHS</i> , No. 12-cv-03428, Judge Brian C. Wimes  09/17/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a> 01/14/2013 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by Plaintiffs 01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order of Dismissal without Prejudice</a>
<b>District of Nebraska</b>  <b>Held: Complaint Dismissed Without Prejudice</b>  <b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<i>State of Nebraska v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Services.</i> , No. 4:12-cv-03035, Judge Warren K. Urbom  02/23/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a> 04/30/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants 04/30/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</a> 05/24/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a> 06/13/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a> 07/17/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss</a>  07/17/2012 – Judgment entered against Plaintiffs, Complaint dismissed without prejudice 09/14/2012 – Notice of Appeal 09/25/2012 – Scheduling Letter as to Notice of Appeal 08/21/2013 – 8th Circuit Order
<b>8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>  <b>Held: Case Dismissed Without Prejudice</b>	<i>State of Nebraska v. U.S. Dep’t of HHS</i> , No. 12-3238  09/25/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 4:12-cv-03035) 11/05/2012 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a> 11/14/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obst. & Gyn., et al. 11/14/2012 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum Education & Legal Defense Fund 01/30/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a> 02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Proceedings</a> by Appellants 02/19/2013 – <a href="#">Response with No Opp. to Stay</a> 08/08/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Appellants 08/21/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Dismiss by Appellants 08/21/2013 – Mandate issued
<b>Eastern District of New York</b>  <b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of</b>	<i>Priests for Life v. Sebelius</i> No. 1:12-cv-00753, Judge Frederic Block  02/05/2012 – Complaint 04/23/2012 – Motion for pre-motion conference by Defendants 05/14/2012 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a> 05/15/2012 – Order granting and scheduling pre-motion

<p><b>Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p>conference</p> <p>09/12/2012 – Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO)/Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiff</p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of TRO/Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to TRO/Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/09/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of TRO/Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/18/2012 – <a href="#">Notice of Filing of Supplemental Declaration of Danielle Jones for Plaintiff (re: Accommodation)</a></p> <p>12/19/2012 – Notice by Defendant re: Supplemental Declaration</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Scheduling Order</p> <p>12/21/2012 – <a href="#">Stipulation of the Parties as to Injunctive Relief (stipulating that Plaintiff qualifies for temporary enforcement safe harbor)</a></p> <p>12/21/2012 – Order electronically endorsing Stipulation by the parties as to Injunctive Relief</p> <p>01/08/2013 – Electronic Order declaring motion for TRO as moot based on stipulation by the parties</p> <p>04/12/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of New York</b></p> <p><b>Held: Motions for Summary Judgment Granted in Part, Denied in Part</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 2d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archdiocese of New York v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:12-cv-02542, Judge Brian M. Cogan</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</a></p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/05/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. and Order granting Defendants Motion to Dismiss in part and denying in part. Claims of Diocese and Catholic Charities dismissed for lack of standing. Defendants' motion otherwise denied.</a></p> <p>01/08/2013 – Minute Entry for Status Conference held on 01/07/2013. Defendants shall produce documents on a rolling basis, and depositions may be taken between Mar. 25, 2013 and Aug. 9, 2013. Motions for Summary Judgment must be filed by Aug. 16, 2013.</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Motion and Mem. in Support for Reconsideration of Order on Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</a></p> <p>01/23/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Reconsideration of Order on Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff's respond due by 02/01/2013.</p>

	<p>02/01/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Answer to Complaint by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion to Halt Proceedings and Dismiss case by Defendants</a></p> <p>04/24/2013 – Order Granting Emergency Motion in part--all proceedings and discovery stayed pending completion of Defendant agency's current rulemaking proceedings. Defendant shall provide status updates on rulemaking every 60 days.</p> <p>04/25/2013 – <a href="#">Letter in response to Emergency Motion by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>06/24/2013 – <a href="#">Status Report</a></p> <p>07/12/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs' Letter re Final Rules</a></p> <p>07/12/2013 – <a href="#">Defendant's Letter re Final Rules</a></p> <p>07/25/2013 – Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration as Moot Without Prejudice</p> <p>08/14/2013 – Amendment Complaint</p> <p>08/14/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/11/2013 – Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>09/11/2013 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>09/26/2013 – Mem. in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/18/2013 – Mem. in Opp. to Mot. for Summary Judgment by Defendants</p> <p>11/01/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Defendants</p> <p>11/15/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>11/22/2013 – Sur-reply in Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendants</p> <p>11/26/2013 – Response to Sur-reply by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/10/2013 – Request for Temporary Restraining Order by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/11/2013 – Response to Request for Temporary Restraining Order by Defendants</p> <p>12/26/2013 – Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motions for Summary Judgment</p> <p>02/11/2014 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p>
--	---

<p><b>2d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Archdiocese of New York v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-427</p> <p>02/13/2014 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants  05/27/2014 – Brief on behalf of Appellant.  06/03/2014 – Parties added (28).  06/03/2014 – Motion to File Amicus Curiae Brief in support of Appellants, on behalf of National Women’s Law Center, American Association of University Women, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Ibis Reproductive Health, Legal Momentum, et al.  06/04/2014 – <a href="#">Motion order, referring motion to file amicus curiae brief to the panel to determine the appeal.</a>  06/04/2014 – Parties added (17), included NHeLP  06/05/2014 – Motion to file amicus curiae brief, on behalf of NHeLP, California Women’s Law Center, HIV Law Project, et. al.  06/06/2014 – Motion order, referring motion to file amicus curiae brief to the panel to determine the appeal.  01/06/2015 – Motion order granting motion to file amicus curiae brief filed by Movant Local 32BJ-Service Employees International Union et al.  01/06/2015 – Motion order granting motion to file amicus curiae brief filed by movant New York Civil Liberties Union et al.  01/06/2015 – Motion order granting The Association of American Physicians &amp; Surgeons and seven other organizations motion to file amicus curiae brief  01/06/2015 – <a href="#">Motion order granting motion to file amicus curiae brief filed by NHeLP et al.</a>  01/22/2015 – Case heard</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Ohio</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Franciscan Univ. of Steubenville v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-00440, assigned to Judge Algenon L. Marbley, referred to Magistrate Judge Mark R. Abel</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with Jury Demand</a>  08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants and Mem. in Support</a>  08/17/2017 – Motion to Stay Discovery by Defendants  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  09/07/2012 – Response in Opp. to Motion to Stay Discovery  09/21/2012 – Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Discovery  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  10/08/2012 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice</p>

	<p>Supporting Plaintiff</p> <p>10/12/2012 – <a href="#">Motion and Mem. of Support for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction filed by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>10/12/2012 – Motion to Consolidate Pending Motions</p> <p>10/16/2012 – Order of Recusal signed by Judge George C Smith</p> <p>11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Response Opposing Consolidation and in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction filed by Defendants</a></p> <p>11/14/2012 – Order of Recusal by Judge Edmund A Sargus. Case reassigned to Judge Algenon L. Marbley</p> <p>11/16/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Permanent Injunction by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Oklahoma</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Supreme Court’s decision in <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Hobby Lobby Stores Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 5:12-cv-01000, Judge Joe Heaton</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Opening Brief in Support by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/11/2012 – Unopposed Motion to File Amicus Brief by Dan Burton, Daniel R Coats, Thad Cochran, Mike Crapo, Charles Grassley, Orrin G Hatch, Wally Herger, James M Inhofe, Donald Manzullo, Mitch McConnell, John Mica, Pat Roberts, Richard Shelby, Lamar Smith</p> <p>10/15/2012 – Order denying various currently serving Members of Congress’ Motion for leave to file an amicus brief</p> <p>10/22/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/29/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/01/2012 – Minute Entry hearing held on Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>11/19/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/19/2012 – Notice of Appeal by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/10/2012 – Joint Motion to Stay case Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/12/2012 – Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/20/2012 – Tenth Cir. Ct. App. Order Denying Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>06/27/2013 – Mandate Issued from 10th Cir. Ct. App.</p> <p>06/27/2013 – Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>06/28/2013 – Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Temporary Restraining Order</p>

	<p>07/19/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/17/2013 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>09/20/2013 – Notice of Petition for Writ of Cert.</p> <p>09/23/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay Case</p> <p>09/24/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Case</p> <p>09/26/2013 – Order of USCA granting unopposed motion to hold appeal in abeyance</p> <p>11/26/2013 – Order continuing abatement</p> <p>01/10/2014 – USCA letter response to request for a certiorari record</p> <p>05/14/2014 – Order of USCA continuing abatement</p> <p>06/30/2014 – Opinion letter re Supreme Court Number: 13-354</p> <p>09/04/2014 – Order of USCA dismissing case as to notice of appeal filed by defendants</p> <p>09/04/2014 – USCA mandate issued dismissing case</p> <p>09/09/2014 – Civil Status Conference Docket: Scheduling conference set for 10/7/2014</p> <p>09/26/2014 – Joint Motion to strike docket status hearing by all plaintiffs</p> <p>09/29/2014 – Order granting the parties’ joint motion for order striking status conference</p> <p>10/17/2014 – <a href="#">Status report jointly filed</a></p> <p>10/20/2014 – Order directing parties to file by 10/24/2014 a further joint status report setting out their respective positions as to the judgment to be entered</p> <p>10/24/2014 – <a href="#">Status report jointly filed</a></p> <p>11/19/2014 – <a href="#">Order issued in light of Supreme Court’s decision in <i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i></a></p> <p>01/06/2015 – First motion for extension of time for fees and bill of costs by Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</p> <p>01/07/2015 – Order granting plaintiffs’ unopposed motion for extension of deadline no later than 2/19/2015</p>
<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Reversed District Court’s denial of</b></p>	<p><i>Hobby Lobby Stores v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-6294</p> <p>11/20/2012 – Appeal docketed (from No. 5:12-cv-01000)</p> <p>11/20/2012 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellants for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>11/30/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/03/2012 – <a href="#">Reply to Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p>



<p><b>Preliminary Injunction, remanded for further consideration</b></p> <p><b>Petition for Writ. Of Cert. Granted by S. Ct.</b></p>	<p>12/20/2012 – <a href="#">Order denying Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/07/2013 – Motion by Appellees to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>01/10/2013 – Response to Motion to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>01/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to consolidate related Appeals</p> <p>02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a></p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Foundation</p> <p>02/15/2013 – Amicus Brief by American Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice</p> <p>02/18/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bioethics Defense Fund, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by State of Oklahoma</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Archdiocese of Oklahoma City</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by National Legal Foundation, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by W. Thomas Frerking &amp; Missouri Roundtable for Life</p> <p>02/19/2013 – Amicus Brief by Eagle Forum, et al.</p> <p>02/20/2013 – Amicus Brief by United States Justice Foundation</p> <p>02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Sen. Orrin G. Hatch, et al.</p> <p>02/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ass’n of Christian Schools Int’l, et al.</p> <p>03/07/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellants to expedite case</a></p> <p>03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Medical Women’s Ass’n, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program, et al.</a></p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Ass’n of Univ. Women, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ams. United for Separation of Church &amp; State, et al.</p> <p>03/25/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Public Health Ass’n, et al.</p> <p>03/29/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant’s Reply Brief</a></p> <p>04/22/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief by Appellees</a></p> <p>04/22/2013 – <a href="#">Supplemental Brief by Appellants</a></p> <p>05/23/2013 – Oral Argument</p> <p>06/27/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion, District Court Reversed and Remanded</a></p> <p>09/20/2013 – Petition for Writ of Cert. by Defendants</p> <p>11/26/2013 – Order Granting Cert.</p> <p>08/01/2014 – <a href="#">Supreme court judgment filed 08/01/2014</a></p>
--	--

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>U.S. Supreme Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Affirming judgment of the 10<sup>th</sup> Cir. and holding that, as applied to closely held corporations, HHS regulations imposing contraceptive coverage requirement violate RFRA</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sebelius v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. et al</i>, No. 13-354</p> <p>09/19/2013 – Petition for Writ. of Cert.  10/21/2013 – Brief by Respondents  10/21/2013 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Ass’n of Gospel Rescue Missions  10/21/2013 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Ovarian Cancer Gospel Rescue Mission  10/21/2013 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Constitutional Accountability Center  10/21/2013 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health  10/21/2013 – Amicus Curiae Brief by California  10/21/2013 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Am. Jewish Comm.  09/05/2013 – Reply Brief by Petitioners  11/26/2013 – Petition for Writ. of Cert. Granted. Case Consolidated with <i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-356  01/10/2014 – Brief by Petitioners  01/23/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Pacific Legal Found.  01/24/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Azusa Pacific Univ.  01/24/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Ctr. for Constitutional Jurisprudence  01/24/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Reproductive Research Audit  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Nat’l Religious Broadcasters  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Senators Orrin G. Hatch, et al.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Democrats for Life of Am. et al.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Michigan, et al.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Drury Development Corp., et al.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Nat’l Ass’n of Evangelicals, et al.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Am. Freedom Law Ctr.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Texas Black Ams. for Life  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Hon. Daniel H. Branch  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Church-State Scholars Frederick Mark Gedicks, et al.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Freedom from Religion Found., et al.  01/27/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by State of Oklahoma  01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Liberty, Life, &amp; Law Found., et al.</p>
---	---

	<p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Beverly Lahaye Institute, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by 67 Catholic Theologians and Ethicists</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Women Speak for Themselves</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Cato Inst.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Knights of Columbus, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Guttmacher Inst. and Professor Sara Rosenbaum</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Am. Jewish Comm.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Religious Organizations</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by 91 Members of the U.S. House of Reps.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Am. Coll. Of Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Foreign &amp; Comparative Law Professors</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Corp. &amp; Criminal Law Professors</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Cal.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Nat’l League of Cities, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Ovarian Cancer Nat’l Alliance, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Lamda Legal Defense &amp; Educ. Fund, Inc., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Family Research Council</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Liberty Inst.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Inst., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by 9 Academic Institutions, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by C 12 Group, LLC</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Nat’l Jewish Commission on Law &amp; Pub. Affairs (COPLA), et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Liberty Univ. &amp; Liberty Counsel</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Brennan Ctr. for Justice at N.Y.U. School of Law</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Constitutional Accountability Ctr.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by U.S. Senators Murray, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Catholic Med. Ass’n</p>
--	---

	<p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Am. Civil Rights Union</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Mass. Citizens for Life</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Council for Christian Colls. &amp; Univs., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Christian Booksellers Ass’n, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Eagle Forum Educ. &amp; Legal Defense Fund, Inc., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Found. For Moral Law in Support</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, Inc., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Rutherford Inst.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Ethics &amp; Public Policy Ctr.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by U.S. Senators Ted Cruz, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Judicial Watch</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by 38 Protestant Theologians, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Electric Mirror, LLC, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by American Center for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Thomas More Law Ctr.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by John A. Ryan Institute for Catholic</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Social Thought</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Judicial Education Project</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Constitutional Law Scholars</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Jewish Social Policy Action Network</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Independent Women's Forum</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Historians and Legal Scholars</p> <p>01/28/2014 – <a href="#">Amici Curiae Brief by National Health Law Program, et al.</a></p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Professor Emeritus of Law Charles</p> <p style="text-align: center;">E. Rice, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Freedom X, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by J.E. Dunn Construction Group, Inc.,</p>
--	---

	<p style="text-align: center;">et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by The Center for Inquiry, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by David Boyle</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Christian Legal Society, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by 88 Members of Congress</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Julian Bond, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by The International Conference of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Evangelical Chaplain Endorsers</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Women's Public Policy Groups, et</p> <p style="text-align: center;">al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by Honorable Joseph B. Scarnati III, et</p> <p style="text-align: center;">al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amici Curiae Brief by</p> <p>02/10/2014 – Brief by Respondents</p> <p>03/12/2014 – Reply by Petitioners</p> <p>03/25/2014 – Oral argument held</p> <p>06/30/2014 – <a href="#">United States Supreme Court holding</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: On remand, in light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</b></p>	<p><i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-06744, Judge Mitchell S. Goldberg</p> <p>12/04/2012 – Complaint</p> <p>12/07/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</p> <p>12/08/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/19/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</a></p> <p>12/28/2012 – <a href="#">Order</a> temporarily restraining from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiff for two weeks. Evidentiary hearing and oral argument on Motion for Preliminary Injunction set for 01/04/2013.</p> <p>01/02/2013 – Amicus Brief of ACLU and ACLU of Pennsylvania in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>01/11/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/14/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>01/15/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion to Stay Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>01/17/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>08/14/2013 – Mandate of 3d Cir. Ct. of App.</p>

	<p>08/22/2013 – Mandate of 3d Cir. Ct. of App.  07/28/2014 – Motion for prelim. Inj. Filed by plaintiffs  07/30/2014 – Brief in support of Amended motion for preliminary injunction filed by plaintiff  08/01/2014 – <a href="#">Order of USCA that mandate issued on 8/22/2013 is recalled in light of SCOTUS decision vacating judgment of this court and remanding the matter for further proceedings</a>  08/06/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Supplemental Authority by plaintiff</a>  08/06/2014 – <a href="#">Response to amended motion for prelim inj. Filed by def.</a>  08/14/2014 – <a href="#">Order that def. are preliminarily enjoined from enforcing the contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</a>  09/15/2014 – <a href="#">Notice by Def.</a>  09/15/2014 – <a href="#">Response to notice of consent by plaintiff</a>  09/16/2014 – <a href="#">Order that defense counsel shall submit a brief on the drafted proposed language for perm. Inj. On or before 9/26/14</a>  09/26/2014 – <a href="#">Brief addressing court’s proposed perm. Inj. filed by def.</a>  09/26/2014 – <a href="#">USCA order that judgment of district court entered on 1/11/2013 is affirmed</a>  09/30/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant’s Reply Brief</a>  10/02/2014 – <a href="#">Order that def. are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Reversed and Remanded to U.S. Dist. Ct. for ED of PA in light of SCOTUS decision</b></p>	<p><i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sec’y of DHHS</i>, No. 13-1144</p> <p>01/17/2013 – Appeal docketed (from No. 12-cv-06744)  01/22/2013 – <a href="#">Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellant</a>  01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  01/29/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  02/07/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Expedited Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a>  02/19/2013 – Motion to Expedite Consideration  02/20/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Motion to Expedite Consideration</a>  03/05/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Unopposed motion for Expedited Briefing Schedule</a>  03/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Opening Brief</a>  03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life &amp; Law Found.</p>

	<p>03/21/2013 – Amicus Brief by Daniel R. Coats, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by U.S. Justice Found.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by New Jersey Family Policy Council</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Inst.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Bart Stupak &amp; Democrats for Life of America</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of Ass’n of Christian Schools, et al.</p> <p>03/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of Bradley P. Jacob, et al.</p> <p>04/15/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Brief</a></p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ctr. for Reproductive Rights, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Physicians for Reproductive Health, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by National Health Law Program, et al.</a></p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief of National Women’s Law Center, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief for Am. Civil Liberties Union, et al.</p> <p>04/22/2013 – Amicus Brief by Ams. United for Separation of Church &amp; State</p> <p>04/23/2013 – Amicus Brief by Lambda Legal Def. &amp; Educ. Fund</p> <p>04/30/2013 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Reply Brief</a></p> <p>05/30/2013 – Oral Argument</p> <p>07/26/2013 – Order Affirming District Court’s Opinion Denying Appellant’s Motion for Prelim. Injunction</p> <p>08/14/2013 – Order Denying Petition for Rehearing En Banc</p> <p>08/20/2013 – Order Denying Motion to Stay Mandate</p> <p>09/20/2013 – Petition for Writ of Cert. filed by Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp.</p> <p>11/26/2013 – Notice from S.Ct. re Petition for Writ of Cert. Granted</p> <p>06/30/2014 – <a href="#">Notice from S.Ct. reversing and remanding decision of the Third Circuit</a></p> <p>08/01/2014 – <a href="#">Order recalling the mandate issued on 8/22/13 in light of U.S. Supreme Court’s decision vacating the judgment of this court and remanding for further proceedings</a></p> <p>08/05/2014 – <a href="#">In light of S.Ct mandate, order reversing and remanding to U.S. dist. Ct. for ED of PA for proceedings consistent with SCOTUS opinion</a></p>
--	---



<p style="text-align: center;"><b>U.S. Supreme Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Judgment of 3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. is Reversed and case is remanded because, as applied to closely held corporations, HHS regulations imposing contraceptive coverage requirement violate RFRA</b></p>	<p><i>Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sec’y of DHHS</i>, No. 13-356</p> <p>09/19/2013 – Petition for Writ of Cert. filed</p> <p>10/11/2013 – Brief Amicus Curiae by Ctr. for Constitutional Jurisprudence</p> <p>10/20/2013 – Brief by Respondents</p> <p>10/21/2013 – Brief by Michigan, Ohio, and 16 Other States et al.</p> <p>10/21/2013 – Brief by Ass’n of Gospel Rescue Missions, et al.</p> <p>10/20/2013 – Brief by Ass’n of Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, et al.</p> <p>10/21/2013 – Brief by Am. Jewish Comm.</p> <p>04/04/2013 – Reply by Petitioners</p> <p>04/26/2013 – Petition for a Writ of Cert. Granted</p> <p>01/10/2014 – Brief by Petitioners</p> <p>01/23/2014 – Brief by Pac. Legal Found., et al.</p> <p>01/23/2014 – Brief by Tri Valley Law, PC</p> <p>01/24/2014 – Brief by Azusa Pac. Univ., et al.</p> <p>01/24/2014 – Brief by Ctr. for Const. Jurisprudence, et al.</p> <p>01/24/2014 – Brief by Reproductive Research Audit</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Senators Orrin G. Hatch, et al.</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Democrats for Life of America and Bart Stupak</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Michigan, Ohio, and 18 Other States</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Drury Development Corp., et al.</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Nat’l Ass’n of Evangelicals</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Am. Freedom Law Ctr.</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Tex. Black Americans for Life, et al.</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Hon. Daniel H. Branch</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Church-State Scholars Frederick Mark Gedicks, et al.</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation, et al.</p> <p>01/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Free Speech for People, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Beverly Lahaye Institute and Janice Shaw Crouse, Ph.D.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by 67 Catholic Theologians and Ethicists</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Women Speak for Themselves</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by U.S. Conference of Catholic</p>
--	---

	<p style="text-align: center;">Bishops</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Cato Inst.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Eberle Commc’ns Group, Inc., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Knights of Columbus</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by U.S. Women's Chamber of Commerce, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Guttmacher Inst. and Professor Sara Rosenbaum</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Am. Jewish Comm., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Religious Orgs.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Nat’l Women’s Law Ctr., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by 91 Members of the U.S. House of Reps.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by ACOG</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Foreign and Comparative Law Experts Lawrence O. Gostin, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Corp. &amp; Criminal Law Professors</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Cal., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Nat’l League of Cities, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Brennan Ctr. for Justice at N.Y.U. School of Law</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Ovarian Cancer Nat’l Alliance</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Lamda Legal Defense &amp; Educ. Fund</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Family Research Council</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Liberty Inst.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Breast Cancer Prevention Inst., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by 9 Academic Institutions, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by C12 Group, LLC</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Nat’l Jewish Comm’n on Law &amp; Pub. Affairs, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Liberty Univ. &amp; Liberty Counsel</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Constitutional Accountability Ctr.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Mass. Citizens for Life, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by U.S. Senators Murray, et al.</p>
--	---

	<p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Christian Coll. &amp; Universities, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Christian Booksellers Ass’n, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Eagle Forum Educ. &amp; Legal Defense Fund, Inc., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Found. for Moral Law</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Am. Physicians &amp; Surgeons, Inc. et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Rutherford Inst.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Ethics &amp; Pub. Policy Ctr.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Const. Law Scholars</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by U.S. Senators, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Church of the Lukumi Bbalu Aye, Inc., et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by 38 Protestant Theologians, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Electric Mirror, LLC</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Thomas More Law Ctr.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by John A. Ryan Inst. For Catholic Social Thought</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Judicial Educ. Project</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Jewish Social Policy Action Network</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Indep. Women’s Forum</p> <p>01/28/2014 – <a href="#">Amicus Curiae Brief by Nat’l Health Law Program, et al.</a></p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Professor Emeritus of Law Charles E. Rice, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Freedom X, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by J.E. Dunn Constr. Group, Inc.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Ctr. for Inquiry, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by David Boyle</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Christian Legal Soc’y</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Julian Bond, et cl.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Int’l Evangelical Chaplain Endorsers</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Women’s Pub. Policy Groups, et al.</p> <p>01/28/2014 – Amicus Curiae Brief by Honorable Joseph B. Scarnati III, et al.</p>
--	---

	<p>02/10/2014 – Brief by Respondents  03/12/2014 – Reply by Petitioners  03/25/2014 – Oral Argument Held  06/30/2014 – <a href="#">United States Supreme Court Decision</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Persico v. Sebelius</i>, No. 12-cv-00123, Judge Sean J. McLaughlin</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss by Defendants  08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  08/24/2012 – Amicus Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al.  08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss by Plaintiffs</a>  08/31/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Ass’n of Pittsburgh Priests, et al.  09/04/2012 – Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions by Plaintiffs  09/04/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions</a>  09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  10/16/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunction</a>  11/02/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions</a>  01/22/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Permanent Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 3rd Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Persico v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-00303, Judge Arthur J. Schwab</p> <p>10/08/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  10/08/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Motion and Memorandum for Expedited Preliminary Injunction</a>  10/09/2013 – Notice that Instant Civil Action has been Designated for Placement in United States District Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Program  10/22/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a>  10/29/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/05/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Brief re: Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/08/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment and Brief in Support Thereof</a>  11/13/2013 – Exemption of Case from ADR Program  11/14/2013 – <a href="#">Proposed Order re: Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or For Summary</a></p>

	<p style="text-align: center;"><a href="#">Judgment</a></p> <p>11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Supplemental Brief in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Brief in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/21/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/13/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Unopposed Motion for Permanent Injunction by Plaintiffs and Brief in Support Thereof</a></p> <p>12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of Non-opposition</a></p> <p>12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Unopposed Motion for Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>02/11/2014 – Notice of Appeal as to Order on Motion for Permanent Injunction</p>
<p><b>Western District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Most Reverend David A. Zubik, Bishop of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Pittsburgh v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:12-cv-00676, Judge Terrence F. McVerry</p> <p>05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/06/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</p> <p>08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/30/2012 – Amicus Brief of American Center for Law &amp; Justice in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>08/31/2012 – Amicus Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Ass’n of Pittsburgh Priests, et al.</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Motion for Preliminary and Permanent Injunction</p> <p>09/21/2012 – Motion for Rule 65(a)(2) Consolidated Trial and Preliminary Injunction Hearing</p> <p>09/21/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motions for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>09/24/2012 – Brief in Opp. to Motion for Scheduling Order or Trial Setting Conference filed by Defendants</p> <p>09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>09/25/2012 – Reply Brief to Motion for Scheduling Order or Trial Setting Conference filed by Catholic Charities et al.</p> <p>09/25/2012 – Brief in Support of Motion for Scheduling Order or Trial Setting Conference filed by Catholic Charities et al.</p> <p>10/01/2012 – <a href="#">Sur-Reply Brief to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/15/2012 – <a href="#">Brief in Opp. to Motion for Consolidated Trial, Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>10/25/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Brief to Motions for Consolidated Trial,</a></p>

	<p><a href="#">Preliminary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction</a>  11/27/2012 – <a href="#">Order and Mem. Opinion granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a>  01/23/2013 – Notice of Appeal  07/23/2013 – 3d Cir. Ct. of App. Order</p>
<p><b>Western District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Permanent Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 3rd Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Zubik v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-01459, Judge Arthur J. Schwab</p> <p>10/08/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  10/08/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs' Motion and Memorandum for Expedited Preliminary Injunction</a>  10/09/2013 – Notice that Instant Civil Action has been Designated for Placement in United States District Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Program  10/22/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a>  10/29/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/08/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment and Brief in Support Thereof</a>  11/13/2013 – Exemption of Case from ADR Program  11/14/2013 – <a href="#">Proposed Order re: Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or For Summary Judgment</a>  11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Joint Supplemental Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants' Brief in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  11/21/2013 – <a href="#">Order and Opinion Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/13/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants' Brief In Support of Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment</a>  12/13/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment</a>  12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Unopposed Motion for Permanent Injunction by Plaintiffs and Brief in Support Thereof</a>  12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Notice of Non-opposition</a>  12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Unopposed Motion for Permanent Injunction</a>  02/11/2014 – Notice of Appeal as to Order on Motion for Permanent Injunction</p>

<p><b>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed</b></p>	<p><i>David Zubik v. HHS</i>, No. 13-1228</p> <p>01/25/2013 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 12–cv–00676)</p> <p>01/25/2013 – <a href="#">Clerk Order</a> advising of jurisdictional defect and possible dismissal and requesting party briefing</p> <p>02/05/2013 – <a href="#">Appellee Response to jurisdictional question</a></p> <p>02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Appellant Response to jurisdictional question</a></p> <p>02/08/2013 – Order Dismissing Case</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Clerk Order advising that it appears that it would not be appropriate to list the case for possible dismissal.</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Briefing notice issued.</p> <p>02/27/2013 – Order vacating briefing notice.</p> <p>07/23/2013 – Motion to Withdraw Case by the parties pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 42(b).</p> <p>07/23/2013 – Order dismissing case pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 42(b)</p>
<p><b>Middle District of Tennessee</b></p> <p><b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>The Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12–cv–00934, assigned to Judge Todd J. Campbell, referred to Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>09/12/2012 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/12/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion For Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>09/21/2012 – Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Hearing filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>09/24/2012 – Response in Opp. regarding Emergency Motion</p> <p>10/03/2012 – Order setting hearing to consider Motion for Preliminary Injunction on 11/15/2012</p> <p>10/04/2012 – Motion for Review regarding Order filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/09/2012 – Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants</p> <p>10/09/2012 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>10/22/2012 – Opp. to Motion for Review</p> <p>10/23/2012 – Order granting Motion for Review</p> <p>10/23/2012 – Order setting bench trial for 6/25/2013</p> <p>10/26/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/05/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/15/2012 – Minute Entry and Transcript of Motion Hearing</p> <p>11/21/2012 – <a href="#">Order granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>11/21/2012 – Judgment Entered</p> <p>12/19/2012 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>02/28/2013 – Order as to Notice of Appeal. Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss Appeal granted.</p>



<b>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>  <b>Held: Voluntarily Dismissed</b>	<i>The Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Kathleen Sebelius</i> , No. 12-6590  12/20/2012 – Appeal Docketed (from No. 3:12-cv-00934) 02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Motion by Appellant to Voluntarily Dismiss</a> 02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Letter Granting Voluntary Dismissal</a>
<b>Northern District of Texas</b>  <b>Held: Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b>	<i>Roman Catholic Diocese of Dallas v. Sebelius</i> , No. 3:12-cv-01589-B, Judge Jane J. Boyle  05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with Jury Demand</a> 08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction and Brief in Support by Defendants</a> 08/20/2012 – Motion to File Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiff on Behalf of the Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice and 79 Members of Congress 08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a> 09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply Supporting Motion to Dismiss</a> 09/28/2012 – Order denying without prejudice Am. Ctr. for Law & Justice Motion to Appear as Amicus Curiae 01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Order directing the Parties to submit additional Briefing by 02/08/2013.</a> 02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a> 02/08/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a> 02/26/2013 – <a href="#">Mem. Opinion and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss; Case Dismissed in its entirety.</a>
<b>Northern District of Texas</b>  <b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b>  <b>Appealed to 5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<i>Catholic Charities, Diocese of Fort Worth v. Sebelius</i> , No. 4:12-cv-00314-Y, Judge Terry R. Means  05/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with Jury Demand</a> 08/06/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction by Defendants</a> 08/27/2012 – <a href="#">Response to Motion to Dismiss</a> 09/24/2012 – <a href="#">Reply filed regarding Motion to Dismiss</a> 09/26/2012 – <a href="#">Amicus Brief by Am. Ctr. for Law &amp; Justice, et al. in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a> 01/31/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Dismiss</a> 02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Defendant’s Motion for Reconsideration or alternatively, for Permission to Appeal Immediately</a> 02/28/2013 – <a href="#">Answer to Complaint</a>

	<p>03/21/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>04/04/2013 – <a href="#">Reply by Defendants in Support of Motion for Reconsideration</a></p> <p>06/07/2013 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion to Reconsider or Alternatively to Certify for Immediate Appeal</a></p> <p>08/24/2013 – Amended Complaint</p> <p>10/04/2013 – Motion to Stay Proceedings in Light of Lapse of Appropriations by Defendants</p> <p>10/04/2013 – Response to Motion to Stay Proceedings by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/09/2013 – Motion for a Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff University of Dallas</p> <p>10/09/2013 – Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/16/2013 – Order Denying Motion for Stay</p> <p>11/05/2013 – Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment by Defendants</p> <p>11/14/2013 – Amici Curiae Br. by the ACLU</p> <p>11/26/2013 – Combined Response to Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment and Reply in Support of Motions for Preliminary Injunction and for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/11/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment by Defendants</p> <p>12/31/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>02/24/2014 – Notice of Interlocutory Appeal to the Fifth Circuit by Defendants</p> <p>04/01/2014 – Order Terminating Motion to Dismiss by Defendants and Motion for Summary Judgment by Plaintiff</p> <p>04/18/2014 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>05/02/2014 – <a href="#">Response to Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction</a></p> <p>05/09/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in support of motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>06/05/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>06/09/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Interlocutory Appeal</a> to the Fifth Circuit as to the Order on Motion for Injunction Order</p> <p>09/04/2014 – Order of USCA consolidated with No. 14-10661, 14-20112, 14-40212.</p> <p>09/24/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation of Dismissal of Plaintiffs Roman Catholic Diocese of Fort Worth and Our Lady of</a></p>
--	---

	<p><a href="#">Victory Catholic School</a></p> <p>09/30/2014 – <a href="#">Rule 54(b) Final Judgment dismissing plaintiffs’ claims against defendants without prejudice</a></p> <p>09/30/2014 – Given dismissal of lead plaintiff, the style of the cause is changed to “Catholic Charities, Diocese of Fort Worth, Inc.”</p> <p>10/24/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment of 5<sup>th</sup> Cir. dismissing appeal pursuant to appellee’s unopposed motion to dismiss the appeal as to Appellees Roman Catholic Diocese of Fort Worth and Our Lady of Victory School only</a></p>
<p><b>5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Consolidated with Cases Nos. 14-40212 and 14-20112</b></p>	<p><i>Roman Catholic Diocese of Fort Worth v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-10241</p> <p>02/28/2014 – Notice of Appeal filed by Defendants</p> <p>03/17/2014 – Motion to Consolidate Cases filed by Defendants</p> <p>03/31/2014 – Opposition to Motion to Consolidate Cases filed by Plaintiffs</p> <p>04/07/2014 – Reply in Support of Motion to Consolidate cases filed by Defendants</p> <p>04/28/2014 – Court order granting Appellants’ motion to consolidate appeal nos. 14-40212, 14-20112, and 14-10241; granting Appellants’ motion to file a single opening brief and single reply brief; ordering that Appellees may each file a separate brief and separate record excerpts in response to Appellant’s single brief; granting Appellant’s motion to have appeals argued before the same panel on the same day.</p> <p>04/28/2014 – Briefing suspended until the electronic record it complete.</p> <p>05/09/2014 – Briefing resumed.</p> <p>06/26/2014 – Opposed motion filed by Appellant; <a href="#">Appellant motion to consolidate cases 14-20112, 14-10241, 14-10661 for briefing and oral argument purposes.</a></p> <p>06/30/2014 – <a href="#">Response/Opposition filed by Appellee Westminster Theological Seminary to the motion to consolidate cases.</a></p> <p>07/02/2014 – <a href="#">Reply filed by Appellants to the response/opposition filed by Appellee Westminster.</a></p> <p>07/03/2014 – <a href="#">Response/opposition filed by East Texas Baptist University and Houston Baptist University in opposition to the motion to consolidate cases filed by Appellants.</a></p>

	<p>07/10/2014 – Opposed Motion filed by Appellant to extend time to file brief as appellant until 08/18/2014.</p> <p>07/11/2014 – <a href="#">Response/Opposition filed by East Texas Baptist University and Houston Baptist University in opposition to Appellant’s motion to extend time to file Appellant’s brief.</a></p> <p>07/14/2014 – <a href="#">Response/Opposition filed by Westminster Theological Seminary to the motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief.</a></p> <p>07/18/2014 – Court order granting motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief.</p> <p>07/21/2014 – Court Order granting motion to consolidate cases filed by Appellants; further ordering that appellees may file a separate brief and separate record excerpts in response to Appellant’s single brief; further ordering that Appellant’s motion to have appeals argued before the same panel on the same day is granted.</p> <p>12/01/2014 – Paper copies of amicus brief filed by American Association of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists et al.</p> <p>12/17/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant’s reply brief filed</a></p> <p>12/19/2014 – Letter of Advisement: updated caption issued due to typographical errors and misspellings.</p> <p>12/19/2014 – Brief made sufficient filed by appellants</p> <p>01/19/2015 – <a href="#">Court Order denying motion for hearing en banc filed by appellees</a></p> <p>01/28/2015 – Case tentatively calendared for oral argument for the week of 04/06/2015</p>
<p><b>Southern District of Texas</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to the 5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>East Texas Baptist Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 4:12-cv-03009, Judge Lee H. Rosenthal</p> <p>10/09/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>12/20/2012 – Minute Entry for proceedings held on 12/20/2012. Case is stayed and parties must submit status reports every 60 days. Status conference set for 04/01/2013.</p> <p>02/15/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Status Report</a></p> <p>02/18/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Status Report</a></p> <p>03/08/2013 – <a href="#">Opposed Motion to Intervene as Plaintiff by Westminster Theological Seminary</a></p> <p>03/28/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opp. to Motion to Intervene by Defendants</a></p>

	<p>04/15/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Status Report</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Status Report</a></p> <p>05/29/2013 – <a href="#">Westminster’s Response to Defendant’s Reply to the Court</a></p> <p>06/14/2013 – Status Report by Plaintiff</p> <p>06/17/2013 – Status Report by Defendant</p> <p>07/02/2013 – <a href="#">Status Report by Plaintiff in response to Final Rules</a></p> <p>07/30/2013 – Unopposed Motion to Lift Stay by Plaintiffs</p> <p>07/31/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Lift Stay by Plaintiffs</p> <p>08/02/2013 – Motion to Intervene by Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>08/02/2013 – Oral Argument on Motion to Intervene by Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>08/06/2013 – First Amendment Complaint by East Tex. Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>08/30/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Intervene by Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>09/03/2013 – Amended Complaint by Plaintiff Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>09/09/2013 – Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>09/20/2013 – Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment</p> <p>10/01/2013 – Motion to Stay Case in Light of Lapse of Appropriations by Defendants</p> <p>10/01/2013 – Opposition to Motion to Stay Proceedings in Light of Lapse of Appropriations by Plaintiffs East Tex. Baptist Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>10/03/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Proceedings</p> <p>10/11/2013 – Status Report by East Tex. Baptist Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>10/16/2013 – Motion to Lift Stay by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/17/2013 – Response to Motion to Lift Stay by Defendants</p> <p>10/17/2013 – Motion to Reset Preliminary Injunction Hearing by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/17/2013 – Response to Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment in Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>10/17/2013 – Rule 56(d) Motion by Plaintiffs East Tex. Baptist Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>10/17/2013 – Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment and Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p>
--	---

	<p>by East Tex. Baptist Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>10/18/2013 – Response to Motion to Reset Hearing on Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>10/18/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Reset Hearing on Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>10/21/2013 – Order Denying in Part Motion to Reset Hearing</p> <p>11/04/2013 – Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment</p> <p>11/07/2013 – Opposition to Rule 56(d) Motion by Defendants</p> <p>11/08/2013 – Surreply to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, or, in alternative, for Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs East Tex. Baptist Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>11/11/2013 – Oral Argument Held</p> <p>11/14/2013 – Post-Hearing Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>11/14/2013 – Post-Argument Mem. and Notice of Supp. Authority by Plaintiffs East Tex. Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>11/15/2013 – Supplemental Responses to Questions Posed During Hearing by Defendants</p> <p>11/15/2013 – Reply in Support of Rule 56(d) Motion by Plaintiffs East Tex. Baptist Univ. &amp; Houston Baptist Univ.</p> <p>11/20/2013 – Surreply in Opposition to Rule 56(d) Motion by Defendants</p> <p>11/22/2013 – Status Report by Plaintiff Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>11/27/2013 – Status Report by Plaintiff Westminster Theological Seminary</p> <p>12/27/2013 – Order Granting in Part Plaintiffs Motion for Summary Judgment and Denying Defendants Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment</p> <p>02/24/2014 – Notice of Appeal 5th Cir. Ct. of App. by Defendants</p> <p>07/15/2014 – Unopposed motion for Scott A. Keller to withdraw as Attorney by East Texas Baptist University</p> <p>07/23/2014 – Order granting motion to withdraw as attorney</p>
<p><b>5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>East Baptist Tex. Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-20112</p> <p>02/24/2014 – Case Docketed</p> <p>03/14/2014 – Petition for Hearing <i>en banc</i> by Appellants</p> <p>03/17/2014 – Motion to Consolidate Cases Nos. 14-10241,</p>

	<p>14-20112, and 14-40212 by Appellees</p> <p>03/31/2014 – Opposition to Motion to Consolidate by Appellees</p> <p>04/07/2014 – Reply in Support of Motion to Consolidate Cases by Appellants</p> <p>04/28/2014 – Order Granting Motion to Consolidate Cases by Appellants</p> <p>06/26/2014 – Opposed Motion filed by Appellants to Consolidate Cases 14-10661, 14-10241, 14-20112, 14-40212.</p> <p>06/30/2014 – Response/Opposition to motion to consolidate filed by Westminster Theological Seminary.</p> <p>07/02/2014 – Reply filed by Appellants to the response filed by Appellee Westminster Theological Seminary.</p> <p>07/03/2014 – Response in opposition to the motion to consolidate cases by East Texas Baptist University and Houston Baptist University.</p> <p>07/10/2014 – Motion filed by appellants to extend time to file brief as appellant until 08/18/2014.</p> <p>07/11/2014 – Response in opposition to the motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief filed by East Texas Baptist University and Houston Baptist University.</p> <p>07/14/2014 – Response in opposition to the motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief filed by Westminster Theological Seminary.</p> <p>07/18/2014 – Court order granting motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief.</p> <p>07/21/2014 – Court order granting motion to consolidate cases filed by appellants; further ordering that appellees may file a separate brief and separate record excerpts in response to Appellant’s single brief; further ordering that Appellant’s motion to have appeals argued before the same panel on the same day is granted.</p> <p>12/01/2014 – Paper copies of amicus brief filed by American Association of Pro-Life Obstetricians &amp; Gynecologists et al.</p> <p>12/17/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant’s reply brief filed</a></p> <p>12/19/2014 – Letter of Advisement: updated caption issued due to typographical errors and misspellings.</p> <p>12/19/2014 – Brief made sufficient filed by appellants</p> <p>01/19/2015 – <a href="#">Court Order denying motion for hearing en banc filed by appellees</a></p> <p>01/28/2015 – Case tentatively calendared for oral argument for the week of 04/06/2015</p>
--	--



<b>5th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<i>Catholic Diocese of Beaumont v. Kathleen Sebelius</i> , No. 14-40212
	03/03/2014 – Case Docketed
	03/17/2014 – Motion to Consolidate Case Nos. 14-10241, 14-20112, and 14-40212 by Appellants
	03/31/2014 – Opposition to Motion to Consolidate by Appellees
	04/07/2014 – Reply in Support of Motion to Consolidate Cases by Appellants
	04/28/2014 – Order Granting Motion to Consolidate Cases by Appellants
	06/26/2014 – Opposed Motion filed by Appellants to Consolidate Cases 14-10661, 14-10241, 14-20112, 14-40212.
	06/30/2014 – Response/Opposition to motion to consolidate filed by Westminster Theological Seminary.
	07/02/2014 – Reply filed by Appellants to the response filed by Appellee Westminster Theological Seminary.
	07/03/2014 – Response in opposition to the motion to consolidate cases by East Texas Baptist University and Houston Baptist University.
	07/10/2014 – Motion filed by appellants to extend time to file brief as appellant until 08/18/2014.
	07/11/2014 – Response in opposition to the motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief filed by East Texas Baptist University and Houston Baptist University.
	07/14/2014 – Response in opposition to the motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief filed by Westminster Theological Seminary.
	07/18/2014 – Court order granting motion to extend time to file appellant’s brief.
	07/21/2014 – Court order granting motion to consolidate cases filed by appellants; further ordering that appellees may file a separate brief and separate record excerpts in response to Appellant’s single brief; further ordering that Appellant’s motion to have appeals argued before the same panel on the same day is granted.
12/01/2014 – Paper copies of amicus brief filed by American Association of Pro-Life Obstetricians & Gynecologists et al.	
12/17/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant’s reply brief filed</a>	
12/19/2014 – Letter of Advisement: updated caption issued	

	<p>due to typographical errors and misspellings.  12/19/2014 – Brief made sufficient filed by appellants  01/19/2015 – <a href="#">Court Order denying motion for hearing en banc filed by appellees</a>  01/28/2015 – Case tentatively calendared for oral argument for the week of 04/06/2015</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Texas</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Dismissed Without Prejudice for Lack of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p><i>Criswell Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 3:12-cv-04409, Judge David C. Godbey</p> <p>11/01/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint with jury demand</a>  01/07/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</a>  01/28/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Stay and Response in Opp. to Motion to Dismiss</a>  02/11/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss</a>  02/22/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Stay</a>  04/09/2013 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion to Dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Southern District of West Virginia</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction entered</b></p>	<p><i>Holland v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:13-cv-15487, Judge Thomas E. Johnston</p> <p>06/24/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  06/24/2013 – <a href="#">Motion</a> and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> for TRO  07/09/2013 – <a href="#">Memorandum in Opposition to TRO</a>  07/10/2013 – Brief of Amicus ACLU and ACLU of West Virginia  07/22/2013 – Motion to Withdraw Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction  07/22/2013 – Order Granting Motion to Withdraw Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction  07/23/2013 – Hearing on Preliminary Injunction Scheduled  07/26/2013 – Am. Complaint  08/23/2013 – Mem. in Support of Mot. to Dismiss Am. Complaint  09/08/2013 – Response to Mot. to Dismiss Am. Complaint  09/16/2013 – Reply in Support of Mot. to Dismiss Am. Complaint  12/10/2013 – Order Staying Pending the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in <i>Sebelius v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i>, No. 13354  06/30/2104 – Notice of Supplementary Authority by Joseph B. Holland.  07/23/2014 – <a href="#">Consent order granting preliminary injunction.</a>  12/09/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion for entry of injunction and judgment in light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i></a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Oklahoma</b></p>	<p><i>Catholic Benefits Association v. Burwell</i>, No., 5:14-cv-00685-R, Judge David L. Russell</p>

<p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction granted to Catholic Benefits Association members of Groups II and III because they are members that either 1- qualify for the religious accommodation as nonprofit religious employers or 2- are non-exempt closely-held corporations and thus do not qualify for the religious accommodation.</b></p>	<p>07/01/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  07/01/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Emergency TRO</a>  07/01/2014 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of TRO</a>  07/01/2014 – Order reassigning case to Judge David L. Russell  07/01/2014 – Order Granting TRO  07/02/2014 – Motion to Convert Emergency TRO to Preliminary Injunction  07/08/2014 – Order Extending Order on TRO until Court Rules On Conversion to Preliminary Injunction  07/17/2014 – Summons returned executed by Catholic Insurance Company, Inc.  07/17/2014 – Summons returned executed as to non-party for Summons &amp; complaint filed by plaintiffs  07/23/2014 – Unopposed motion for extension of time to File response/reply  07/24/2014 – Order granting motion for extension of time to File response/reply  08/13/2014 – Summons return executed by plaintiffs  09/08/2014 – Second motion for extension time to file Response/reply by all defendants  09/24/2014 – <a href="#">Unopposed motion for temporary restraining Order by all plaintiffs</a>  09/24/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting plaintiffs’ motion regarding Procedural issues and modification of TRO</a>  10/02/2014 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint against Def.</a>  10/03/2014 – Notice from Court: Amended complaint stricken For failure to Comply with ECF Policies &amp; Proc. Manual  10/03/2014 – <a href="#">Amended verified complaint</a>  10/03/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff Brief in support of motion for prelim. Inj.</a>  10/24/2014 – Unopposed motion for extension of time to file Response/reply by all def.  10/27/2014 – Order granting motion for extension of time to File response  10/31/2014 – <a href="#">Def. Response in opposition re: Motion for Prelim. Inj.</a>  11/03/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff Motion to strike Def. Opp. To Plaint. Motion re: prelim inj.</a>  11/04/2014 – <a href="#">Order denying motion to strike</a>  11/10/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff reply to def. response</a>  12/29/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting in part denying in part Motion for prelim. Injunction</a></p>
---	---

<p><b>Western District of Oklahoma</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted in Part and Denied in Part</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Catholic Benefits Association v. Burwell</i>, No. 5:14-cv-00240-R, Judge David L. Russell</p> <p>03/12/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/12/2014 – Mot. for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>04/02/2014 – Opp’n to Mot. for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>04/03/2014 – Amicus Brief by Am. Civil Liberties Union</p> <p>04/09/2014 – Unopposed Mot. to Continue Discovery and Certain Deadlines by Plaintiffs</p> <p>04/09/2014 – Reply in Support of Mot. for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>04/10/2014 – Ordering Granting Mot. to Continue Discovery and Certain Deadlines</p> <p>04/17/2014 – Mot. to Certify Class by Plaintiffs</p> <p>05/06/2014 – Opp’n to Mot. to Certify Class by Defendants</p> <p>05/08/2014 – Proceedings Held on Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>05/15/2014 – Reply in Supp. Mot. to Certify Class</p> <p>06/04/2014 – Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/18/2014 – Mot. to Amend/Correct Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Mot. for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>06/25/2014 – Opp’n to Motion to Amend/C Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Mot. for Preliminary Injunction by Defendants</p> <p>06/26/2014 – Order Denying Mot. to Amend Court’s Prior Order on Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>08/01/2014 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>08/14/2014 – Notice of Cross Appeal filed by Catholic Catholic Insurance Co. et al.</p> <p>10/09/2014 – <a href="#">10<sup>th</sup> Cir. Order granting def. motion to hold appeal in abeyance and denying plaintiffs motion for an inj. Pending appeal</a></p> <p>12/03/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to clarify plaintiffs’ uncontested motion for clarification of scope of prelim inj.</a></p> <p>12/03/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting motion for clarification of scope of prelim inj.</a></p> <p>12/30/2014 – <a href="#">Order re: Motion to certify class plaintiffs’ motion and memorandum for class cert; plaintiffs ordered to show cause why the Court should not defer consideration of class cert.</a></p> <p>01/20/2015 – <a href="#">Response to order to show cause</a></p>

<p><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Plaintiffs' motion for an injunction pending appeal is denied and granting defendants' motion to abate case pending resolution of 13-1540, 14-6026, 14-6028</b></p>	<p><i>Catholic Benefits Association v. Burwell</i>, No. 14-6163</p> <p>08/04/2014 – Civil Case Docketed (appeal from case No. No. 5:14-cv-00240-R)</p> <p>09/18/2014 – <a href="#">Motion filed by appellants</a></p> <p>09/18/2014 – <a href="#">Order filed- appellees/cross-appellants are directed to file a response to appellants/cross-appellees' motion to abate case due on 09/29/2014 for Catholic Benefits Association</a></p> <p>09/22/2014 – <a href="#">Motion filed by Appellants</a></p> <p>09/29/2014 – <a href="#">Motion filed by Appellees</a></p> <p>10/09/2014 – <a href="#">Order denying plaintiffs' motion for an injunction pending appeal and granting government defendants' motion to abate case</a></p>
<p><b>Middle District of Florida</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to the 11<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. App.</b></p>	<p><i>Ave Maria School of Law v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:13-cv-00795-SPC-DNF, assigned to Steven D. Merryday, referred to: Honorable James S. Moody, Jr.</p> <p>11/12/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>01/15/2014 – Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Complaint by All Defendants</p> <p>01/15/2014 – Endorsed Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Complaint by All Defendants</p> <p>02/12/2014 – Joint Motion for Miscellaneous Relief, Specifically for Briefing Schedule by All Defendants</p> <p>03/07/2014 – Notice of Pendency of Related Cases</p> <p>03/07/2014 – Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum in Support Thereof by All Defendants</p> <p>04/04/2014 – <a href="#">Cross Motion</a> for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Defendants' Motion</p> <p>05/02/2014 – <a href="#">Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>05/23/2014 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion re Cross Motion by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>06/12/2014 – Notice of supplemental authority by def.</p> <p>06/17/2014 – Notice of supplemental authority by def.</p> <p>06/18/2014 – Notice of supplemental authority by plaintiff</p>

	<p>07/02/2014 – Notice of supplemental authority by plaintiff  07/24/2014 – Order reassigning case to Honorable James S. Moody, Jr.  07/27/2014 – Order: parties have 30 days to file a motion for SJ  09/12/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for prelim. Inj. By all plaintiffs</a>  09/22/2014 – <a href="#">Def. Memorandum in opp. To prelim inj. Motion</a>  10/28/2014 – <a href="#">Order enjoining def. from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs</a>  12/23/2014 – Notice of appeal from def. as to order on motion for prelim. Inj.</p>
<p><b>Northern District of Iowa</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 8th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Dordt Coll. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 5:13-cv-04100, assigned to Judge Mark W. Bennett, referred to Magistrate Judge Leonard T. Strand</p> <p>10/23/2013 – Complaint  12/12/2013 – Unresisted Motion for Extension of Time to Respond by Defendants  12/12/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to Respond by Defendants  01/10/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a> for Failure to State a Claim or, in the alternative, Summary Judgment  01/10/2014 – Unresisted Motion for Leave to File Over length Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Summary Judgment  01/13/2014 – Order Granting Leave  01/21/2014 – Unresisted Motion for Extension of Time to File Response in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, by Plaintiffs  01/21/2014 – Order Granting Extension of Time to File Response  02/05/2014 – Joint Motion for Extension of Time by Plaintiffs  02/06/2014 – Amicus filed by Liberty, Life, and Law Foundation in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss  02/07/2014 – Unresisted Motion for Leave to File Over length Brief in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss  02/07/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Leave to File Overlength Brief in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss  02/07/2015 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</a>  04/18/2014 – Status Order staying ruling on Motion to Dismiss Until the resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i>  05/06/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a>  05/20/2014 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p>

	<p>05/21/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>07/18/2014 – Notice of Appeal as to Preliminary Injunction</p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Denying Plaintiff's motion for SJ with leave to reinstate within 10 days of the expiration of the period of appeal from the last decision rendered by 10<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App. In 14-6026, 13-1540, 14-6028.</b></p>	<p><i>Fellowship of Catholic University Students et al v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:13-cv-03263-MSK-KMT, referred to Magistrate Judge Kathleen M. Tafoya</p> <p>12/03/2013 – Complaint</p> <p>12/06/2013 – <a href="#">Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>01/15/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Partial Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>02/13/2014 – <a href="#">Defendants' Brief in Opposition to Motion for Partial</a></p> <p>02/17/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment by Defendants</a></p> <p>02/18/2014 – Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</p> <p>02/19/2014 – Order Granting Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</p> <p>03/10/2014 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>03/11/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>04/23/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>04/23/2014 – Order Staying Discovery Pending Hobby Lobby. The parties shall have 30 days after the Supreme Court issues its ruling in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> to file supplemental briefing with regard to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment by Plaintiffs</p> <p>04/23/2014 – Amicus filed by American Civil Liberties Union in Support of Motion to Dismiss</p> <p>07/16/2014 – <a href="#">Status Report by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>07/30/2014 – <a href="#">Brief in support of motion for partial SJ filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>07/30/2014 – Motion for extension of time to file supplemental brief</p> <p>09/15/2014 – <a href="#">Def. Brief in opposition to motion for partial SJ</a></p> <p>09/16/2014 – Order granting motion for ext. of time</p> <p>09/17/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff response to brief in opp.</a></p> <p>09/30/2014 – <a href="#">Order denying motion for partial SJ and motion to dismiss and directing filing of amended complaint</a></p> <p>10/22/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to amend complaint, by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/22/2014 – <a href="#">Amended complaint</a></p>



	<p>12/01/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for summary judgment by plaintiffs</a>  12/26/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion for SJ by defendants</a>  12/29/2014 – Order denying motion for SJ with leave to reinstate it within 10 days of the expiration of the period of appeal from the last decision rendered by the 10<sup>th</sup> Cir. in cases identified.</p>
<p><b>District of Columbia</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Case Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Bindon v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-01207, Judge Emmet G. Sullivan</p> <p>08/05/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  08/07/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Motion for and Memorandum in Support of Preliminary Injunction</a>  08/13/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Unopposed Motion to Stay Proceedings</a>  08/13/2013 – Defendants’ Notice of Non-Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction  08/13/2013 – Minute Order Granting Defendants’ Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Resolution of <i>Gilardi v. HHS (No. 13-5069)</i>.  08/14/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Motion to Stay  10/08/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of Consent to Injunction and Judgment by Defendants</a>  10/08/2014 – <a href="#">Response re: notice, and updated plaintiffs’ recommendation</a>  10/08/2014 – Minute Order directing parties to identify specific language in a proposed permanent injunction  10/29/2014 – <a href="#">Memorandum filed by Def.</a>  10/29/2014 – <a href="#">Supplemental memorandum filed by plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of</b></p>	<p><i>Stewart v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-01879, Judge Randolph D. Moss</p> <p>11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  02/28/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Proceedings Pending Supreme Court Resolution of Hobby Lobby and Conestoga</a>  04/03/2014 – Order Granting Preliminary Injunction and Staying Proceedings  11/19/2014 – Case randomly reassigned to Judge Randolph D. Moss  12/08/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of proposed order and judgment</a></p>

<p><b>plaintiffs on their RFRA claim.</b></p>	
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p>	<p><i>March for Life v. Burwell</i>, No. 1:14-cv-01149-RJL, Judge Richard J. Leon</p> <p>07/07/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>09/02/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for prelim. Inj. And consolidated trial on the merits under FRCP 65(a)(2) filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>09/23/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to dismiss, or, in the alternative, motion for summary judgment filed by defendants</a></p> <p>09/23/2014 – <a href="#">Def. Memorandum in opposition re: motion for prelim inj.</a></p> <p>10/07/2014 – Plaintiff Memorandum in opposition re: motion to dismiss</p> <p>10/07/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff reply to opposition re: motion for prelim inj.</a></p> <p>10/21/2014 – <a href="#">Def. Reply to plaintiff opposition re: motion to dismiss</a></p> <p>10/23/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff notice of supplemental authority</a></p> <p>11/17/2014 – Def. notice of supplemental authority</p> <p>11/17/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff response re: notice of sup. authority</a></p> <p>11/21/2014 – <a href="#">Affidavit re: Motion for prelim inj.</a></p> <p>12/10/2014 – <a href="#">Def. supplemental memorandum re: motion to dismiss</a></p> <p>12/10/2014 – <a href="#">Plaintiff supplemental memorandum re: motion to dismiss</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Virginia</b></p> <p><b>Held: Denying plaintiff's motion to preliminarily enjoin defendants from fining plaintiffs for any violation of contraceptive coverage mandate</b></p>	<p><i>Media Research Center v. Sebelius</i>, No. 14-CV-00379, referred to Magistrate Judge Ivan D. Davis</p> <p>04/11/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>04/30/2014 – <a href="#">Motion</a> for and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> in Support of Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>05/07/2014 – Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply by Defendants</p> <p>05/12/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply by Defendants</p> <p>05/23/2014 – <a href="#">Memorandum</a> in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>05/30/2014 – <a href="#">Reply to Response regarding Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>06/17/2014 – Consent Motion for Time to File Answer</p> <p>06/18/2014 – Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to Answer</p> <p>07/03/2014 – <a href="#">Opinion and Order</a> Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Dismissing One</p>

	<p>Count Without Prejudice for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction</p> <p>07/03/2014 – Order that Plaintiff File Supplemental Brief Relating Solely to Impact of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> on Court’s Resolution of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>07/11/2014 – <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiff</a></p> <p>07/18/2014 – <a href="#">Memorandum in Opposition of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>08/01/2014 – <a href="#">Order that memorandum opinion denying motion for prelim. Inj. And dismissing lack of SMJ is vacated</a></p> <p>08/01/2014 – <a href="#">Amended memorandum opinion and order denying motion for prelim. Inj as to Counts II and III. Court dismisses Count I without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.</a></p> <p>08/12/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion to stay</a></p> <p>08/12/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting motion to stay</a></p> <p>10/02/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation of Dismissal by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>10/14/2014 – <a href="#">Order of dismissal</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Michigan, Southern Division</b></p> <p><b>Proceedings stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Right to Life of Michigan v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:13-cv-01202-RJJ, Judge Robert J. Jonker</p> <p>11/04/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/04/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and Motion for Permanent Injunction</p> <p>01/13/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Standing by Defendants</a> and <a href="#">Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Standing by Defendants</a></p> <p>01/21/2014 – <a href="#">First Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>01/29/2014 – <a href="#">Response</a> and <a href="#">Brief</a> in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>01/31/2014 – <a href="#">Correct Brief by Plaintiff with Corrected Exhibits</a></p> <p>02/03/2014 – Order Dismissing as Moot Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction</p> <p>02/10/2014 – Unopposed Motion to Stay by Defendants</p> <p>02/11/2014 – Response in Support of Unopposed Motion to Stay by Plaintiffs</p> <p>02/14/2014 – Order Granting Motion to Stay</p> <p>07/17/2014 – Status Conference set for 9/9/2014</p> <p>07/18/2014 – Order granting motion to amend to substitute new Secretary of HHS Sylvia Matthews Burwell for Secretary Kathleen Sebelius</p> <p>09/10/2014 – <a href="#">Order staying case; conference set for 1/14/2015</a></p>

	<p>01/14/2015 – Order granting motion to continue telephone status conference</p> <p>01/14/2015 – Notice of telephonic status conference set for 2/12/2015</p>
<p><b>Western District of Tennessee</b></p> <p><b>Preliminary Injunction Granted; Proceedings Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Union Univ. v. Sebelius</i>, 1:14-cv-01079-JDB-egb, Referred to Magistrate Judge Edward G. Bryant</p> <p>04/04/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>04/04/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>04/24/2014 – Motion for Temporary Restraining Order</p> <p>04/29/2014 – <a href="#">Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Staying Proceedings</a></p> <p>05/02/2014 – Summons returned executed by plaintiffs</p>
<p><b>District of Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: Enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Hastings Chrysler Center v. Sebelius</i>, No. 0:14-cv-00265-PAM-JJG, Referred to Magistrate Judge Jeanne J. Graham</p> <p>01/29/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>03/07/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Motion to Stay by Plaintiffs</a></p> <p>03/14/2014 – Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Proceedings by Defendants</p> <p>03/19/2014 – <a href="#">Order Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Granting Motion to Stay</a></p> <p>05/27/2014 – <a href="#">Renewed Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>05/28/2014 – Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Renewed Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/30/2014 – <a href="#">Letter to District Judge from plaintiffs re: <i>Hobby Lobby</i></a></p> <p>12/09/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation for entry of judgment by def.</a></p> <p>12/11/2014 – <a href="#">Order enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</a></p> <p>01/07/2015 – Stipulation for extension of time to file plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees</p> <p>01/07/2015 – Order extended deadline for attorneys’ fees until March 12, 2015</p>
<p><b>Western District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Preliminary Injunction Denied; Case Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Michigan Catholic Conference v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:13-cv-01247-GJQ, Judge Jordan J. Quist</p> <p>11/14/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/20/2013 – <a href="#">Motion</a> and <a href="#">Memorandum</a> in Support for Preliminary Injunction</p>

<p><b>Appealed to 6th Cir. Ct of App.</b></p> <p><b>Petition for writ of cert. filed with SCOTUS on December 12, 2014</b></p>	<p>12/17/2013 – Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgments by Defendants</p> <p>12/17/2013 – <a href="#">Combined Memorandum in Opposition to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and in Support for Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/27/2013 – Order and <a href="#">Opinion</a> Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>12/27/2013 – Notice of Interlocutory Appeal by Plaintiffs</p> <p>12/27/2013 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/27/2013 – Response in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>12/31/2013 – Joint Motion to Stay District Court Proceedings Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/02/2014 – Order Dismissing as Moot Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/03/2014 – Order Granting Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/03/2014 – <a href="#">Order of USCA granting motion for an inj. Pending appeal</a></p> <p>04/24/2014 – <a href="#">Order of USCA granting motions to file amicus briefs in support of appellants</a></p> <p>06/12/2014 – <a href="#">Slip opinion and judgment of USCA affirming the district courts’ denial of prelim inj.; lifting the stay temporary issued by this court</a></p> <p>12/18/2014 – Letter from SCOTUS that petition for writ of cert. was filed by plaintiff</p> <p>01/06/2015 – <a href="#">Order dismissing motion to dismiss, or in the alternative, for summary judgment in light of cert. filed with SCOTUS</a></p>
<p><b>6<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Mandate stayed pending petition for writ of cert.</b></p> <p><b>Petition for writ of cert. filed with SCOTUS on December 12, 2014</b></p>	<p><i>Michigan Catholic Conference v. Burwell</i>, No. 13-2723</p> <p>12/27/2013 - Appeal docketed (from No. 1:13-cv-01247)</p> <p>12/29/2013 – <a href="#">Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal by Appellants</a></p> <p>12/31/2013 – <a href="#">Response in Opposition to Appellants’ Motion for Miscellaneous Relief</a></p> <p>12/31/2013 – <a href="#">Reply Regarding Appellants’ Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/31/2013 – Order Granting Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/13/2014 – Consolidation with case No. 13-6640, <i>Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius</i></p> <p>03/04/2014 – Amicus filed by AFSCME, et al.</p>

	<p>03/05/2014 – Amicus filed by American Civil Liberties Union of Michigan, et al.</p> <p>06/11/2014 – Order Affirming District Court Denial of Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>08/25/2014 – <a href="#">Additional citation filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>08/25/2014 – Response to petition for en banc rehearing</p> <p>09/16/2014 – Order filed denying petition for en banc rehearing</p> <p>09/19/2014 – <a href="#">Motion filed by plaintiffs to stay mandate pending petition for writ of cert.</a></p> <p>10/02/2014 – <a href="#">Response in opposition filed re: motion to stay</a></p> <p>10/06/2014 – <a href="#">Reply filed by plaintiffs</a></p> <p>12/18/2014 – <a href="#">SCOTUS notice filed re: petition for writ of cert.</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Brandt v. Sebelius</i>, No. 2:14-cv-00681-AJS, Judge Arthur J. Schwab</p> <p>05/27/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>05/30/2014 – <a href="#">Motion</a> and <a href="#">Brief</a> for Expedited Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/10/2014 – <a href="#">Brief</a> in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/13/2014 – <a href="#">Reply</a> Brief regarding Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>06/20/2014 – Order and Opinion Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>07/14/2014 - Motion to Postpone Permanent Injunction Hearing by Defendants</p> <p>07/14/2014 – Response to Motion to Postpone Permanent Injunction Hearing</p> <p>07/15/2014 – Text Order Denying Motion to Postpone Permanent Injunction Hearing in light of short notice and Court’s schedule</p> <p>08/18/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of appeal as to order on motion for prelim inj. by def.</a></p> <p>08/20/2014 – <a href="#">Memorandum opinion re: plaintiffs’ motion for prelim inj.</a></p> <p>08/20/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting plaintiffs’ motion for perm. Inj.</a></p> <p>10/03/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of appeal as to perm. Inj., by def.</a></p>

<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Williams et al v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 1:13-cv-01699, Judge Christopher R. Cooper</p> <p>10/30/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>11/19/2013 – Unopposed Mot. for preliminary injunction; Unopposed Mot. to stay granted until 30 days after ruling in Gilardi</p> <p>09/05/2014 – <a href="#">Joint status report</a></p> <p>09/10/2014 – Order that, in light of Joint Status report, preliminary injunction currently in place shall be extended until October 20, 2014</p> <p>10/06/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a></p> <p>10/06/2014 – Order that, in light of joint status report, this matter is stayed until November 5, 2014</p> <p>11/05/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion for judgment and entry of perm. Inj.</a></p> <p>11/05/2014 – <a href="#">Order permanently enjoining def. from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</a></p>
<p><b>District of Columbia District Court</b></p> <p><b>Held: Defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Willis and Willis PLC et al v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 1:13-cv-01124, Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly</p> <p>07/24/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>08/23/2013 – Order granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Proceedings</p> <p>09/24/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a></p> <p>10/01/2014 – Order staying matter until Nov. 9, 2014</p> <p>10/24/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion for judgment and entry of perm. Inj.</a></p> <p>10/27/2014 – <a href="#">Order enjoining defendants from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</a></p> <p>10/31/2014 – <a href="#">Amended Order</a></p> <p>12/17/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: Defendants are preliminarily enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage</b></p>	<p><i>Ave Maria Foundation, et al v. Kathleen Sebelius, et al</i>, No. 2:13-cv-15198, Judge Stephen J. Murphy, III</p> <p>12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>01/13/2014 – <a href="#">Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/23/2014 – Order to stay proceedings</p> <p>03/13/2014 – Notice of Appeal by Defendants</p> <p>03/28/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to clarify injunction order</a></p> <p>05/11/2014 – Order denying motion to clarify</p>



<p>requirement against plaintiffs and entering judgment in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</p> <p>Appealed to 6<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</p>	
<p>6th Cir. Ct. of App.</p> <p>Held: Case held in abeyance</p>	<p><i>Ave Maria Foundation, et al v. Kathleen Sebelius, et al</i>, No. 14-1310</p> <p>03/13/2014 – Appeal docketed (from No. 2:13-cv-15198)</p> <p>04/07/2014 – Order to granting motion to consolidate 14-1183 and 14-1310 and to hold cases in abeyance pending disposition of <i>Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-6640, and <i>Michigan Catholic Conference v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-2723.</p>
<p>Eastern District of Pennsylvania</p> <p>Held: On remand, District Court dismisses claims of 6 plaintiffs, and, as to the claims of the remaining 12 plaintiffs, the case is stayed pending resolution of <i>Zubick v. Burwell</i>, Nos. 13-3536, 14-1374, 14-1376, 14-1377</p>	<p><i>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Philadelphia et al v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 2:14-cv-03096, Judge Ronald L. Buckwalter</p> <p>06/02/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>06/02/2014 – Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Plaintiffs</p> <p>06/17/2014 – Response in Opp. to Mot. for PI</p> <p>06/20/2014 – Reply in Further Support of Mot. for PI</p> <p>06/20/2014 – Motion to Quash</p> <p>06/22/2014 – Response in Opposition Mot. to Quash subpoenas</p> <p>06/23/2014 – Order granting Motion to Quash</p> <p>06/26/2014 – Plaintiff's PI denied</p> <p>06/26/2014 – <a href="#">Opinion</a></p> <p>06/26/2014 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>07/23/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion to stay district court proceedings pending appeal</a></p> <p>07/28/2014 – <a href="#">Order that joint motion to stay pending appeal is granted and case is stayed pending 3<sup>rd</sup> circuit's adjudication of plaintiffs' appeal of this court's denial of plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction.</a></p> <p>09/25/2014 – <a href="#">Order from USCA for 3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. received - vacating and remanding to District Court for reconsideration of its decision denying the preliminary injunction.</a></p> <p>01/16/2015 – <a href="#">Stipulation to amend caption and stay case.</a></p> <p>01/21/2015 – <a href="#">In consideration of joint stipulation, ordered that claims of St. Monica Manor, St. John Neumann Nursing Home, Immaculate Mary Home, St. Francis Country House, St. Mary Manor, and St. Martha Nursing Home are dismissed without prejudice.</a></p>

<p><b>3d Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>HELD: Vacating and remanding to district court for reconsideration of its decision denying prelim. Inj.</b></p>	<p><i>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Philadelphia et al v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 14-3126</p> <p>06/27/2014 – Case docketed (from No. 2:14-cv-03096)</p> <p>06/27/2014 – Order granting Appellants’ Mot. for temporary injunction pending further action by court. Appellants shall file responses to Hobby Lobby by July 7th</p> <p>07/09/2014 – Appellants’ response to Hobby Lobby</p> <p>07/28/2014 – <a href="#">Response by Appellees</a></p> <p>07/31/2014 – <a href="#">Reply by Appellant CC Archdiocese of Philadelphia</a></p> <p>08/14/2014 – <a href="#">Order that parties are directed to file responses as to whether the case should be summarily remanded to District Court for reconsideration in light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i></a></p> <p>08/20/2014 – <a href="#">Response filed by Appellees</a></p> <p>08/21/2014 – <a href="#">Response filed by Appellant</a></p> <p>09/02/2014 – <a href="#">Order vacating and remanding to the District Court for reconsideration of its decision denying its prelim. Inj.</a></p>
<p><b>District of Colorado</b></p> <p><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Denied; Motion to Dismiss, Motion for Summary Judgment Denied; Case Administratively Closed</b></p> <p><b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Little Sisters of the Poor, et al v. Sebelius</i>, No. 1:13-cv-02611-WJM-BNB, Judge William J. Martinez, referred to Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland</p> <p>09/24/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/24/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Motion and Memorandum for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/08/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Brief in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/08/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/22/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss, Motion for Summary Judgment</a></p> <p>12/27/2013 – Order Denying Preliminary Injunction, and Denying Motion to Dismiss, Motion for Summary Judgment for Lack of Standing</p> <p>12/27/2013 – Notice of Appeal as to Order on Motion to Dismiss, Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction, filed by Plaintiffs (Case No. 13-1540)</p> <p>12/28/2013 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</p>

	<p>12/31/2013 – <a href="#">Brief in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</a></p> <p>12/31/2013 – Order Denying Appellate Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal</p> <p>01/14/2014 – <a href="#">Reply to Response to Motion for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>01/29/2014 – Order from U.S. Supreme Court re: Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal, Granting Preliminary Injunction</p> <p>01/30/2014 – Order Denying Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal as Moot</p> <p>01/30/2014 – Order That Case be Administratively Closed, Terminating Civil Case. Parties May Seek to Reopen Case Once Pending Appeal is Resolved</p> <p>03/31/2014 – Order of USCA as to Notice of Appeal filed by plaintiffs</p>
--	---

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Little Sisters of the Poor, et al v. Burwell, et al</i>, No. 13-1540</p> <p>12/27/2013 – Case docketed (from No. 1:13-cv-02611-WJM-BNB)</p> <p>12/31/2014 – Order denying appellants’ motion for temporary injunction</p> <p>02/24/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ brief</a></p> <p>03/03/2014 – Amicus Brief by Liberty, Life, &amp; Law Foundation</p> <p>03/03/2014 – Amicus brief U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops</p> <p>03/03/2014 – Amicus brief American Bible Society, et al.</p> <p>03/03/2014 – Amicus brief Liberty Counsel and Liberty University</p> <p>03/03/2014 – Amicus Curiae brief 67 Catholic Theologians and Ethicist</p> <p>03/03/2014 – Amicus Curiae brief Concerned Women for America</p> <p>03/06/2014 – <a href="#">Appellees’ Motion to Consolidate Cases 14-6026 and 14-6028</a></p> <p>03/18/2014 – Appellants’ petition for initial hearing en banc</p> <p>03/31/2014 – Order denying Appellees’ motion to consolidate cases</p> <p>03/31/2014 – Appellees’ brief</p> <p>04/03/2014 – Amicus Curiae brief ACLU et al.</p> <p>04/07/2014 – Amicus Curiae brief Am. Assoc. Univ. Women et al.</p> <p>04/07/2014 – <a href="#">Amicus curiae brief NHeLP et al.</a></p> <p>04/17/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ reply brief</a></p> <p>07/22/2014 – Appellee’s supplemental brief</p> <p>07/22/2014 – Appellant supplemental brief</p> <p>08/01/2014 – Order requiring Gov’t to file status report on or before Aug. 12 on timetable for promulgation of “interim final rules”</p> <p>08/08/2014 – Status report filed by Appellee</p> <p>12/08/2014 – <a href="#">Supplemental Authority filed by Appellees</a></p> <p>12/08/2014 – <a href="#">Response filed by Appellants</a></p> <p>01/26/2015 – <a href="#">Supplemental authority filed by Appellants</a></p> <p>01/30/2015 – <a href="#">Response filed by Appellees</a></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Western District of Oklahoma</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Appealed to 10<sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p>	<p><i>Reaching Souls International, et al v. Burwell, et al</i>, No. 5:13-cv-01092, Judge Timothy D. DeGiusti</p> <p>10/11/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>10/25/2013 – Plaintiff’s <a href="#">Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>10/25/2013 – Mot. to Certify Class</p> <p>11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Mot. to Dismiss and Opp’n to Plaintiffs’ Mot. for Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Plaintiffs’ Combined Reply in Supp. of Mot. for Preliminary Injunction and Opp’n to Mot. to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/06/2013 – <a href="#">Defendants’ Reply in Supp. of Mot. to Dismiss</a></p> <p>12/20/2013 – <a href="#">Order denying Mot. to Dismiss and granting preliminary injunction</a></p>

	<p>12/23/2013 – Order Denying Mot. to Certify Class  02/11/2014 – Notice of Interlocutory Appeal  03/10/2014 – <a href="#">Order Denying Mot. to Dismiss and Denying Plaintiffs’ 56(d) Mot.</a>  03/31/2014 – Order of USCA as to notice of interlocutory appeal filed by defendants</p>
<b>10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<p><i>Reaching Souls International, et al v. Burwell, et al</i>, No. 14-6028</p> <p>02/12/2014 – Case docketed  03/06/2014 – Mot. to consolidate cases  03/31/2014 – Mot to consolidate cases denied  04/14/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ brief</a>  04/21/2014 – Amici Brief AAUA et al.  04/21/2014 – Amici Brief ACLU et al.  04/21/2014 – <a href="#">Amici Brief NHeLP</a>  05/19/2014 – <a href="#">Appellee’s Brief</a>  05/23/2014 – Amicus Am. Ctr. for law and justice  05/27/2014 – Amicus brief Ethics and Religious Liberty Comm. on Southern Baptist Convention  05/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae brief filed by Judicial Crisis Network and Women Speak for Themselves  05/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae brief filed by American Bible Society et al.  05/27/2014 – Amicus Curiae brief filed by Alabama Physicians for Life  05/28/2014 - Amicus Curiae brief filed by Concerned Women for America  06/24/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Reply Brief</a>  07/22/2014 – <a href="#">Appellants’ Supplemental Reply Brief</a></p>
<b>Western District of Oklahoma</b>  <b>Held: Preliminary Injunction Granted; Case Stayed</b>  <b>Appealed to 10th Cir. Ct. of App.</b>	<p><i>Nazarene University et al v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 5:13-cv-01015, Judge Stephen P. Friot</p> <p>09/20/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Motion Preliminary Injunction</a>  12/17/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a>  12/23/2013 – Order granting preliminary injunction, denying Motion to Dismiss  02/11/2014 – Notice of Appeal  03/06/2014 – Order granting Motion to Stay Case  03/31/2014 – Order of USCA as to Notice of Appeal filed by defendants</p>
<b>10th Cir. Ct of App.</b>	<p><i>Southern Nazarene University et al v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 14-6026</p> <p>02/11/2014 – Case docketed</p>

	<p>03/06/2014 – Motion to consolidate cases 13-1540 &amp; 14-6026</p> <p>03/31/2014 - Order denying the government's motion to consolidate appeals</p> <p>04/07/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant Brief</a></p> <p>04/14/2014 - Amicus Curiae brief filed by Feminist Majority Foundation et al.</p> <p>04/14/2014 - Amicus Curiae brief filed by ACLU</p> <p>05/12/2014 – <a href="#">Appellee Reply &amp; Brief</a></p> <p>05/16/2014 - Amicus Curiae brief filed by Liberty, Life and Law Foundation</p> <p>05/19/2014 - Amicus Curiae brief filed by American Bible Society et al.</p> <p>06/13/2014 – <a href="#">Appellant Reply Brief</a></p> <p>11/18/2014 – <a href="#">Supplemental Authority filed by appellants</a></p> <p>12/05/2014 – <a href="#">Response filed by appellees</a></p> <p>12/08/2014 – Case argued by Adam Jed for Appellant and Gregory Baylor for Appellee</p> <p>12/08/2014 – <a href="#">Supplemental Authority filed by appellants</a></p> <p>12/23/2014 – <a href="#">Response filed by appellees</a></p> <p>01/30/2015 – <a href="#">Response filed by appellants</a></p>
<p><b>Western District of Pennsylvania</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against “Hepler plaintiffs” and judgment is entered in favor of the “Hepler plaintiffs” on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Geneva College v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 2:12-cv-00207, Judge Joy Flowers Conti</p> <p>02/21/2012 – <a href="#">Complaint</a></p> <p>04/30/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>05/31/2012 – <a href="#">First Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>05/31/2012 – <a href="#">Opposition to Motion to Dismiss</a></p> <p>08/01/2012 – Order denying Mot to Dismiss as moot</p> <p>08/02/2012 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>09/13/2012 – <a href="#">Response in Opposition Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>10/04/2012 – <a href="#">Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>03/06/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting in part and denying in part Mot to Dismiss for Lack of jurisdiction</a></p> <p>03/11/2013 – <a href="#">Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>04/19/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting preliminary injunction</a></p> <p>05/08/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Motion to Dismiss in part</a></p> <p>05/22/2013 – <a href="#">Motion Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>06/17/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>06/18/2013 – Order granting Prelim Injunction</p> <p>08/17/2013 – Notice of Appeal</p> <p>10/18/2013 – <a href="#">2<sup>nd</sup> Amended Complaint</a></p> <p>11/12/2013 – <a href="#">2<sup>nd</sup> Preliminary Injunction</a></p> <p>12/03/2013 – <a href="#">Motion to Dismiss</a></p>

	<p>12/03/2013 – Motion for summary judgment  12/23/2013 – Order granting 2<sup>nd</sup> prelim injunction  01/03/2014 – Response in Opposition to Mot to Dismiss  02/11/2014 – Notice of Appeal  05/27/2014 – Order denying Mot to Dismiss and Summary J.  08/28/2014 – Order of USCA as to notice of appeal: matter dismissed in accordance with agreement of parties and pursuant to FRAP 42(b)  10/07/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a>  10/10/2014 – <a href="#">Permanent injunction</a>  10/10/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment entered in favor of plaintiffs</a>  11/20/2014 – Joint Motion to extend attorneys’ fees petition deadline  11/25/2014 – Order granting motion to extend attorneys’ fees petition deadline</p>
<p><b>3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. Ct. of App.</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case dismissed pursuant to FRAP 42b (Voluntary dismissal)</b></p>	<p><i>Geneva College v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 13-2814</p> <p>06/21/2013 – Case docketed  08/22/2013 - The actions at Nos. 13-2814 and 13-3536 are consolidated for all purposes  08/23/2013 - ORDER Motion by Appellants to hold Appeal in Abeyance Pending the Court's decision in Case No. 13-1144 is denied  01/02/2014 – Order hold in abeyance until Hobby Lobby  05/01/2014 – Court granted Appellants' motion to consolidate Appeal Nos. 13-3536, 14-1374, 14-1376, and 14-1377  08/13/2014 – <a href="#">Motion filed by Appellees</a>  08/13/2014 – <a href="#">Response filed by Appellants</a>  08/28/2014 – <a href="#">Order denying motion to lift stay</a>  09/03/2014 – <a href="#">Motion to withdraw case pursuant to FRAP 42b filed by appellants</a>  09/04/2014 – <a href="#">Response filed by Appellees</a>  09/04/2014 – <a href="#">Order dismissing case pursuant to FRAP 42b</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are permanently</b></p>	<p><i>M&amp;N Plastics v. Burwell</i>, No. 13-cv-14754, Judge John Corbett O'Meara</p> <p>11/18/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a>  11/18/2013 – Order granting the defendants’ motion to transfer  01/15/2014 – Joint motion to stay pending the Supreme Court’s ruling in pending the Supreme Court’s ruling in Hobby Lobby Stores Inc. v. Sebelius, No. 13-354 and Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sebelius, No. 13-356 by All Plaintiffs.</p>



<p><b>enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p>01/23/2014 – <a href="#">Order</a> granting motion to stay.  11/14/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion to Reopen Case and Enter Judgment by All Plaintiffs</a>  11/17/2014 – <a href="#">Injunction and Judgment</a>  01/16/2015 – <a href="#">Notice by All Plaintiffs</a></p>
<p><b>Eastern District of Michigan</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Stayed</b></p>	<p><i>Mersino Dewatering, Inc. V. Burwell</i>, No. 13-CV-15079, Judge Paul D. Borman</p> <p>12/13/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a>  12/13/2013 – Order granting motion to transfer case  1/13/2014 – Order reassigning case from District Judge Sean F. Cox and Magistrate Judge Davis R. Grand to District Judge Paul D. Borman and Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen.  04/14/2014 – Joint Motion to stay by all plaintiffs.  04/15/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting joint motion to stay.</a>  01/15/2015 – <a href="#">Joint motion to reopen case and enter judgment by all defendants</a></p>
<p><b>District Court for the District of Columbia</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are permanently enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Midwest Fastner Corp. v. Burwell</i>, No. 13-CV-01337, Judge Ellen S. Huvelle</p> <p>09/05/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a>  10/08/2013 – Motion for preliminary injunction by plaintiffs  10/09/2013 – Motion to stay by defendants  10/09/2013 – Opposition to motion to stay by plaintiffs  10/15/2013 – Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction by defendants  10/16/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> granting plaintiffs’ unopposed Motion for Preliminary Injunction and defendants’ unopposed Motion to Stay until 30 days after issuance of the mandate in <i>Gilardi v. U.S. Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Services</i>. Clerk is directed to administratively close this case.  09/10/2014 – <a href="#">Joint motion to continue stay and preliminary injunction</a>  09/12/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of proposed order by defendants</a>  09/16/2014 – Order granting motion to continue stay and preliminary injunction  10/07/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status report</a>  10/17/2015 – <a href="#">Notice of Objection to Court’s proposed order</a></p>

	<p style="text-align: center;"><a href="#">by defendants</a></p> <p>10/23/2014 – <a href="#">Response to defendants’ objection</a>  10/24/2014 – <a href="#">Order and Judgment</a>  12/03/2014 – Joint motion for extension of time for attorney’s fees  12/04/2014 – Order granting joint motion for extension of time</p>
<p><b>U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Daniel Medford et. al. v. Sebelius et al</i>, No. 13-CV-01726, Judge John R. Tunheim</p> <p>07/02/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a>  07/19/2013 – Motion for admission Pro Hac Vice for U.S. Government Attorney Bradley Humphreys by defendants  07/22/2013 – Order granting motion for admission Pro Hac Vice of U.S. Government Attorney Bradley Philip Humphreys  08/02/2013 – Motion for preliminary injunction and stay by Plaintiffs  08/02/2013 – Notice of hearing on motion for preliminary injunction and stay  08/12/2013 – Notice by defendants regarding motion for preliminary injunction and stay of non-opposition  08/30/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting motion preliminary injunction</a>  09/11/2013 – <a href="#">Amended order</a> granting motion for preliminary injunction and stay.  09/11/2013 – <a href="#">Second amended order</a> granting motion for preliminary injunction and stay.  11/07/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a>  11/14/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation for entry of judgment and injunction</a>  11/19/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting stipulation</a>  11/20/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment</a>  12/30/2014 – Stipulation for extension of time to file plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees  01/12/2015 – Order granting stipulation</p>
<p><b>District of Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are</b></p>	<p><i>Feltl and Co., Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-cv-02635, Magistrate Judge Jeffrey J. Keyes</p> <p>09/25/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint</a>  10/01/2013 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Stay  10/17/2013 – Defendants’ Notice of Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction and</p>

<p><b>enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p>Stay  11/05/2013 – Order Granting Preliminary Injunction and Staying Case Pending 8th Cir. Ct. of App. Resolution of Issues in <i>O'Brien v. HHS</i> or <i>Annex Medical v. Sebelius</i>, or a Supreme Court Ruling in a Substantially Similar Case  11/19/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation for entry of judgment and injunction</a>  11/26/2014 – <a href="#">Order for injunction and judgment</a>  11/26/2014 – <a href="#">Judgment in favor of plaintiffs</a>  12/31/2014 – Stipulation for Extension of Time to File plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees  01/05/2015 – Order for extension of time to file plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees and costs</p>
<p><b>U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Held: In Light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Randy Reed Automotive, Inc. v. Sebelius et. al</i>, No. 13-CV-06117, District Judge Ortrie D. Smith  10/08/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a>  10/09/2013 – <a href="#">New notice of inclusion</a> for mediation and assessment program (MAP).  10/09/2013 – <a href="#">Order</a> directing Clerk of the Court to randomly assign cases to an Article III District Court Judge for all future proceedings.  10/10/2013 <a href="#">Notice of reassignment</a> from Magistrate Judge E. Larsen to District Judge Ortrie D. Smith.  10/11/2013 – <a href="#">Disclosure of Corporate interest filed by Plaintiffs</a>  11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Motion for preliminary injunction filed by Plaintiffs</a>  11/15/2013 – <a href="#">Consent motion to stay proceedings and notice of non-opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for preliminary injunction on behalf of defendants</a>  12/03/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting (1) Motion for preliminary injunction and (2) Motion to stay proceedings</a>  07/15/2014 – <a href="#">Order lifting stay and directing parties to file joint status report on their agreement or their separate positions on or before August 18, 2014.</a>  08/18/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status report</a>  08/18/2014 – <a href="#">Order continuing preliminary inj. And directing parties to file joint status report</a>  09/22/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status report</a>  09/23/2014 – <a href="#">Order continuing prelim inj. And directing parties to file proposed injunction and judgment</a>  10/16/2014 – <a href="#">Notice of filing Defs.' Proposed Inj. And judgment</a>  10/16/2014 – <a href="#">Motion for perm. Inj. Filed by all plaintiffs</a></p>

	<p>10/30/2014 – <a href="#">Suggestions in opposition re: motion for order on perm. Inj.</a></p> <p>11/08/2014 – <a href="#">Reply suggestions</a></p> <p>11/12/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting injunction</a></p>
<p><b>U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of Hobby Lobby, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Barron Industries, Inc. v. Burwell</i>, No. 13-CV-01330, Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson</p> <p>09/04/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a></p> <p>09/04/2013 – Case assigned to Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson</p> <p>09/23/2013 – Unopposed motion for preliminary injunction and motion to stay by plaintiffs</p> <p>09/25/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting Plaintiffs’ unopposed motion for preliminary injunction and stay of proceedings</a></p> <p>08/25/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a></p> <p>09/24/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a></p> <p>10/24/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Motion for Judgment and Entry of Permanent Injunction</a></p> <p>10/27/2014 – <a href="#">Injunction and Judgment</a></p> <p>12/17/2014 – <a href="#">Joint Status Report</a></p>
<p><b>U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia</b></p> <p><b>Held: Case Stayed and Preliminary Injunction Granted</b></p>	<p><i>C.W. Zumbiel Co. v. US Dep’t of Health &amp; Human Services.</i>, No. 13-CV-01611, Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>10/22/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a></p> <p>10/22/2013 – Case assigned to Judge Reggie B. Walton</p> <p>11/25/2013 – Unopposed preliminary injunction and unopposed motion to stay proceedings</p> <p>11/27/2013 – Minute order granting motion for preliminary injunction; granting motion to stay</p> <p>11/27/2013 – Case Stayed</p> <p>01/13/2015 – Order granting motion for extension of time and to continue status conference on Jan 22, 2015</p> <p>01/14/2015 – Status conference reset for 2/25/2015 at 9 am</p>
<p><b>U.S. District Court for Minnesota</b></p>	<p><i>Doboszinski &amp; Sons, Inc. v. Sebelius</i>, No. 13-CV-03148, Judge Joan N. Ericksen</p> <p>11/14/2013 – <a href="#">Complaint against defendants</a></p> <p>11/22/2013 – Motion for preliminary injunction and Stay with Expedited handling request by plaintiffs</p>

<p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p>Douglas Doboszenski and Doboszenski &amp; Sons, Inc.</p> <p>11/22/2013 – Notice of hearing on motion</p> <p>11/25/2013 – Notice of Non-opposition to plaintiffs’ motion for preliminary injunction and stay of proceedings by defendants</p> <p>11/27/2013 – <a href="#">Order granting motion got preliminary injunction</a>. Also granting a stay on all proceedings in this case pending an appeal in either <i>O’Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i>, or until the Supreme Court issues a ruling in a substantial similar case, whichever occurs first.</p> <p>11/14/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation for entry of judgment and injunction in favor of plaintiffs, jointly filed</a></p> <p>11/18/2014 – <a href="#">Order and judgment re: stipulation</a></p> <p>12/30/2014 – Stipulation for extension of time to file plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees and costs</p> <p>12/31/2014 – Order re: stipulation</p>
<p><b>U.S. District Court for Minnesota</b></p> <p><b>Held: In light of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, defendants are enjoined from enforcing contraceptive coverage requirement against plaintiffs and judgment is entered in favor of plaintiffs on their RFRA claim</b></p>	<p><i>Stinson Electric, Inc. v. Sebelius, et. al.</i>, No. 14-CV-00830, Judge Patrick J. Schiltz</p> <p>03/26/2014 – <a href="#">Complaint against all defendants</a>.</p> <p>04/11/2014 – Motion for preliminary injunction and stay</p> <p>04/30/2014 – <a href="#">Order granting preliminary injunction and stay</a></p> <p>11/14/2014 – <a href="#">Stipulation for entry of judgment and injunction in favor of plaintiffs, jointly signed</a></p> <p>11/18/2014 – <a href="#">Order for injunction and judgment</a></p> <p>12/30/2014 – Stipulation for extension of time to file plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees and costs</p> <p>12/31/2014 – Order for extension of time to file plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees and costs</p>